



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.

TOGETHER

for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART rational resolution test Chart rational resolution and the studarchitecture of charter studarchitecture of charter stud-

replace 14417-

POLICY DESIGN AND PRICE REFORM

IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Guidelines with Special Reference to Industry

Second Draft December, 1985.

R. KITCHEN

J. WEISS

POLICY DESIGN AND PRICE REFORM IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

----- -

٠

Guidelines with Special Reference to Industry

CONTENTS

Chapter	I	To be supplied by UNIDO	
Chapter	II	Prices and Government Interventions in Developing Countries	t
Chapter	III	Commodity Prices and Government Objectives: A Five-Stage Framework	68
Chapter	IV	Policy Alternatives and Price Reforms	93
Chapter	v	The Policy Dialogue and Implementation of Policy Reforms	129

· •

CHAPTER II. <u>Prices and Government Interventions in</u> Developing Countries

This chapter makes a case for the importance of price reform by examining both theoretical arguments and empirical evidence on the functioning of markets in developing countries. After considering government interventions in markets in general terms, it discusses in turn theoretical arguments relating to a number of specific types of market - those for traded commodities, foreign exchange, labour and capital. The latter part of the chapter surveys the empirical ϵ v:dence on the significance of the mal-functioning of markets in developing countries.

There is a common view in the literature on economic development that prices in developing countries are highly "distorted", and cannot therefore fulfill their appropriate role as a resource allocation mechanism. This view has become increasingly influential in recent years. The consensus of opinion amongst developing economists is now probably that earlier writings in the 1950's and 1960's, which assumed that demand and supply conditions in LDCs are so inelastic or unresponsive to price changes that "prices do not matter" greatly overstated their case¹. Before examining this argument it may be helpful to clarify the meaning of some of the terms used.

Here a distortion refers to the deviation between actual prices and opportunity costs to the economy of the items concerned². Opportunity costs are defined as the value of a commodity or resource in its most likely alternative use and this value in alternative use is what is meant by an economic value. The term shadow price also arises at several points. Although first used in the programming literature it has been adapted by cost benefit analysis to refer to a price that reflects opportunity costs to the economy³.

The arguments concerning the economic significance of distortions is that governments intervene in the functioning of markets in developing countries for a variety of reasons; for example to

conserve foreign exchange, to protect local producers from foreign competition, to guarantee a minimum wage, to encourage investment and to raise government revenue. These interventions will involve a range of policy instruments - including quantitative import restrictions, tariffs, minimum wage legislation, credit subsidies, controlled interest rates and indirect taxes. In practice, the neat matching of one target (for example the balance of payments position) with one policy variable or instrument (for example the exchange rate), which is seen as the sole means of achieving the target concerned, is very rarely present. Government objectives are normally sought through a variety of instruments, often with the relative weights placed on the instruments varying over time⁴. Whilst the basic objectives of government policy can be taken as given, the argument is that interventions in the operation of markets will force market prices away from opportunity costs. Thus it is argued that significant losses in economic efficiency will be created if producers and consumers respond to "distorted" rather than "efficient" market prices⁵. Initially many market prices may not themselves reflect economic values, so that this original distortion is the reason for government intervention. However, it is argued that, in many cases, intervention to remove one distortion is carried out in such a way as to create fresh distortions elsewhere These "by-product distortions" or side effects, in the economy. may be both unanticipated, and undesirable, and theoretically at least, could negate the beneficial effect of the removal of the initial distortion. This argument will be explored further below, but a simple and obvious example can be given at this Consumption of certain luxury goods may be judged to be point. socially undesirable and therefore their import may be severely restricted by the imposition of a high import tariff⁶. However, the tariff may now make domestic production of the good commercially profitable, since its domestic price can be set equal to or just below the tariff-inclusive world price. If consumption of the good is to be discouraged it will require either the imposition of a high rate of indirect taxation on domestic sales, or the outright prohibition of domestic production in addition to restraint on imports. The initial intervention

through the import tariff will not on its own be sufficient to discourage consumption if domestic production becomes a viable alternative.

One of the major strands of the argument in favour of overall reform of the price system in many developing countries is what is seen as the chaotic set of forces working to determine relative prices in these economies. Often, it is suggested, governments do not foresee the implications for prices of various policies, and if they could they would often feel unhappy with the consequences of many of their interventions in the functioning of markets. It should be noted that this type of argument has often been used as a justification for leaving many crucial decisions to the outcome of market forces. However, the logic of the argument does not preclude government intervention. It simply suggests that if markets do not give the signals governments wish intervention will be necessary. However, the implications of this intervention should be examined to ensure that desirable effects in one direction are not offset by undesirable effects in another.

Over the last 15 years or so a large number of empirical studies have identified significant divergences between market prices and shadow prices⁷. In addition, work on the systems of protection in developing countries has focussed more narrowly upon the relative incentives which have been created by various forms of protection, and implications of these incentives for economic efficiency⁸. For a large number of countries the general picture is of economies where the price system has been highly distorted through government intervention. The discussion here focusses on what have been termed 'macro prices'⁹: that is prices for the main macro parameters - foreign exchange, labour The discussion is simplified in that it ignores the and capital. segmentation of labour and capital markets and proceeds as if there is a single price for these factors. Also each of the three markets - those for foreign exchange, labour and capital, are considered in isolation even though these macro prices are inter-related. These inter-relations can be complex, however,

with the direction of causation varying with circumstances. For example, the exchange rate may influence wage rates strongly in some circumstances, and be influenced by them in others. The exchange rate is crucial in determining the relative costs of traded and non-traded goods, and if wages are determined by the cost of labour's consumption, any change in the exchange rate will alter money wages. On the other hand, in a situation of cost-push inflation arising from an independent growth in money wages, it will be wage increases that determine the market-clearing exchange rate through their effect on domestic vis-a-vis international inflation. A similar two-way causation can exist between the If an economy is prone to exchange rate and interest rates. short-run capital movements in response to interest rate changes, the latter can be an important influence on the exchange rate. On the other hand, if the exchange rate is fixed and monetary policy is used to reduce a trade imbalance it will be interest rates that are determined by the exchange rate, and not vice-versa. Although the discussion that follows is in terms of markets viewed in isolation, these various inter-relationships should be borne in mind.

Prior to a discussion of the exchange rate it is necessary to consider markets for traded commodities, since conditions in these markets will be a key influence on the demand for and supply of foreign exchange.

Markets for Traded Commodities

It is well established in the literature on shadow pricing that for internationally traded commodities, for which an economy participates in world trade, economic efficiency prices or opportunity costs will be given by the world prices, CIF for imports and FOB for exports, of the commodities concerned. In an economy with protection from the world market - and most economies will be protected in some way - domestic and world prices will not be equal¹⁰. If import tariffs are imposed, once an import reaches its port of entry, its price will be raised immediately by the tariff. Quotas will also work to raise domestic prices above world levels, even if no tariffs are involved, since

they restrict the supply of an import. The price of such a good in the domestic market would rise until demand is equated with the limited supply available under the quota. The excess of the domestic selling price above the import price is termed the scarcity premium arising from the imposition of a quota. The ratio of the scarcity premium to the world price is sometimes referred to as the tariff equivalent premium, since a tariff of this rate would create the same domestic price as the quota; however, as is discussed further below the effects of tariffs and quotas need not be the same.

On the export side similar effects will be at work. An export tax on a commodity which can be sold domestically as well as abroad will create a domestic price equal to the export price minus the export tax. This follows since, other things being equal, producers will only sell abroad if they can obtain a net price, after tax payments, equal to that in the domestic market¹¹. Export subsidies have the opposite effect however, since the domestic price must now equal the export price plus the subsidy.

These trade interventions will normally be introduced for a number of reasons, and as noted earlier, in practice, one policy instrument often serves more than one purpose. Import tariffs may be imposed for revenue reasons, for example; however in many countries they may have an important role in either restricting the overall demand for imports, or raising the profitability of local importcompeting producers. Import quotas are often introduced for short-run balance of payments considerations to restrict demand for the limited amount of foreign exchange that is available. However it has been argued that frequently quotas introduced under such circumstances have been retained for protection after the original foreign exchange crisis has passed. Whilst theoretically quota restrictions and import tariffs can be shown to have identical effects on resource use, this argument rests on the assumption of competitive production conditions in the domestic economy 12. Under monopolistic domestic production, for example, supply

and demand curves may be affected differently by a quota as opposed to a tariff. Of more practical importance is likely to be the fact that tariffs provide a known rate of protection given by the percentage tariff rate. The effect of quotas on domestic prices is more uncertain however, and will change with domestic supply and demand conditions. Therefore with tariff protection, the domestic price is normally set by the world price plus the tariff, so that domestic prices alter in response to changes in world prices, with domestic damand and supply having little or no influence on domestic prices. With a fixed quota however, the reverse will hold and it will be shifts in domestic demand and supply which determine domestic prices. The distributional effects of tariffs and quotas will differ also. Tariffs are a major source of government revenue, whilst the scarcity premium created by quotas will go to those traders or producers who obtain import licences under the quota system. Although in principle governments can auction import licences and thus capture this premium for themselves, in practice this policy is rarely followed ¹³.

Export taxes are used chiefly to raise government revenue and are normally applied to primary or mineral exports. They could be imposed, for example, to tax windfall gains due to sudden fluctuations in the world price for a commodity. In theory export taxes could also be imposed by an individual country for terms of trade reasons - what is described as the "optimal tariff" case; - the aim being to restrict supply and thus raise the export prices of goods with inelastic world .demand. In practice this has not been a major motivation for most developing countries, who are generally price-takers for their exports. In some circumstances export taxes may also be used to protect domestic users of the commodity. This follows because as we have noted export taxes work to lower the domestic prices at which exportable goods are sold. Finally, it should be noted that export subsidies can take various forms, which will be discussed in more detail below. They are a means of raising the profitability of exporting, often as a counter to the level of the official exchange rate which may provide an unattractive rate of return for exporters.

The extent to which many developing countries have used these interventions in markets for traded commodities is now well documented, and the undesirable consequences for economic efficiency of many protective measures are stressed frequently. Three separate strands of the argument can be distinguished.

(a) The varied and often unanticipated effect of protective measures in terms of the incentives created for different branches of the industrial sector; in other words not all branches will benefit equally and the relative levels of incentive may be unplanned and, in some cases, undesired.

(b) The general encouragement protection from import competition gives to high cost domestic production, and the lack of stimulus it provides to reduce costs to international levels.

(c) The harmful impact of industrial protection on other parts of the economy, particularly agriculture and exports in general.

Considering the relative impact of protection on different industrial branches the essential point is that the final degree of incentive will generally not be known in advance, when the protective measures involved are being planned. This may be either because of uncertain impact of quotas, or because of the effect of imposing different rates of tariffs, taxes or subsidies, on inputs as compared with outputs. The observed or nominal rate of protection is given by the ratio of the domestic price to the world price for a comparable commodity 14 . However the full effect of a protective system can only be estimated by comparing the tariff or tariff equivalents on the output of a producer, with those on the inputs he must purchase. The logic of this is that if in percentage terms a producer's input prices are 'raised above international levels, by more than his output prices, he is being penalised rather than encouraged by the protective system, even though his own output may have a positive tariff. A comparison of the output tariff of a producer with a weighted average of the tariffs on his inputs, with the weights determined by the share of inputs in the value of the output gives what is termed the "effective rate of production" (ERP). This measures the extent to which value-added of

a producer, or the aggregate of all producers in a branch, at domestic, that is protected prices, exceeds what it would be in a free trade situation, where world and domestic prices are assumed to be equalized¹⁵.

In other words to assess the full impact of a protective system it is necessary to know the degree to which value-added, not simply the output price, is raised above international levels. Higher value-added will normally, although not inevitably, imply higher profitability, and if one is interested in gauging the impact of the incentives resulting from protection one must have some idea of how relative profitability between industrial branches is affected ¹⁶.

ERP measures have been used extensively in applied work on industrial development in developing countries, although they are not without both empirical and conceptual problems. Empirically there are difficulties in obtaining comparable world and domestic price dawa, and in achieving a sufficient degree of disaggregation to estimate separate ERPs for a large number of branches. Conceptually also there are difficulties in the treatment of non-traded goods, in the need to assume fixed input coefficients, and with the appropriate exchange rate to use in the calculations. Nonetheless given these limitations it 's generally felt that the ERP measure is useful for analysing the extent to which protectionist policies create incentives for resources to shift in different - directions.

Table 1, taken from Krueger (1983), gives both the average level of ERP in manufacturing and of the range of ERPs between branches within manufacturing, for a number of countries in the 1960's and early 1970's

Whilst it is acknowledged that ERPs will give a more accurate picture of the relative incentives to resource shifts than will nominal rates, a major problem 'is that ERP estimates are both technically complex and require detailed information. Where they can be produced they will normally only be available with a lag of several years, during which time economic conditions and pol⁴ ies may have changed. However where nominal and effective rates are highly correlated, and this appears

to be the case in some countries, one can argue that nominal rates alone will give at least a rough guide to relative resource-pulls created by protection¹⁷.

Table 1	Mean and range of ERP for manufacturing in some developing countries						
Country	Year	Average ERP in manufacturing	ge ERP in acturing		Range of ERPs		
Brazil	1958	106		17	to	502	
	1963	184		60	to	687	
	1967	63		4	to	252	
Chile	1967	175	•	23	to	1140	
Colombia ·	1969	19	-	• 8	to	140	
Indonesia	1971	119	•	- 19	to	5400	
Ivory Coast	1973	41	-	• 25	to	278	
Pakistan	1963-64	356		- 6	to	595	
	1970-71	200		36	to	595	
S. Korea	1968	- 1		- 15	to	82	
Thailand	1973	27		- 43	to	236	
Tunisia	1972	250		1	to	737	
Uruquay	1965	334		17	to	1014	

Source: Krueger (1983) table 3.1.

q

A point of particular concern often raised in discussions of the unanticipated effects of protection is that the degree of bias against local production of capital goods and in favour of consumer goods may be far greater than indicated by nominal protection. Whilst there is a clear tendency in many countries for nominal tariffs to be higher for consumer, as compared with producer or intermediate goods, the tariff structure often magnifies this into a much greater effect in terms of value-added. The point is simply that whilst some governments may list capital goods as one of their priority areas, and encourage their production through various incentives, the protection system may be creating a major bias against their domestic production through its impact "on the relative profitability of different manufacturing branches ¹⁸.

The second strand in the attack on the use of tariffs and quotas in developing countries is that they provide a shelter for inefficient domestic producers who have no incentive to lower their costs to international levels. Local production at costs above world levels imposes economic losses, it is argued, since with the abolition of protection resources would be reallocated to more internationally competitive activities. The EAP measure discussed above must be seen primarily as an indicator of the relative degree of incentive received by producers in particular activities from the protective system. It is not strictly a measure of the efficiency with which resources are employed. A measure often used to indicate the relative efficiency of different branches or sectors is the Domestic Resource Cost (DRC) ratio, which compares the value of domestic resources per unit of foreign exchange earned, if output is exported, or saved, if it is an import substitute $\frac{20}{}$. A common finding is that for many activities;

(a) the DRC ratio is substantially above the official exchange rate so that the costs of earning or saving foreign exchange exceed the official price at which foreign currency is bought and sold.

(b) there is a significant variation in DRC ratios between different branches and sectors.

The DRC ratio can be interpreted as an exchange rate for particular investments. However if it is to be used as a measure of the economic desirability of an investment, domestic costs at shadow prices, must be compared with the economic cost of foreign exchange, not with the official exchange rate. In other words if one is to use the DRC ratio for either ex-ante decision taking, or for ex-post re-appraisals, one must compare the DRC for the investment concerned with the shadow exchange rate. ²¹ Investments with DRCs above the shadow exchange rate are interpreted as economically unjustifiable, unless they involve dynamic or external benefits which have not been allowed for in the calculations.

The wide variations between DRCs for different activities is often interpreted as evidence of resource misallocation: - the case is stronger where the DRCs are above the shadow exchange rate, but even where they are not it can still be argued that efficiency in resource use would be improved by expanding activities with low DRCs - at the expense of those with high DRCs. The commonsense of this is that if it costs x2 more to save foreign exchange in activity i as compared with activity j, it will be desirable to expand j relative to i. Theoretically the case is not as clear as this, but in general wide variations in DRC between different activities can be taken as evidence of a misallocation of resources, which is likely to have been made possible by the differential set of incentives created by the import protection system 22 . Protection therefore allows firms with high costs in both economic and commercial terms to survive, and in the absence of reforms to the protective system they will have little incentive to lower these costs.

Turning to the effect of trade controls on sectors of the economy other than import substitute manufacturing two important biases may be created by a protective

system; one relating to exports, and the other to agriculture. It is argued that by restricting the demand for imports, tariffs and quotas allow the maintenance of an exchange rate well above that which would obtain in the absence of such controls. This means that exporters receive less local currency for every unit of foreign exchange earned than in a free trade situation, where Further biases against a lower exchange rate would prevail. exports can arise from the effect of import controls in raising the price of tradeable goods sold in the home market, relative to those sold abroad, and in requiring exporters to use domestically produced inputs more expensive than and perhaps inferior to the alternatives available on the world market. Also recent work by Greenaway and Milner (1985) has drawn attention to the impact of import protection on the prices of non-traded goods, since higher inputs of prices for these goods are an implicit tax on It is recognised that subsidies to exporters, for exporters. example in the form of access to low cost credit, or reductions in tax, can be used to offset these biases, and in theory there will be a rate of uniform import tariffs and export subsidies, which can create the same incentive effect as any level of the The argument is however, that in many of the exchange rate. countries which adopted inward-looking industrialization strategies in the 1960's and 1970's, export subsidies were no more than a partial offset to the biases against exports created Some empirical attempts to substantiate by the protective system. this view have used an extension of the ERP measure - what is termed The ERS allows for the fact the effective rate of subsidy (ERS). that profitability can be effected by subsidies, as well as tariffs and quotas, and incorporates their impact on domestic value-added²³. A bias against exports can be said to exist when the ERS on domestic However, Balassa (1982) has also sales exceeds that on exports. shown that in some countries which adopted an export oriented growth strategy the bias swung in the other direction, with exports having a higher ERS than domestic sales²⁴.

. . .

Finally, considering the case of a bias against agriculture, this may arise from the fact that agriculture is still the major export sector in many developing countries, so that it naturally suffers most from any anti-export bias. However, it is also suggested

12.

that this stems from the lack of protection afforded agriculture relative to other sectors. Cases of negative ERP for agriculture can arise if domestic prices for crops and livestock are broadly comparable with world levels, whilst the locally produced or imported inputs used in agriculture are protected or taxed, and thus have domestic prices above world levels. In some instances this discrimination against agriculture may have been the unanticipated result of the separate policies of keeping down food prices for urban consumers, whilst at the same time protecting local manufacturing²⁵.

To summarize, therefore, in many countries where interventions in the market for traded commodities are still widespread, it is frequently suggested that a number of harmful side-effects have been created; these include unanticipated effective levels of protection and profit incentives to particular sectors, a shelter to high cost producers, a bias against exporting in general, and in some countries, a bias against agriculture in particular. Therefore whilst there may be a strong theoretical case for protection of manufacturing in developing countries, there is a substantial amount of evidence from a range of countries, that in practice the way in which protection has been implemented has created a number of significant negative effects both within manufacturing itself and in other parts of the economy.

Market for Foreign Exchange

The type of trade control policy summarized above will have significant implications for the market for foreign exchange. Both imports and exports will be at lower levels than in the absence of controls, and any excess demand for foreign exchange will be suppressed. Where, as is common in such situations, the government maintains a fixed value for the official exchange rate this will be above a market-clearing level. In other words, when there is a suppressed demand for foreign exchange (dollars) the local currency (rupee) price of a dollar will be below the free market level, and with the removal of trade controls and the freezing of the exchange rate, the rupee price of collars will rise, implying a rupee devaluation.

A number of shadow pricing studies have illustrated the magnitude of divergence between official exchange rates and market-clearing rates, where the latter are termed a shadow exchange rate. However, it should be noted that the concept of a shadow exchange rate is not free from ambiguity, since it must be defined in the context of a given level of domestic expenditure and set of trade control policies. The approach to the measurement of the shadow exchange rate will differ, for example, whether one assumes the introduction of free trade or the continuation of the existing controls²⁶.

Over-valuation of domestic relative to foreign currency is generally undesirable because of the distorted set of relative prices that it creates. This is another variant of the antiexport bias argument referred to above. Overvaluation, however, penalizes the production of tradeable goods in general in comparison with non-tradeables, since all goods whose domestic prices are determined by world prices will receive less domestic currency per unit of output when the exchange rate is overvalued. As we have seen the protection of tradeables in the home market normally allows producers to set prices well above world levels, often more than compensating for overvaluation. However, exporters often receive only limited compensation through export subsidies, so that in these circumstances the chief burden of overvaluation falls on exportables, not on the production of traded goods in general.

Devaluation of the local currency combined with reform of trade controls system is seen as the remedy for this situation, and this policy prescription is in line with much of the recommendations of this study. The argument is that reform of the trade control syste: is desirable because of the differential and often unanticipated incentives and biases the system creates. However, to allow even a gradual reform of the system, it is likely to be necessary to lower the exchange rate. Devaluation is seen as essential -(a) to remove any underlying deficit in the balance of payments: this it is argued will occur through a switching effect as the prices of tradeables rise relative to non-tradeables, and as production for the export market expands in response to this price incentive;

(b) to protect import competing production for which tariffs will be lowered and quotas relaxed; thus whilst the prices of imports in the domestic market will be reduced by trade reforms, devaluation will work in the opposite direction to raise all domestic prices for goods priced originally in foreign currency; unlike tariffs and quotas, however, in its effect on prices devaluation does not distinguish between traded goods.

It must be stressed, however, that devaluation per se is not an immediate economic panacea for developing countries, and that its success depends upon certain key conditions being met²⁷. Several points should be stressed.

(i) For the relative price effect of a devaluation to improve the trade balance requires that the price elasticities for a country's traded goods be greater than a certain minimum the size of which will depend upon the initial trade deficit. However, there seems agreement that beyond the short-term for most developing countries the size of their trade elasticities is not itself a problem.

(ii) Much more critical appears to be the extent to which the relative price effect of devaluation is eroded by an increase in the domestic price of non-traded goods. It is for this reason that most discussions of devaluation stress the need to combine the switching policy of devaluation with general restraint of internal demand to prevent excess demand for non-traded goods emerging, and thus putting up their prices, until the original pre-devaluation relative prices are restored.

Successful devaluations generally require a fall in real expenditure, or absorbtion as it is termed in the trade literature, and in particular a fall in real wages. The response of money wages to the immediate inflationery impact of devaluation where cost-plus pricing is used will be a critical parameter. At one extreme, if money wages rise by the same rate as domestic prices - the case of real wage rigidity - the relative price effect of devaluation will be removed completely.

(iii) An improvement in an underlying balance of payments deficit requires devaluation of the real as opposed to the nominal exchange rate, where the former is the nominal rate adjusted by the ratio of a price index for the country concerned to that for its trading partners. In other words, international competitiveness is determined not just by nominal exchange rates, but also by differential rates of inflation. Devaluations inevitably have an inflationary impact through the rise in import prices they create, and if this initial impetus is built on by wage settlements, credit expansion or inflationary expectations, the inflation rate can accelerate, thus weakening and perhaps offsetting the initial real devaluation.

The need for devaluation to be accompanied by a fall in (iv) real expenditure has already been noted. However, devaluation itself can have a significant short-run deflationary impact. This can arise through a number of mechanisms. For example, if the demand for imports is inelastic a rise in their domestic currency prices following devaluation will lead to a higher expenditure in domestic currency on imports: if the level of overall expenditure is constrained by monetary policy this will leave a smaller expenditure for all home produced goods. This deflationary effect will be greater, the higher the average propensity to import, and the lower the price elasticity of demand Another possibility, important for highly indebted for imports. countries, arises through the increase in the domestic currency value of external debt servicing. However, whether or not devaluation on its own is sufficiently deflationary to permit the required resource shifts into tradeables and out of non-tradeables clearly varies with circumstances. The assessment of the overall deflationary consequences of devaluation rests largely on ones view of export supply responses. If exports grow rapidly, rising expenditure from the export sector may counter-balance the short-run deflationary consequences noted above.

To summarize, therefore, devaluation must be seen as part of a macro-economic package that aims at both expenditure-switching and restraint, and attempts to control wages and counter the

undesirable short-run distributional consequences of devaluation. Some of the empirical evidence on devaluation will be surveyed briefly in a later section. It must be stressed however that devaluation is likely to be essential for the trade and price reform strategy considered here. The issue is one of identifying and applying appropriate macro-economic policies that will allow devaluation to work effectively, and limit the short-run costs in terms of output loss, inflation and redistribution, that may be involved.

Market for labour

The third market intervention which will be considered concerns the functioning of markets for labour in developing countries. A common pattern is for there to be a major divergence between rural wages for unskilled workers, 'and wages paid to unskilled or semi-skilled workers on new development projects in urban areas. Insofar as these wages simply reflect differences in quality of labour or costs of training no market imperfection need be present. However where these factors account for only a small part of observed wage differences the latter are normally put found to interventions in the operation of labour markets. In other words, in a smooth-functioning labour market workers could shift from rural to urban areas until the wage rates for similar skills were equalized. Nominal differences might remain due to variations in the cost of living in different areas, or to costs of movement, but after money wages had been deflated by the relevant cost indices real differences should be removed.

The picture which is normally painted in shadow price studies is one of relatively competitive rural labour markets for unskilled labour, so that daily wages for hired agricultural labour can be taken as broadly equal to the productivity of the workers concerned. The competitive nature of these rural markets can be taken to stem from their possession of the following characteristics; large numbers of employers chiefly small farmers, large numbers of potential workers normally poorly organized in terms of trade union activity, reasonably

good information on prevailing wage rates, and geographical mobility of labour, at least on a regional basis.

On the other hand it is often argued that in urban labour markets for unskilled or semi-skilled workers conditions are non-competitive due particularly to trade union organization, and government intervention in the form of minizum wage legislation. These factors it is suggested raise urban wages in the formal or organized sector significantly above rural wage rates. However it is the latter which are normally taken to define the economic cost of unskilled labour. In other words, if one adopts a view of the rural areas of developing countries as characterized by a surplus of underemployed workers, and assumes that the creation of new urban-based jobs draws additional workers out of agriculture, the opportunity cost of employing these workers on new projects will be measured by a drop in agricultural output. This is the output foregone, or the opportunity cost, associated with their new employment. If one further assumes that rural labour markets are competitive the productivity of agricultural labour can be approximated by their earnings from wage employment.

The divergence between market wages paid to unskilled workers on new projects, and their economic cost or shadow wage is often found to be substantial; a common result of shadow price studies, for example, is that the output foregone in agriculture may be less than half the urban wage ²⁸. The point of central importance for the present discussion however, relates to the role of government intervention. Governments may intervene in the functioning of labour markets to establish minimum wages, to guarantee a certain minimum income level, or to support trade union activity to prevent the exploitation of workers by powerful employers. In some countries these interventions may be significant in raising urban wages above the levels they would otherwise reach. Many would accept these interventions as highly desirable in their own right, but nonetheless argue that they introduce major distortions in urban labour markets, and create a number of serious sideeffects.

Three arguments are normally put forward in discussions of the harmful impact of labour market distortions.

(i) If the market wage paid to unskilled labour is substantially above the economic cost of employing these workers the commercial profitability of new investment will be understated relative to its economic profitability. In other words firms will pay a wage bill determined by market wage rates, and other things being equal, their commercial or private profitability will be less than the economic returns they generate, to the extent that the shadow wage is below the market wage. If investment decisions are based on commercial criteria too little investment will be made. In addition labour-intensive activities will be particularly penalized, so that the composition of output in the economy will contain a lower share of labour-intensive commodities than if market and shadow wages were equal. This argument, concerning the divergence between market wages and the economic costs of employing unskilled labour provided a major part of the initial theoretical rationals for protection of new industrial activity in developing countries, and was the clearest example of the need to introduce shadow price estimates into calculations of investment viability³⁰.

(ii) In addition to a problem of insufficient investment, it is suggested that labour market distortions will have a harmful effect on the technology embodied in new investment. Therefore provided there is the possibility of substitution of capital or materials for labour, it is argued that urban wages above the economic cost of labour will encourage a shift in factor intensity in a labour-saving, rather than a labour-using direction. This will have undesirable effects in terms of both distribution, since it is now recognized that the provision of employment is the most effective means of raising the living standards of low income groups in developing countries, and economic efficiency. In economic terms the argument is that there will be a loss of efficiency, since specialization on the basis of developing countries abundant resource, labour, will not be carried

far enough 31. The impact of labour market distortions on technology choice will be compounded by additional factors operative in capital markets, which work to lower the market cost of capital below its economic level. These capital market distortions will be discussed in the next section. However the important point to stress is that the overall significance of both sets of distortions for the technology used in developing countries depends critically on the possibility of significant substitution between factors in the production of different commodities This is an issue on which much has in response to changes in factor prices. In general there is now agreement that in a wide range of industries been written. there is significant scope for factor substitution. What is more in dispute is the importance of the relative prices of factors as an influence on technology It must be recognized that they are likely to be a more significant choice. influence in some branches than in others, and that other influences will include the availability and cost of information on alternative technologies, market size and concentration, product quality and the availability of complementary inputs³².

(iii) Finally the third argument regarding the harmful effects of distorted urban wages refers to their impact on rural-urban migration. It is suggested that the creation of new urban-based jobs can lead to an outflow of migrants from rural areas in excess of the number of new jobs available. Such a situation can arise if migrants balance expected earnings in the formal urlan sector against their present average income in the country-side. Where the wage differential between urban and rural areas is both significant and fixed, it is suggested that the level of urban enemployment will act as the equilibrating mechanism in the labour market; when excessive numbers of migrants leave the rural areas urban unemployment will rise until the expectation of obtaining a job is reduced to the extent required to equate expected urban earnings with average rural incomes. In this view institutionally fixed high urban wages are the key factor inducing high migration, and are seen as creating problems, not only because of the excessive loss of agricultural output involved, but also because of the growth

of unemployment or underemployment in the casual or informal urban sectors, as migrants who fail to obtain permanent jobs nonetheless remain in urban areas.

In terms of the functioning of urban labour markets, the arguments discussed above focus primarily on the markets for unskilled labour. A common assumption , is that for skilled workers demand in many developing countries is high relative to their availability, and that it is this demand rather than minimum wage legislation or trade union bargaining strength which determines market wages. If such excess demand in fact exists, it is likely that most skilled workers will be able to find employment at roughly comparable levels of earnings and productivity, should they leave their existing activity. This is the justification for the · assumption common in many empirical studies of shadow prices, that for skilled workers the market and the shadow wage are roughly equal . In the case of unskilled labour however, the basic argument is that government interventions either directly through minimum wage legislation, or indirectly through their support for trade union activism, create institutional rigidities in the functioning of urban labour markets which prevent wages from falling to their market clearing levels; the distorted urban wages in turn, it is argued. create the undesirable side-effects discussed above - chiefly excessive capital-intensity, reductions in investment and employment growth, and excess rural-urban migration.

However before ending the discussion of labour markets it is important to stress that despite the frequent repetition of the view of government intervention in urban labour markets set out above, it has now come to be challenged as a generalization valid for all developing countries. Squire (1981), for example, in a survey of evidence on the operation of labour markets in developing countries argues that government intervention is often not in support of a high wage policy; minimum wage legislation is seen as ineffective in many countries, and in others government intervention is to keep down urban wages rather than to increase them. A number of countries where a high-wage policy has been pursued are

identified, but Squire suggests that not only is experience varied, but that only a limited number of countries would have much to gain from a major change in government policy towards the labour market ³⁴. This type of argument does not necessarily invalidate the view that market and shadow wage rates can differ substantially for many categories of workers, but it does question the economic signifance of government induced labour market distortions.

Capital Markets

Many developing countries do not have free capital markets. Rather they are characterised by what has become known as "financial repression", which is generally equated with controls on interest rates, and particularly with controls which result in negative real interest rates on deposits. These controls are normally imposed by the government, although they can occasionally arise from agreements between private sector financial institutions to restrict interest rates. The main consequences are that actual interest rates are distorted from the equilibrium interest rates which would prevail in a competitive market for money, wide interest rate differentials arise, and funds may be rationed, leading to delays and possible corruption. Repression may also be extended to refer to government restrictions which discourage the development of financial institutions and instruments, leading to incomplete, or fragmented, financial markets. Repression theories originated with McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973), based on the earlier work of Gurley and Shaw (1960) and Goldsmith (1969). Subsequent theoretical refinements have been conveniently summarised by Fry (1982). Numerous attempts have been made to estimate the impact of financial repression on growth, and the major studies are summarised later in the chapter.

The importance of the banking sector is central to theories of financial repression. In most developing countries, bank deposits (or deposits in quasi-banks, such as post office savings banks, savings and loan associations and credit unions) provide by far the most important vehicle for savings. Other savings instruments, such as marketable securities (shares and bonds), life insurance policies and pensions schemes, tend to be limited in availability. On the other side of the coin we see that banks and quasi-banks dominate the sources of funds for investment. An

investor may save and invest his own funds, but apart from that the main source of capital will be bank loans (from commercial or development banks). He is unlikely to be able to issue equity capital or debentures as the stock market, if there is one, is likely to be narrow. He cannot turn to pension funds, insurance companies or venture capital companies for loans or equity. Therefore he is dependent on the banking system. In a country with under-developed financial institutions and instruments, the willingness of savers to hold money in the form of bank deposits is therefore crucial to the saving-intermediationinvestment process (sometimes called debt-intermediation). Repression theories have identified the level of real interest rates as being the crucial determinant of the willingness of savers to hold money in the form of bank deposits.

Measures of Financial Repression

The main measure of repression is generally interest rate controls, although exchange rate controls and high reserve requirements of commercial banks can also play a significant role. The literature tends to concentrate on the impact of interest rate controls, often referring to them as a proxy for financial r pression. As price reform is concerned with the level of interest rates as representing the price of capital, we will concentrate here on interest rate controls.

Interest Rate Controls

Three main forms³⁵ of administered interest rate control may be identified:

- ceilings on deposit rates
- ceilings on loan rates
- ceilings on both deposit and loan rates

(Interest rate floors on deposit and loan rates are also possible, but as they appear to be much less commonly found, we will concentrate on the other controls.)

Interest rate controls generally seem to be imposed with the aim of encouraging investment. If interest rates to borrowers are kept low, it is thought this will increase the number of projects which have a positive NPV when discounted at the borrowing rate, and will therefore increase the rate of investment. Ceilings on loan rates will produce this effect directly, it is thought. Ceilings on deposit rates are thought to produce the same effect indirectly, for banks which obtain their funds cheaply will be able to lend them cheaply. Ceilings on both together may eliminate any possibility of anything going wrong with the above analysis.³⁶

This line of argument, though, assumes that adequate funds will still be forthcoming from savers to meet the demands of investors, in spite of the ceilings (direct or indirect) on the deposit rate. However, if the supply of savings, and the demand for investible funds are both functions of the real rate of interest, the effect may be to raise demand for funds above the equilibrium level, and to depress the supply of funds below the equilibrium level.

. The diagram below illustrates the savings (S) and investment (I) functions, both being determined by the <u>real</u> rate of interest (r).



Figure 1: Savings and Investment under Controlled Interest Rates

In the absence of interest rate controls, the market is in equilibrium at e, where $I_e = S_e$ and r_e is the equilibrium rate of interest which clears the market.³⁷ Now if the deposit rate of interest is fixed by government below r_e , say at r_c , then the amount of savings deposited in institutions will fall to S_c . Thus the amount available for investment is I_c and the rate of interest charged to borrowers which clears the market is r_i . The effect of the control is to lower both savings and investment by an amount $(I_e - I_c)$. As investment is an important determinant of the rate of growth, the effect of the controlled

interest rate is to restrict the rate of growth. The difference between lending and borrowing rates, $r_i - r_e$, will result in higher margins to the financial intermediaries, although the <u>volume</u> of their business will be lower than it would be in equilibrium.

If in addition the lending rate is controlled at a level r_{χ} , below r_i, then the financial intermediaries will have insufficient deposits to meet the borrowing demand at interest rate $r_{\hat{\ell}}$. Demand for borrowing for investment will be I_{l} and unsatisfied demand $(I_{f} - I_{c})$. The extreme case is that the lending rate should be fixed so that it is equal to the borrowing rate, r_c (or, in practice, slightly above to cover banks' administration costs). Under these circumstances the unsatisfied demand for investible funds would be $I_d - I_c$. With controlled lendir j rates, financial intermediaries must ration credit by means other than the interest rate. They will therefore tend to favour borrowers with substantial security or an established reputation, which may mean projects with foreign capital, technology or management. Secondly, they will tend to favour low risk projects, with relatively low rates of return, as they will not be able to charge a risk premium commensurate with the risk of the project. The consequence is that higher return, higher risk projects and projects promoted by younger (and possibly more enterprising) entrepreneurs, and small projects may be starved of capital. In short, the venture capital element of financing may have disappeared and the overall quality of investment may have been reduced. Again, the effect may be to restrict the rate of economic growth, because the quality of investment may be reduced, as well as the quantity.

It should be noted that a repressed interest rate system impinges on the current assets of enterprises, as well as an investment in fixed assets. If credit is scarce or rationed, then a firm's level of capital utilisation may be restricted, for example because it cannot obtain credit to finance its working capital, which may restrict its output and sales. Liberalisation of interest rates, leading to greater availability of short-term credit, may have the effect of increasing the utilisation of the existing capital stock.

Figure 1 represents a static equilibrium analysis of saving, investment and the interest rate. If, in period 1, the interest rate on deposits is raised to the equilibrium level, saving and investment will increase. This will increase growth in the next period, which in turn will increase saving and investment in that period. Therefore the implication of repression theory is that liberalising the interest rate will have the effect of moving a country into a virtuous circle of increasing saving, investment and growth.

Other Measures of Financial Repression

Bank Deposit Requirements It is normal for central banks to impose reserve requirements on commercial banks. Historically, reserve requirements were intended to provide some stability to the banking system. Typically, in industrialised countries, total reserve requirements may be of the order of 10-15% of bank deposits. However, in several developing countries, the figure may become as high as 50%. These reserves are placed with the central bank at low (or even zero) rates of interest, or are invested in low coupon government bonds. Thus the government

uses the banking system as a source of finance, and becomes the principal borrower, pre-empting other potential borrowers.

The effect on the banking system is two-fold. First, a substantial amount of the available funds is directed away from potential borrowers. Secondly, the bank's interest rate structure will be distorted. If banks are to make profits, they must maintain a wide margin between borrowing and lending rates in order to compensate themselves for the low income they receive on their reserves. This is done by depressing interest on deposits, or by raising the rate charged to borrowers, (or both, relative to what would otherwise be the equilibrium rate.

<u>The Direction of Investment</u> Several governments order their financial institutions to direct a certain proportion of their loans to a specified sector, often agriculture, at low rates of interest. An alternative practice is for governments to set up specialised lending agencies, financed b taxation or cheap government borrowing, to lend to specific sectors. Again, agriculture is often a beneficiary. Such policies may restrict the funds available to the industrial sector, and at the same time raise the cost of those funds which are available. However, the intention is usually to correct a bias among lenders which favours industrial rather than agricultural borrowers. This bias is itself often a consequence of financial repression, which curtails the volume of lending and the charging of risk premia for high risk projects, such as agricultural loans.

Assessment of Repression Theories The assumptions underlying repression theories, outlined above, together with the heavy emphasis in the literature on the role of real interest rates, suggests that it might not tell the whole story. The keystone of the theory is a presentation

of the relationship between saving, investment and real interest rates. However, in any economy, this is likely to be a substantial simplification of determinants of saving and investment. First, the theory starts with the relationships:-

Demand for money = private sector financial savings

= bank + quasi-bank deposits

= credit availability + reserve requirements Private sector financial savings is a function of the real rate of interest.

The next step is to equate

Investment = credit availability, which is a function of the real rate of interest.

This, of course, even if correct, explains only private sector investment and only that part which is financed by domestically mobilised resources. Government investment is, in the main in developing countries, financed by taxation, and aid and borrowings from abroad, neither of which is dependent upon the domestic rate of interest. Borrowing by government on the domestic capital market may be a function of the rate on interest, but in many developing countries the issue of government bonds provides only a small proportion of government income. Therefore government investment, which usually provides a substantial proportion of total investment (even in industrialised countries) can be excluded from repression theory.³⁸

Likewise, much private sector investment which is financed from abroad can also be excluded. The volume of direct foreign investment and export credits are not a function of domestic interest rates. On the other hand, shortages of domestic credit may force the local private sector to seek foreign capital. Also the availability of foreign capital, whether to government or the private sector, may discourage the domestic saving

Repression theories tend to assume, at least implicitly, that investment (we are now down to domestically financed private sector investment) is financed entirely by borrowing. This, of course, is a substantial simplification. In any country, however well-developed its financial sector, a substantial proportion of investment is financed by retained earnings, which are not dependent on the intermediation mechanism. In many instances, the constraint on new investment may not be the unavailability (or the cost) of credit, but rather the unavailability of equity capital, whether retained earnings or funds raised by issuing new shares. The debt/shareholders' funds ratio and security requirements may in many cases be the restraint on lending, rather than the availability of credit. Therefore financial repression can only restrict that proportion of investment which is financed by borrowing on the domestic market and this, in some countries, may be a fairly small proportion of total investment, even with "liberalised" interest rates.

Although the impact of financial repression on total investment may be less than indicated by the simplified neoclassical model, it may still reduce the quality of investment. First, financial repression is still likely to encourage self-financed investment at the expense of intermediation and borrowing. Secondly, the credit rationing process will still tend to discriminate against new entrepreneurs, new technologies and products not previously produced in the country. Lenders will tend to favour borrowers with security and a track record. This may squeeze out some highly profitable, but more risky, ventures. (However, this problem is encountered also in industrialised countries with advanced financial sectors. Most lenders like to have good security.) These arguments have been presented formally by Galbis (1977 and 1982). Repression theories further assume that,
given liberalised interest rates, maturity transformation by financial institutions will permit the medium and long term borrowing needed for investment purposes. If institutions are reluctant to lend long term, then an increase in deposits may not have the beneficial effects on investment and growth that are presumed, unless both lenders and borrowers are prepared to use short term funds to finance long term assets. In some countries governments may need to encourage longer term lending, for example by partially guaranteeing or re-discounting the more distant debt service payments.

Galbis (1982) has further pointed out that the effects of financial repression on investment may anyway be mitigated by the development of alternative channels of intermediation, at least to some extent. Unorganised money markets will be encouraged, foreign finance may be substituted for domestic finance and self-financing may substitute for borrowing in enterprises which are squezed out of financial markets. These mechanisms may be less efficient and more expensive than the alternative of liberalised money markets, but nonetheless they may compensate to some extent.

Repression theory also places great stress on the banking system as an essential financial intermediary in the saving-investment process. While this may be justified in many countries, others with a wider range of financial instruments and institutions offer investment opportunities in which capital gain, rather than interest on deposits, provides the incentive to save. Secondly, the theory appears to assume that if funds are available for investment, then there will be a demand for it, assuming that the price (that is the interest rate) is right. It is likely that investment is determined by a range of factors, of which the interest rate is but one. Other loan conditions, notably the maturity of

the loan and the security required, are others. Businessmen, at least in the industrialised countries, would place considerable emphasis on the elusive but nonetheless important "confidence", which to some extent depends on macro-economic expectations. (See also footnote 36.)

A further cause of criticism lies in the assumptions which repression theory makes about the credit allocation procedures of lenders (generally banks). Fry (1978 b) stated that under repression, " nonprice rationing of investible funds must occur. This typically takes place on the basis of quality of collateral, political pressures, "name", loan size and covert benefits to responsible loan officers. These criteria can be counted on to discriminate inefficiently between investment opportunities."

Of course, all this takes place to some extent whether or not interest In countries where no such conrates are controlled by government. trols exist, banks still rely heavily on their assessment of the creditworthiness of the borrowers, and the security available, and charging risk premia on higher risk loans may be a secondary rather than a primary rationing device. Such credit rationing by banks has the effect of restraining the demand for funds, and in itself helps to maintain equilibrium interest rates below what they would otherwise be in the absence of credit rationing. Arndt (1982) has pointed out that credit rationing by banks tends to exclude the less privileged loan applicants, and may justify government inspired concessional credit schemes, for example for small farmers, for house purchase, for small scale enterprises, or others who may be identified as priority borrowers. Concessions do not necessarily imply interest rate 'subsidies'; they

may come in the form of funds tied to certain specific uses, or in the guarantee or refinancing of commercial bank credit to certain categories of borrowers. A case for a government concessional credit scheme is made by Bolnick (1982), in the context of Indonesia's special concessional credit programmes designed to promote the development of indigenous small-scale enterprises.

Although repression theory has emphasised the effects of interest rate controls on investment, there are also further possible effects on technology choice and distribution. The relative cheapening of capital through controlled interest rates will tend to encourage the use of capital intensive technologies and the selection of capital intensive industries, while the maintenance of artificially low rates of interest means that borrowers pay less, and savers receive less than they would in a freely competitive market. One economic effect is to encourage consumption at the expense of saving. However, the major effect is distributional, since savers (i.e.lenders) are implicitly subsidizing borrowers, a subsidy which becomes more apparent when real interest rates are negative. A priori, there appears to be no justification for such a subsidization of borrowers by lenders, and the onus is on the supporters of financial repression to justify it. In some cases (for example when saving is excessive) it may be readily justifiable, but these cases probably arise in only a small number of developing countries.

The case for liberalisation of financial markets, therefore, appears fairly strong generally. However, in some countries we recognise that it may not be feasible to remove interest rate controls, at least in the short run, for institutional, political or legal reasons. In such cases, the question arises as to whether there exists a feasible "second-best" policy which alleviates many, if not all, the undesirable effects of interest rate controls. Nellor (1985) has looked at tax policy options which might reduce the distortions to savings in repressed financial markets. He comes to the conclusion that consumption (or sales) taxes can be designed to make saving relatively more attractive, and can be adjusted so that the real return to saving approximates to the free market interest rate. In order to implement such a "second-best" policy, it is necessary to have a broadly based consumption tax. Many developing countries do not have such a system of taxation, of course, but its introduction

is one of the principal recommendations of this study. It is important, too, that financial institutions should perform the function of maturity transformation, making long term credit available for capital investment.

This concludes our summary of the theoretical impact of financial repression on saving, investment and growth. While we find in favour of liberalised interest rates, it seems that interest rate liberalisation is not likely to be a sufficient condition for the development of the financial sector to the extent that it exerts a substantial influence on investment and economic growth. In particular, it needs to be accompanied by institutional development and strengthening so that alternative competitive markets for savings, such as equities, unit trusts, life assurance and pension funds, are created. It is important, too, that financial institutions should perform the function of maturity transformation, making long term credit available for capital investment. The theoretical conclusions, therefore, tend to give qualified support to what is currently regarded as the accepted position succinctly put by Van Wijnbergen (1982, p.3). "Establishing high time deposit rates (high compared to anticipated inflation) has become a standard part of the policy advice given to LDCs by external experts, ranging from the visiting academic economist via the World Bank to emissaries of the IMF." However, in our view the strongest argument against repressed interest rates remains intact: why should savers be expected to subsidise borrowers? The case needs to be made before repressed interest rates are accepted.

Subsidies

To conclude our review of distortions, we add a brief review of subsidies not already discussed under traded goods. The definition of a subsidy is not straightforward. At the simplest level it is a cost payment by the government to a consumer or producer. However, many subsidies do not take the form of cash payments. For example, "incentives" such as government encouragement to industry through tax concessions, special depreciation allowances or physical facilities such as rent-free premises are, in fact, subsidies. The provision of free educational or medical services are subsidies; permitting a manufacturer to obtain higher prices on the domestic market because he is protected from imports is a subsidy. At another level, governments which absorb the losses of state enterprises are subsidising either the consumer of the output or the resources employed by the enterprises, often labour. The control of investment licences

can enable existing manufacturers to earn a rent because capacity or production is restricted. Thus a subsidy may be described as any device which permits consumers to purchase goods at a price below that which would obtain in a competitive market, or which enables producers to obtain an economic rent. A subsidy has the effect of transferring resources from one group to another. The key point to remember is that one group must pay for another's subsidy; there is no such thing as a free lunch.

At the level of resource allocation, the presence of subsidies affects the prices used in investment appraisal and leads therefore to different decisions that would be made if competitive market prices were used. The use of world prices (and shadow prices for factors, and non-traded goods) in principle corrects for the use of Historted' market prices. However, practice may not be as good as the principle; the extent to which world prices are used in investment appraisal is limited. Governments and different government departmentsuse them to differing degrees (if at all) and the private sector does not use them. Moreover, even when used in appraisals, shadow prices may not be able to catch and offset all the effects of subsidies, especially in countries where subsidies are widespread.

Although the presence of subsidies may "distort" prices and resource allocation superficially, their use is intended to reflect government policies. The subsidised price, given perfect government decision-taking, is the price which the government wants to exist. In particular, subsidies are an important device for transferring resources to the poor. The provision of subsidised health, education and food are obvious examples. Likewide, governments may protect infant industries because of their growth potential. Similarly, governments may subsidise state enterprises or private sector enterprises because they are assisting government policies of employment creation or regional development, for example. There is undoubtedly a rational basis for subsidies, which we discuss in Chapter IV. However, our concern is that in practice in many countries subsidies may not have been applied logically and consistently, leading in some instances to undesired prices and other effects

which no longer reflect government policies and which may lead to significant misallocation of resources.

Apart from protection from competing imports and low interest rates, which have already been discussed, most industrial subsidies come in the form of "incentives". Public sector industrial enterprises may, in addition, have losses financed by the government. However, cases of cash subsidies, especially to the private sector, are not plentiful. The most widespread form

of incentive is the tax concession, which may take the form of tax holidays, accelerated depreciation allowances, tax deduction for expansion reinvestment and tax exemption on imported capital equipment. In several instances, the object of these subsidies is to compensate manufacturers for the anti-export bias of import tariffs. However, they also have the effect of lowering the after tax cost of capital relative to the cost of labour and may therefore introduce a further distortion in that the use of capital is encouraged at the expense of labour. The distortion will influence both the selection of industry (e.g. oil-refining may appear relatively more attractive than shoe-making), and the choice of technology within an industry.

- The effects of the provision of indirect subsidies through protective tariffs and capital market controls have already been discussed. A few words should be said though about three specific types of subsidy, namely export subsidies, consumer subsidies and subsidies provided by losses of state corporations.

(i) <u>Export Subsidies</u> Direct subsidies on exports are,
 of course, contrary to GATT regulations. However, the prevalence
 of high tariffs in many countries means that prices of some inputs
 of exporting industries are well above world prices, and some
 form of export subsidy is needed to compensate exporters for

the anti-export bias of tariffs. Therefore it is not uncomm n for governments to offer some form of incentives package to exporters. This may take the form of rebates on import duties, tax credits or other fiscal incentives, or even direct cash payments. Alternatively, the exchange rate may be held down to assist exporters. These "subsidies" are generally not in themselves distortionary; rather they are intended to correct for other distortions.

(ii) Consumer Subsidies Subsidies to consumers usually apply to foodstuffs and, in some countries, to petroleum products and other consumer products such as textile goods. They are generally introduced for welfare reasons. The distortionary impact of such subsidies depends upon how they are operated. The least distortionary method would be a cash hand-out to consumers, such as a welfare payment. However, consumers might not then spend it in the way intended. A price subsidised by the government may lead to "excessive" consumption (e.g. of petroleum products) leading to effects such as a diversion of exports and a preference for private transport rather than public transport. A subsidy to producers (with the intention of keeping prices low) may encourage excess production of the subsidised good rather than other goods. Finally, subsidised imports (for example, of foodstuffs) may lower domestic prices and thereby discourage local production; this problem has been experienced as a result of low priced food aid. (Jackson and Eade, 1982.)

(iii) Losses of State Corporations The extent to which losses incurred by state corporations arise from deliberate subsidies rather than bad planning or management is largely a matter for conjecture. Nonetheless, losses of state corporations in some countries are substantial and represent a notable drain on the

government budget. Ghana, Senegal, Tanzania and Zambia are four countries for which the extent of losses has been documented (Killick, 1983). But subsidies to state enterprises are not restricted to absorbing losses. The provision of low cost finance, including development aid, freedom from taxation and the ability to sell its output or provide services at artificially high prices because of protection or monopoly are advantages which state enterprises may receive.

The rationale for subsidising state enterprises is that they have "social" as well as financial objectives. To the extent that these objectives conflict, pursuit of an objective of maximising net social benefits may entail financial losses, which would justify a subsidy. However, the widespread suspicion that state enterprises, particularly those in a monopoly or protected position, generate "X-inefficiency" suggests that subsidies provided on the grounds of maximising net social benefits should be justified carefully.

Further Aspects of Subsidies

The notion of subsidising deserving cases may appear to be superficially attractive. However, we have seen that subsidies may have a number of important side-effects, notably in resource allocation, which although unintended, may nonetheless be undesirable. It is also important to bear in mind that subsidy schemes may be very expensive to administrate, a point of particular relevance to countries where administrative staff and skills are scarce, and they open possibilities for corruption. Costs of administration and corruption need to be borne in mind as well as the financial costs of subsidies. As a generalisation, therefore we find that subsidies need to be used sparingly. Where necessary, they should be simple to implement, and governments should ensure that the expected benefits exceed the expected costs.

Economic significance of distortions

Traded goods

The preceding sections have discussed the major distortions which it is often argued exist in many developing countries. It is clear that a large number of applied studies on both shadow pricing, and broader measures of economic efficiency, provide evidence of the existence of many distortions. However establishing their existence is not the same as proving the validity of arguments which assert that such distortions have a critical role in holding back the long-run development of many countries. The question of the economic significance of these distortions must be considered; for example, are they in some instances short-run costs which have to be borne as part of a desirable and viable long-run strategy? It is clear that one need not accept all of the arguments noted in the previous sections. Most controversial is the implication that trade controls are always undesirable. For example, it might be argued that notwithstanding the distortionary effects of a system of import quotas and tariffs, given the foreign exchange constraint faced by a particular economy, such trade controls provide the most effective and least harmful means of managing the balance of payments. Of course, it must be recognised that specific elements of a system of controls can always be improved, but for many countries there is no justification for automatically accepting the view that full trade liberalization is a feasible and more effective option, in terms of long-run growth, than some form of protection.

Given the frequent statements of the desirability of removing distortions from the key markets of developing countries it is necessary to consider some of the evidence to substantiate such claims. It is interesting to note that one of the leading researchers in this area has commented recently in Krueger (1984) that "Despite the importance of distortions in theory relatively little empirical work has been undertaken to estimate their magnitude or their effects". p.555.

Most work on the quantitative impact of distortions has been devoted to the costs of protection and general interventions in international trade. Two broad approaches can be identified; the first involves what is termed "partial equilibrium" analysis, since it does not rest on some consistent macro-model of an economy; the second, however uses such a model, and can be seen as a "general equilibrium" approach. It is significant that early attempts to estimate the cost of protection in a partial framework found this cost to be only a small proportion of current national income. The approach was basically to estimate the production and consumption cost for goods produced domestically under protection, but which would be imported if the protective system were abolished. The production costs are defined as the difference between domestic costs for the importable items, which would be imported under free trade, and their import value.

Consumption costs are the difference between what consumers are willing to pay for the importable products under protection, and the price that would be established once free trade is introduced ⁴⁰. In combination these two effects were described as the "allocative inefficiency" associated with protection, and as we have noted, were generally found to be rather small. However partial equilibrium approaches to the cost of protection were extended significantly by incorporating'X-inefficiency' effects into the calculations 41. X-inefficiency implies that firms are not producing at their minimum possible unit costs, and can arise, it is argued, due to a lack of competitive pressure in an environment protected from world competition. Bergsman's extension of the earlier approach allowed for the possibility that many protected commodities might still be produced domestically after the move to free trade, but that in the new competitive environment X-inefficiency would fall thus bringing production costs down to international levels. The existence of X-inefficiency creates an additional cost of protection not captured by the earlier production and consumption costs, the economy as a whole would gain from the reduction of

X-inefficiency due to the resources freed for use elsewhere, whilst consumers of the products affected would gain through lower prices. A major limitation of the approach however, is that it cannot distinguish between high costs due to a relaxation of cost-reducing efforts, defined as X-inefficiency, and monopoly profits. In other words with protection, domestic prices may be above world prices, either because production costs are higher than they need be -X-inefficiency - or because a monopoly position in a sheltered market is being exploited. However bearing this limitation in mind the major point of interest for the present discussion is that by allowing for a combination of X-inefficiency and monopoly returns Bergsman produced cost of protection estimates substantially above those found by others. His major effort was directed at estimates for Brazil, but he also applied his method to other economies; his results are summarized in table 2.

The important points to note are;

 (i) that for all economies the allocative costs of protection, arising from allocative inefficiency, are either very small or negative.
 Negative results imply that countries lose from the move to free trade, chiefly because of the terms of trade effect.

(ii) whilst the X-inefficiency-monopoly returns effect is always more significant, in only two of the six countries studied is it more than 5% of national income.

Of course what is a high cost in this context is not clear. Bergsman himself argues that the figures for Brazil and Pakistan are high enough to matter. Others may feel that given the emphasis often placed on the irrationality of the protective system in many developing countries results of this order of magnitude are hardly convincing evidence that distortions arising from protection really are significant 4^2 .

	Brazîl	Malaysia	Mexico	Pakistan	Philippines	Norway
Net Allocative cost	0.3	- 1.2	0.3	0.5	1.0	- 0.2
X-inefficiency plus monopoly return	6.8	0.4	2.2	5.4	2.6	2.0
Total cost of protection	7.1	- 0.8	2.5	5.9	3.6	1.8

Table 2Costs of Protection in Six Economies (data from 1960's)(% of GNP)

Source: Bergsman (1974) table 1

.

.

.

۰.

The general equilibrium approach to estimates of the cost of protection has also been employed in recent years. Krueger (1984) summarises the types of models which have been used, stressing their demanding data requirements and pointing out the econometric techniques involved. The work of De Melo (1978) on Colombia is frequently cited as an important example of this approach. What is significant is that although his results generally produce higher cost of protection estimates than the partial approach, they appear highly sensitive to key assumptions. The cost of protection ranges from 3.8% of GNP assuming a quota on coffee exports, and an upward sloping labour supply curve, to 11.0% with an optimal tax on coffee exports, and the same labour market conditions. If a perfectly elastic labour supply curve is assumed the cost of protection becomes 5.8% with the same coffee quota, and 15.8% with the export tax ⁴³. This is of course a very wide range, and illustrates the uncertainty attached to exercises of this type.

The major point to stress regarding any attempt to capture the macroeconomic costs arising from an import protection system is that for most countries experiencing relatively high protection, free trade is no more than a hypothetical situation, and little confidence can be placed in projections for an economy which moves from a highly protected to an open trading policy. It is significant that only by incorporating dynamic factors, such as cost reductions due to lower X-inefficiency, can quantitatively significant cost of protection estimates be derived, at least in a partial equilibrium framework. However these improvements are only one possible scenario resulting from the removal of trade controls. Those less optimistic concerning the benefits associated with freer trade could construct an alternative with high cost domestic producers closing-down, and the resources freed by their closure not finding their way into dynamic export activities. In these circumstances it would be free trade which introduces the costs, in terms of a loss of potential national income, not protection. The difficulty is that once one allows for the

central importance of dynamic rather than static considerations, estimates of costs will depend largely upon judgements concerning the viability of alternative growth strategies. Naturally opinions differ on such broad questions, and those sceptical of the merits of free-trade are unlikely to be convinced by cost of protection calculations.

A more fruitful approach to the question of the impact of trade distortions on growth is likely to be through reductions in exports due to the antiexport bias of protection. If exports are held back this is likely to have important implications for long-run growth, since rising exports should help to ease the foreign exchange constraint and may allow the attainment of greater economies of scale, when production is no longer limited to the home market. Exports can be held back in a number of ways: two of these - the high cost of non-traded inputs arising from protection and the relatively higher incentives for domestic market sales - will be referred to in this section. A third, a high exchange rate maintained by protection will be considered in the following section.

Recent work using what is termed "shift analysis" has estimated the extent to which protection of importables has penalised the export sector, through its effect on the domestic price of non-tradeables. As we have noted earlier, higher prices of non-traded imports imply an implicit tax on the export sector which may more than offset any subsidies it receives. Greenaway and Milner (1985) provide data on the shift coefficient in a number of countries, where this can be seen as the proportion of the growth of protection to importers that is passed on to exporters as higher non-traded good prices. The simple average for the Latin-American countries for which they provide data is 67%⁴⁵. An important point the authors bring out is that whilst governments can set nominal rates of import duty and export subsidies, unless they operate a very comprehensive set of price controls for the non-traded sector they cannot influence prices of non-traded goods in relation to importables and exportables. The final outcome of relative prices will be determined by the technological characteristics and consumer preferences that determined the degree of substitutability between non-traded and traded sectors. In other words, governments cannot influence the shift coefficient and thus cannot determine ex-ante the real

protection or real subsidy they are granting. This is another way of expressing the argument regarding the unco-ordinated nature of the incentive structure under a trade control system. The exact empirical significance of the findings of shift analysis is unclear since one would need to know how imports have responded to the negative subsidies that are implied. However it provides further evidence of anti-export bias.

There is evidence from a number of countries, much of which is summarized i Krueger (1973) that non-traditional exports respond to both export incentives and real exchange rate changes. Krueger stresses, however, that the critical determinant of performance, appears to be the trade-bias of a protective system, rather than the level of the exchange rate: in other words what is of central concern is the relative levels of incentives for sale in the domestic and Krueger argues that what is required for successful export export markets, growth is the removal of a bias against exports, and a substantial government commitment to prevent the re-emergence of any such bias in future. This involves granting exports equivalent incentives to those afforded to domestic sales, but do not, it should be noted, necessarily imply a need to move to a free trade Several of the successful export economies of the 1960's, for example policy. Japan, S. Korea and Brazil, maintained various forms of import protection, whilst export growth was taking place. The important point was that the incentives created by import protection were offset by various combinations of export incentives and exchange rate changes. Furthermore discussions such as that of Krueger, and the earlier work of Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970) normally fail to allow for a possible link between an initial stage of importsubstitution-biased industrialization, and later export success. Although the case still needs to be proved conclusively it is possible that some of the successful export economies were able to achieve rapid export growth, as a consequence of the production experience acquired initially in selling in a protected domestic market. If this is the case the stark dichotomy between inward and outward-looking industrialization which is still present in many discussions of trade strategy may prove to be a misleading oversimplification

Foreign Exchange Markets

Many studies have estimated the divergence between controlled official exchange rates, and market clearing rates. These estimates can be incorporated into the type of cost-of-protection models discussed in the previous section. However, given the limitations of these models in considering the cost of intervention in the foreign exchange market, it is more appropriate to focus on the disincentive to exports implied by exchange rate There is evidence from a number of studies that overvaluation. devaluations do generally improve the trade balance, which implies that overvaluations and its associated policies, held back exports and thus tightened the balance of payments constraint on overall growth. Bird (1982) for example argues that in general trade elasticities in most developing countries, at least in the longer term, are sufficiently high for exports and imports to move in the direction required for balance of payments improvement. His survey of the evidence follows the earlier work of Cooper (1971), in concluding that in a majority of cases the trade balance improved following devaluation. However a number of qualifications must be made:

(i) in many countries the period over which the real exchange rate is devalued is relatively brief. Often this follows in part from the inflationary consequences of devaluation itself⁴⁷.

(ii) the internal relative price effect on traded and non-traded goods is also normally transitory. Evidence from a number of countries suggests that the price advantage for tradeables is largely eroded within a period of up to three years⁴⁸.

(iii) the depression of economic activity following devaluation varies between countries, from periods of a few months to over a year⁴⁹. There are difficulties however in disentangling the direct effects of devaluation from those of other policy changes.

These findings suggest that the effect of devaluation on the trade balance is largely short-term, although it may still be a useful impetus for future growth. There are nonetheless short-run costs likely to be involved to set against favourable trade and allocation effects. These are essentially a higher rate of domestic inflation and some reduction in real expenditure and activity. The type of economy in which these costs will be highest will be where the propensity to import is high, import demand and export supply are inelastic, and where labour's resistance to real wage cuts is greatest. These are clearly the economies where the favourable effects of devaluation are likely to be weakest.

Labour markets

Turning to the question of labour market distortions, some estimates exist of the magniture of labour and capital market distortions, in terms of raising labour and lowering capital costs. Krueger (1963) reports data from eight countries in the 1960's and early 1970's which show the degree to which labour costs were raised relative to capital for the modern protected sector of the economy. Those data are given in table 3. The key figures are in the last column, and show the increase in the wagecapital cost or wage-capital rental ratio for the protected modern sector relative to the same ratio in the rest of the economy. It is difficult to generalize on the basis of data from only eight countries, but it appears that in only two. Prazil and the Ivory Coast, were the percentage distortions in labour costs greater than those in capital costs. In two countries, Pakistan and Tunisia, the combined effect of wage and capital distortions appears to have been substantial. However, again it is unclear what constitutes a significant level of distortion in cases such as this. Arueger reports that efforts to simulate the level of employment in the absence of distortions produced a 10% increase for Argentina, 15% for Brazil, and as much as 271% for Pakistan. The realism of such projections is unclear, but even allowing for the fact that they refer to once-for-all increases, and disregarding the Pakistan result as extreme, the results for the other two countries are still substantial⁵⁰.

			1				
puntry	Year	% increase	% reduction in capital costs due to			% increase in	
、		labour costs	Trade	Credit	Others	wage-rental ratio	
gentine	1973	15	8	9	N•ā.	38	
azil	1968	27	0	4	n.a.	31	
ile	1966-63	n.a.	37	п.а.	n.a.	37	
ng Kong	1973	0	0	0	0	0	
ory Coast	19:1	23	0	3	12	45	
kistan	1961 -6 4	0	38	53	10	316	
Horea	1969	o	0	8	2	11	
nisia	1972	20	30	6	n.a.	87	

Percentage estimated distortion in capital and labour ' costs from various sources a)

Note a) Percentage changes refer to costs in the 'distorted' or protected modern sector relative to costs in the rest of the economy.

1

Source: Krueger (1983) table 7.1

•

.

Table 3

As noted earlier there is now general agreement that scope for technology choice, in terms of degrees of labour-intensity, exists Several in a fairly wide range of industrial activities. firm-level studies have indicated that for particular branches the gain in employment arising from use of more labour-intensive techniques can be substantial. One of the most comprehensive of these is by Pack (1980), and his results are worth noting. Pack examined the range of technologies in use in nine manufacturing branches, and contrasted the characteristics of what he identifies as the most economically appropriate and the most capital intensive technologies. In total for an equal investment in each branch use of the economically appropriate technologies generated approximately four times the number of jobs associated with the most capital-intensive technologies. Naturally this result depends upon the branches selected for study but the nine chosen are all likely to be important, particularly for the less industrialized developing countries⁵¹.

However, this evidence on the scope for technology choice does demonstrate that particular labour or capital market distortions will be sufficient to block the adoption of economically efficient technologies. Squire (1981) for example judges labour market distortions in many developing countries to be a relatively weak influence on the level of employment.

He tests for the effects of reductions in the minimum wage on employment in both the formal and informal sectors, and in particular on productivity in the latter. His conclusion is that the removal of distortions in the form of minimum wage legislation is unlikely to have a significant impact on incomes in the informal sector through a rise in productivity, as workers leave to find formal sector employment. Furthermore, simulations of his model for different demand and suppl elasticities for labour show reductions in minimum wages to have relatively little impact on total employment, although they can increase substantially formal sector employment, if relatively high demand elasticities are assumed⁵².

This type of evidence does not mean that in combination the effect of labour and capital market distortions may not still be a significant influence on employment and capital use in many countries. Relative factor prices will be among a number of influences on technology choice, and clearly if some technologies are judged more economically efficient than others, prices facing producers should be such as to encourage shifts in the desired direction. The extent to which such shifts will actually fall in response to relative factor price changes will vary both between branches, and between economies.

Squire does also suggest, however, that capital market distortions in the form of the availability of cheap credit to farmers may have a significant negative effect on agricultural employment in many countries, due to excessive mechanisation.

Empirical Studies of Capital Market Controls

Most empirical work has focussed on attempts to estimate the impact of interest rate controls on economic growth. Interest rates are generally taken as a proxy for financial repression, and have the virtue, from a research point of view, of being readily measurable. Various models have been tested by their

various authors. Work has

concentrated on specifying a model in a testable form (data are available for the variables used) and then estimating the values of the coefficients in order to evaluate the impact of the rate of interest on savings, investment and growth. The method of estimation used is invariably a form of least squares regression analysis. Tests have been performed on a substantial number of countries over substantial periods of time. The main econo-

metric studies are summarised briefly below. It should be noted, however, that the regressions produce variable results in their correlation coefficient and t-statistics and in the magnitude of their coefficients. However, it is difficult to do full justice to the studies in the limited space available, and interested readers are referred to the original texts.

Fischer (1981) estimated an investment function for forty developing countries over 1960-1972. He found that "domestic savings transferred within the respective country had a greater influence upon capital formation in countries with low and stable inflation rates than in countries where rates of inflation were high and stable".

The positive sign of the coefficient of the nominal interest rate and the negative sign for the expected inflation rate confirm repression theories. Fischer estimated that the interest rate and the inflation rate each account for about 20% of the change in the private domestic investment ratio, but the biggest influence is the inverse relationship between public sector investment and private domestic investment His results support the notion that public sector investment crowds out private sector investment.

Fry (1974) found that in Afghanistan changes in the real rate of interest had a definite impact on growth. Abe et al (1977) found a positive (but not very substantial) relationship between saving and the real deposit rate in six Asian countries. Fry (1978a) look at fourteen developing countries in the ESCAP region and found that increases in the real rate of interest did increase financial savings. The effect may not be to increase <u>total</u> savings, rather it may divert savings from inflation hedges to financial savings. The effect, nevertheless, is to increase the availability of loanable funds available for investment. Fry (1978b)

- found that the real interest rate has a positive effect on domestic saving and economic growth in seven Asian LDCs over the period 1962-1972. 49 Fry (1980) extended the analysis to cover 61 developing countries and found that saving is affected positively by the real deposit rate of interest and that credit availability is an important determinant of not only new investment, but also of capacity utilisation of the entire capital stock. He further estimated the cost of financial repression to be around 1/8 in economic growth lost for each 1% by which the real deposit rate of interest is set below its market equilibrium rate. Hanson (1979) studied the impact of the change from positive to negative real interest rates in Colombia in 1967 on saving, investment and growth and found that they all declined as a result. In a fairly broad study of ten West African countries, Leite (1982) came to the general conclusion that the prevailing policies of low and stable interest rates are inappropriate.

Not all studies have obtained positive results, though. Galbis (1979b) in a study of nineteen Latin American countries, produced inconclusive results. Brodersohn (1981) found a positive relation

between liberalisation of interest rates and saving in the Southern Cone countries, but found that the impact on the demand for investment funds was inconclusive or negative. Vogel and Buser (1976) looked at the relationship between real interest rates, saving and investment in Latin America and the results were again inconclusive.

Few empirical studies have been carried out to estimate the impact of liberalising interest rates after such a reform has been implemented. Sri Lanka, where interest rates were raised considerably after the election in 1977, has produced two studies. Roe (1982) found that

" a large part of total investment is not governed either as to its total quantity or its allocation by local interest rate conditions. Under present institutional arrangements, the administered interest rates could be set at almost any level without affecting the pattern of investment. Thus so long as these arrangements persist it is impossible to say what is the 'correct' level of these rates, or whether 'high' rates are preferable to 'low' ones." (p.221)

Khatkhate (1982), although only concerned with the pre-1977 liberalisation in Sri Lanka, was of the opinion that negative real interest rates had resulted in a shrinking of the supply of real loanable funds, leaving the demand for investment finance unsatisfied.

In Latin Ameria, Galbis (1979a) found that liberalising interest rates in the 1970s had been generally successful in Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina, but not in Chile.

Korea reformed its interest rates in 1965. This was followed by

an enormous increase in time deposits. Van Wijnbergen (1982) has estimated that this growth came from switching money from the kerb, or parallel, market to time deposits, rather than from increased savings or increased mobilisation of cash savings. The effect was to tighten credit on the kerb market, which many smaller businesses depend on, and the reform was therefore contractionary in the short run. Van Wijnbergen considered that the contraction would persist if bank lending were restricted by government controls. Tight credit controls, if a permanent feature of bank regulation, would self-evidently restrict investment, and be contrary to the objective of interest rate liberalisation.

<u>Conclusions</u> The bulk of the empirical evidence supports the main tenet of repression theory, that liberalising interest rates tends to increase savings and investments. The significant number of inconclusive and negative studies gives some weight to the qualifications we made in the earlier discussion of repression theory, that interest rate liberalisation may not be a sufficient condition for increased saving and investment, and in some countries it may simply not work. However, there is little evidence that liberalisation is harmful, and we would repeat our earlier assertion, that the onus is on the supporters of repression to justify the policy, and to justify the implication of repression, that savers should subsidise borrowers.

Economy-wide dictortions

The preceding discussion has focussed upon individual distortions more or less in isolation from one another. However it can be argued that in a highly distorted economy a number of important market signals will be functioning wrongly and that in total the combined effect of these distortions may exceed the individual effects viewed in isolation. The World Bank (1983) attempted to meet this point by relating estimates of the overall level of distortions in a sample of 31 developing countries to their growth performance, on a crosscountry basis 50. Since this one of the few attempts to quantify the overall effect of distortions it is worth discussing in some detail. There are ^tor difficulties in quantifying the degree of distortion in different markets, since one requires a norm with which to compare actual prices. The World Bank study is not able to compare market prices with accurate estimates of shadow prices. The approach is to derive rough proxy estimates for shadow prices in different markets. In all, seven distortions are considered relating to the exchance rate, effective protection for manufacturing and agriculture respectively, unskilled labour and capital, the rate of inflation and public utility pricing. Using data from the 1970's countries are grouped in high, medium or low distortion categories, on the basis of their distortion measure in each market.

The growth performance of the 31 developing countries during the 1970's can be considered in relation to their rating by degree of distortion. The basic results of the study are summarized in table 5; countries are grouped on the basis of an overall distortion index into high, medium or low categories, and the simple averages of various performance indicators are calculated for each group. It is clear that the low distortion group has a superior growth performance on the basis of all the indicators shown. For example, in terms of GDP growth the low distortion group had an annual average growth of about 7% per year during the 1970's, which is 2 percentage points above the

average for all countries in the sample, and 4 percentage points above the average for high distortion countries. The divergence in performance between the low and high distortion groups is particularly marked in the case of exports, with the former showing an average growth of just under 7% per year, and latter a growth of below 1%.

Cross-sectional regression analysis relating GDP growth to the composite distortion index was also applied to allow for variations between countries in the three separate groups shown in table 5. This showed a significant negative correlation between the distortion index and growth. On the basis of this evidence the World Bank (1963) concludes that

"in short the statistical analysis clearly suggests that prices matter for growth". p.63, However, a number of qualifications should be made.

There are severe problems in quantifying the various distortions (i) The measures of distortion used do not compare across countries. market prices with shadow prices, but only with proxies for the latter, which in some cases are very crude; for example, market wages are not compared with shadow wages, and nor are actual rates with exchange One can also add that measures of ERP can vary substantially rates. with the methodology adopted, and it is not clear how directly comparable across countries are the ERPs for agriculture and manufacturing used in the construction of the composite index. Therefore insofar as the overall distortion index is constructed, at least in part, with data of questionable validity the strength of the conclusions of the study must be weakened.

(ii) The regression results show the distortion index to be a significant explanatory variable, explaining about one-third of the variation in growth performance between countries⁵⁴. However, certain countries grew more rapidly than predicted by the equation - including S. Korea, Brazil, Indonesia, Ivory Coast, Egypt and Nigeria - whilst others performed markedly worse than predicted - including Ethiopia, Jamaica, Ghana and India. World Bank (1983) acknowledged that "many other elements, not least natural resource endowment as well as other economic, social and political, and institutional factors would need to be considered in a more complete explanation to account fully for the variation in growth rates".p.63.

able 5. <u>Ind</u>	ices of price d	istortions and o	growth performance	a) in the 1970)'s
ountries by istortion roup	Annual GDP growth	Domestic Savings- Income ratio	Annual growth of agriculture	Annual growth of industry	Annuəl growth of expcrts
wc	6.8	21.4	4.4	9.1	6.7
∋dium	5.7	17.8	2.9	6.8	3.9
igh	. 3.1	13.8	1.8	3.2	0.7
verall average	5.0	17.4	3.0	6.1	3.5

ote: a) All measures of performance are simple averages for the groups of countries involved.

.

۰.

Surce: World Bank (1983) table 6.1

٠

This is quite clearly a reasonable conclusion, but once the importance of other factors is allowed for, the primacy of price distortions becomes open to question.

(iii) finally perhaps the most important point is that a statistical association between measures of distortion in an economy, and growth, obviously says nothing about causation. Earlier sections of this chapter have summarized many of the arguments which suggest that distortions will reduce both allocative efficiency and long-term growth. Protection, for example, may shelter high cost producers and create a bias against exports. Similarly technology choice may be biased in an inappropriate direction due to factor market distortions and specialization along the lines of existing resource endowments may be hindered. Such arguments suggest that causation runs directly from high distortions to low growth. However the evidence is open to another interpretation. Some would argue that in many developing countries growth is held back by various structural rigidities: for example, lack of domestic entropreneurs and skilled workers may make it difficult to increase domestic supply of many commodities in the short-run; the inability of the government to raise revenue may restrict the level of investment, and export earnings may be held back by external constraints ⁵⁵. In this view distortions can be the symptom of structural problems rather than the fundamental cause of low growth. It is interesting that the World Bank (1983) finds the exchange rate to be the single most significant individual distortion. However one would expect an economy, with what may be termed a structural balance of payments problem - a small non-traditional export sector and a high propensity to import - to experience low growth, since whenever incomes rise significantly the absolute increase in imports will exceed the foreign exchange that the export sector can generate. Growth may be for balance of payments reasons. To conserve foreign exchange curtailed such an economy may have to establish an import controls system - inevitably

raising the ERP granted to many producers - and it may also experience a real exchange rate appreciation, if structural bottlenecks create a higher rate of domestic inflation than in its trading competitors. In such circumstances, low growth will be accompanied by distortions, as measured by high ERPs and exchange rate appreciation; however such distortions are not necessarily the underlying causes of low growth, which in this view lie in the structural characteristics of the economy. One need not generalize this argument too far, since the interpretation of the relation between distortions and growth will be determined by a reading of the constraints faced by particular economies. There is no reason however, why causation should always lie in a single direction. In some countries, at some times, distortions as defined here may contribute directly to poor economic performance. In other circumstances however they may simply reflect more fundamental structural problems 'so that removal of the distortions alone would not be a long-term solution.

60

This section has gone in some detail into the empirical evidence on the significance of price distortions, and it is necessary to draw together some conclusions from the often ambiguous data considered. The most important general point is that the economic consequences of the sets of market prices prevailing in developing countries do appear to matter, although getting prices right is clearly not the only issue. There is evidence that the relative prices prevailing in many developing countries often bear no clear relation to government objectives, and that in some circumstances the effects of prices may operate in direct contradiction to certain objectives. In terms of the specific consequences of market distortions, it appears that protection of import substitute industries has sheltered high cost producers, and in some instances pulled resources into non-priority Perhaps most seriously incentives for domestic production have areas. often not been matched by incentives for export, which has hindered

the growth of exports . Regarding the effect of labour market distortions, their impact on employment growth is unclear, although in certain sectors employment may have been negatively affected to a substantial degree. Finally domestic savings do appear to be responsive to real interest rates, suggesting that capital market distortions may have held down savings and investment.

The evidence on the overall consequences of distortions for growth clearly does not demonstrate that price policy is the only factor which can explain the wide variation in growth experience between developing countries. However, there are clearly grounds for arguing that prices have a sufficiently important role to warrant consideration of ways of reforming price-setting procedures. If resource mobilization and allocation decisions are reponsive to price changes, then one can argue that decision-takers should be forced to examine whether Prices currently ruling in key markets of their economies are appropriate, in the sense that the effects created by these prices, in terms of either resource use or income distribution, are in line with government objectives and priorities.

- 1. Ian Little in a recent book, Little (1982), categorizes development economists as either "structuralist" or "neo-classical" on the basis of the extent to which they believe prices to be an important factor in resource allocation; the latter clearly do, and he defines the former as all those who do not.
- One can also distinguish between distortions created by government policies, and those resulting from market conditions; for example, monopoly. Corden (1974) uses the term distortion to refer to the effects of government intervention, describing the effect of market conditions as a "divergence".
- 3. There is a substantial literature on the definition and estimation of shadow prices: see for example UNIDO (1972), (1979), (1980), Little and Mirlees (1974) and Squire and van der Tak (1975). Where governments are interested in objectives other than allocative efficiency the measurement of opportunity costs will have to be broadened to cover the effects on these wider objectives.
- 4. In terms of the previous example, this implies that the balance of payments may be controlled by a mixture of the exchange rate, quota restrictions, tariffs and internal demand management.
- 5. The term efficiency is ambiguous, since it must be related to the achievement of particular objectives. In this section, efficiency is used in the sense of allocative efficiency: that is the extent to which income is maximised from the utilisation of existing resources. Dynamic and distributional considerations are introduced in later chapters.
- Here the initial distortion will be the difference between the valuation of the commodity by the government and the valuation of private consumers.
- Major empirical works on shadow pricing include Lal (1980) on India, Scott, MacArthur and Newbury (1976) on Kenya, and Powers (1981) on several Central and Latin American economies
- 8. Balassa has been the pioneer in this area; see, for example, Balassa (1971) and more recently Balassa (1982).
- 9. The term comes from Timmer et al (1983).
- 10. In this statement and elsewhere in the discussion the deviation of domestic from world prices due to domestic transport and distribution costs is ignored.
- 11. Strictly this assumes that export demand is perfectly elastic, so that exporters have no problem selling more at the prevailing price, and that competitive production conditions prevail domestically

- 12. See, for example, Bhagwati (1973). This point of the relative effects of tariffs and quotas is explored further in the discussion of policy alternatives.
- 13. Krueger (1974) points out that real economic resources may be wasted by firms or traders wishing to obtain access to licences or other documents necessary under a system of direct economic controls.
- 14. We have already seen how market interventions through tariffs, taxes and subsidies will influence this ratio.
- -15. The ERP formula for branch j is

$$ERP_{j} = T_{j} - \sum_{i} T_{i} \cdot a_{ij}$$

$$-\frac{i}{1 - \sum_{i} a_{ij}}$$

where T_j and T_i are the nominal rates of protection on output j and input i respectively

aii gives the imput of i per unit of j at world prices, and world

prices of j and i are normalized to equal unity.

It can be shown that ERP_j can be rewritten to give the ratio of the additional value-added arising from protection to value added under free trade so that

$$ERP_{j} = VADP_{j} - VAWP_{j}$$

where VADP, and VAMP are value-added at domestic and world prices respectively.

Corden (1971) provides a comprehensive study of the theory of protection

16. A simple numerical example may illustrate the extent to which nominal and effective protection rates can differ. There are three goods produced under protection - A, B and C: nominal rates of tariff are 40% for A, 20% for B and 10% for C. For simplicity it is assumed that each good requires only one produced input B, and that B is 50% of the value of output at world prices in each case. With these assumptions the ERP measures will be 60% for A, 20% for B and zero for C.

In comparison with the nominal rates the ranking of products has remained unchanged, but the relative degree of incentive has widened substantially. C receives no not protection and A's effective protection is 50% greater than its nominal rate.

- 17. Balassa (1982) finds the rankings of branches by nominal and effective rates of protection to be significantly correlated in some countries. He argues however that ERP measures will be much more effective in prediciting the absolute size of resource shifts arising from protection. The implication is that in some countries nominal rates may be useful in predicting the direction, as opposed to the magnitude of resource shifts.
- 18. Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970) pointed to the bias within manufacturing against domestic production of capital goods.

19. Formally the DRC ratio for activity i is

$$DRC_{i} = \sum_{j} s_{j} V_{ji} / IVA_{i}$$

where s₁ is the shadow price of domestic factor j

 V_{11} is the amount of factor j required per unit of output i

IVA; is the international value added in i

From this definition it follows that ERP and DRC measures will be equivalent if market prices rather than shadow prices are used in the DRC measure,

- 20. Bhagwati (1978) surveys some of the evidence on DRCs; see chapter 5 pp 82-126
- 21. Estimating the appropriate exchange rate for an economy is by no means straightforward, however; for various theoretical approaches see for example the papers in the symposium Oxford Economic Papers (1974)
- 22. Bhagwati (1978) points out that theoretically a wide range of ERCs need not inevitably imply resource misallocation. A producer with a low ERC at present may run into increasing costs for example, or alternatively face a falling output price if his production is expanded. Both effects would raise his DRC ratio. Also Warr (1982) points to the limitations of ranking by DRC ratios.
- 23. Ealassa (1982) uses the definition of

$$ERS_i = VADP_i^1 - VAWP_i$$

VAWP_i where VADP_iⁱ is the value-added in i at domestic prices adjusted for subsidies, and as before VAWP_i is value-added at world prices.

The subsidies included in the estimates are for credit and tax payments. The incidence of the subsidy has to be estimated fairly crudely however; see Balassa (1982) p. 9-19.

24. This appears to have been the case in Taiwan, and to a lesser extent in S. Korea

- 25. Agarwala (1983) surveys ERP estimates for agriculture and manufacturing for a number of countries, and finds 12 where the average ERP for agriculture was negative during the 1970's.
- 26. Balassa (1974) provides formulae for the calculation of the shadow exchange rate under these alternative policy scenarios.
- 27. Thirlwall (1980) gives a good introduction to devaluation and competing theories of the balance of payments.
- 28. The 'new structuralist' critique of devaluation as a policy measure for developing countries is that it is 'stagflationary' - creating both inflation and domestic recession. This rests in part on the view that export responses will be slow to emerge; see Taylor (1981) and Kangman and Taylor (1976).
- 29. For an example of this approach in Jamaica, see Weiss (1985). It must be remembered that the extent of underemployment must be allowed for, so that a worker's annual productivity will be measured by days worked per year multiplied by the daily wage rate. This simple view of migration patterns, where agriculture provides the labour for all new projects in the economy, can be modified in various ways. However, it still provides the basis for many empirical studies on shadow wages
- 30. For example, it figures in the article setting out the famous "Lewismodel" for a Labour surplus economy; see Lewis (1954).
- 31. The argument must be qualified, however since under certain circumstances long-run growth of both employment and income could be greater with capitalintensive rather than labour-intensive techniques. Sen (1968) provides the classic discussion of these issues.
- 32. See Stewart (1977), White (1978) and Pack (1980) for surveys of the literature.
- 33. This abstracts from differences between market and shadow wages due to differences between domestic and international prices; see, for example, the discussion of skilled labour in Powers (1981).
- 34. High wage economies where government intervention has been important are listed as the East African countries, plus Nigeria, Fuerto Rico, Colombia, Sri Lanka and Fakistan. It is noted that in many mining-based economies the initial impetus towards high urban wages comes from the foreign mining companies; see Squire (1931) p.120-130
- 35. Interest rate floors on deposit and loan rates are also encountered occasionally, but they have not been taken into account in the analysis here. As an illustration of methods of interest rate controls, Galbis (1979a) found that 17 out of 19 Latin American countries studied had imposed interest rate controls at some time during the period 1967-76. Interest rate ceilings on deposits were encountered in 14 countries, of which five were comprehensive ceilings and nine partial ceilings. Three countries had interest rate floors. In seven countries, interest rates were pegged to some form of index, usually as part of a much wider indexation of financial assets and liabilities.

65

- 36. The argument assumes that the interest rate is the main determinant of the investment rate in a given period. This, of course, is questionable and other important determinants include the expected rates of growth and inflation, the level of excess capacity at the beginning of the period, and all the other factors which make up that elusive concept, business confidence.
- 37. Of course there will always be a margin between bank borrowing and lending rates, but this is ignored for ease of exposition.
- 38. Nonetheless, government borrowing, by offering increasingly higher risk free rates of return to lenders, may "crowd out" private sector borrowing. To the extent that crowding out occurs, government borrowing, if used for current expenditure, may be at the expense of private sector investment.
- 39. The literature on the impact of foreign capital inflows on domestic saving efforts has been summarised recently by Dowling and Hiemenz (1983), for example.
- 40. For a brief exposition of the approach, see Corden (1975). It should be noted that a number of qualifications may have to be made to the simple definition of producer and consumer costs given above. In particular the expansion of exports associated with a move to free trade must be allowed for. This expansion may have a negative terms of trade effect, if international prices for exports fall, and may also encounter rising production costs, if there are diminishing returns to scale. On the consumption side, if domestic prices of exportables rise with the move to free trade this will create consumption costs of free trade to offset the removal of the consumption costs associated with protection.
- 41. Bergsman (1974)
- 42. It should be noted that Balassa amended Bergsman's calculations to produce somewhat higher figures including 3.7% for the Philippines, 6.2% for Pakistan and 9.5% for Brazil. Balassa (1975) p.136
- 43. These results are cited by both Krueger (1984) and Balassa (1982)
- 54. Bias can be expressed simply in quantitative terms as the ratio of domestic to world prices for importables over the same ratio for exportables; therefore bias exists where

$$B \neq 1$$
, and DP_m / WP_m
 $B = \frac{DP_x / WP_x}{DP_x / WP_x}$

where B is the measure of bias

DPm and DP are the domestic prices of importables and exportables respectively;

and WP_m and WP_x are the world prices for importables and exportables respectively

Anti-export bias implies B > 1, and pro-export bias B < 1

45. The shift coefficient is derived from the equation

 $\Delta\left(\frac{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}}\right) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}}\right)$

where Δ denotes changes, and Ph, Px and Pm are the prices of non-tradeables, exportables and importables respectively; and w is the shift coefficient.

- 46. This possibility is touched on by Diaz-Alejandro (1975) and Findlay (1975). Some empirical evidence is considered by Kirkpatrick and Nixson (1963)
- 47. The brief period of real depreciation is illustrated for several countries in Krueger 1978.
- 48. Warr (1984) surveys this evidence and contrasts it with the Indonesian experience.
- 49. See Cooper (1971)

:

- 50. The percentage increases in employment refer to the protected sector only, see Krueger (1984) p.357. Earlier estimates for Pakistan, by the same author, (Guisinger (1981)) put the possible additional employment arising from the removal of distortions at rather less. However exercises of this type are generally highly sensitive to assumed demand and supply elasticities
- 51. Pack defines economically appropriate as the technology which generates the highest net benefit to capital ratio, at market prices. His nine branches cover a range of industrial processes and represent a variety of technical rigidities: see Forsyth et al (1982) for a classification of manufacturing activities by their technical properties.
- 52. Squire (1981) p.126-128. High demand elasticities are defined here as greater than 2.0. Squire notes that empirical estimates of unskilled labour demand elasticities are frequently found to be 1.0 or less, and if this is the case, the employment effect of the removal of minimum wage legislation is small even in the formal sector. Berry and Sabot (1978) also take the view that the cost of labour market imperfections is not great.
- 53. Giovanni (1983) re-estimated Fry's equations for the same countries over a different period (roughly the 1970s) in order to try to test the robustness of Fry's results. He found an insignificant relationship between domestic savings and the real interest rate, concluding, "Serious doubts are cast on the view that the interest elasticity of savings is significantly positive and easy to detect in developing countries." p.603
- 54. The detailed research upon which the analysis in the World Development Theory 1983 is based is in Agarwala (1983), which gives details of the procedure adopted.
- 55. Kirkpatrick and Nixson (1983) give a summary of what they term the "structuralist-dependency perspective" on industrialization.
CHAPTER III. Commodity Prices and Government Objectives : A Five - stage Framework.

The previous chapter has considered in detail the evidence on prices in a number of markets across a range of developing The general conclusion is that prices very often countries. fail to reflect the economic value of resources or commodities. In other words, the market prices at which transactions take place do not capture the economic benefits of producing outputs, or the economic cost of using inputs. Given this situation an important and complex question is at what levels should actual prices be set, and what mechanism should governments use to ensure that these prices prevail in the market. Here the view is taken that prices can be a major policy instrument for However, to play this role they must operate economic change. in a way that produces results compatible with broad government objectives. If the distortionary consequences of the type of government interventions discussed in the previous chapter are generally undesirable, this does not mean that all government interventions with the price system are inevitably so. Following the distinction of Agarwala (1983) one can have interventionist price policies that are not distortionary.

The question of the set of relative prices that a government should aim for cannot be answered in isolation from knowledge of government objectives, relevant resource and other constraints, and the other policy instruments available to implement objectives. In this chapter the discussion is concerned primarily with government objectives. The other issues are considered in chapters III and IV. If what is conventionally termed 'allocative efficiency' is the only objective - in other words if the only aim is to maximize the national income that can be obtained from a given level of resources - there are a number of well established guidelines from the cost-benefit literature that can be used to determine economic values¹. The normal policy recommendation is that to achieve efficiency in this sense, market prices should be equated with economic values, where the latter are the economic opportunity costs of commodities and resources. The guidelines can be

summarized briefly:

- prices in the markets for capital, labour and foreign exchange should equal the opportunity costs of these resources to the economy.
- (2) prices of traded goods should equal their world prices converted into local currency at an exchange rate that reflects the opportunity costs of foreign exchange.
- (3) prices of non-traded goods should equal their long-run marginal opportunity costs of production².

It is obvious however that most governments are concerned with more objectives than the pursuit of allocative efficiency alone. These additional objectives will have to be allowed for if one is to devise an appropriate framework for price reform. It is important to consider what type of objectives could lead to recommendations that market prices should diverge from the economic values noted above. Some or all of four objectives, in addition to allocative efficiency, are likely to be important for most governments. These can be listed as

- public revenue objectives
- dynamic objectives
- self-sufficiency objectives
- distributional objectives

Public Revenue Objectives

A key concern of most governments is to raise revenue to cover their capital and recurrent expenditures. A major source of tax revenue in developing countries is commodity taxation, and in general developing countries obtain a significantly higher proportion of their taxation revenue from such taxes, and a significantly lower proportion from taxes on incomes and profits, as compared with industrialised economies. Further,

there is a clear trend that the poorer the developing country the greater the share of commodity tax revenue that will be collected on imports and exports, as compared with domestically This reflects both the importance of trade produced goods. in many of these economies, and the weakness of the administrative system of tax collection, that makes it easier to tax commodities as they eneter or leave the county. For example, in 1981 from World Bank figures low-income developing countries collected 20% of total government revenue in the form of income and profits taxes, as compared with 43% for middle-income developing countries, and 41% for industrialized developed economies. Further, for commodity taxation, taxes on international trade were 25% of total government revenue in low-income as compared with 12% in middle-income developing countries and only 2% in industrialized $economies^3$.

Most governments will have a target amount of taxation they wish to raise from taxes on commodities. This will be determined by the difference between planned government expenditure and anticipated income from other types of taxation and additional sources such as government borrowing. Clearly the smaller is the scope for borrowing and the greater is the administrative or political difficulty of taxing incomes, profits and wealth, the more important will be commodity taxation as a source of Given the need to tax commodities to raise revenue, revenue. market prices and economic values must diverge by the rate of This divergence will only apply in absolute not taxation. relative terms, however, if a uniform rate of taxation is applied to all commodities, and initial pre-tax prices equal economic values. It is the relative price of commodities that matters for resource allocation decisions, and the merit of a uniform tax system is that relative prices will not be disturbed by commodity The policy of uniform taxes is discussed further taxation. below and forms the starting point for the analysis of price adjustments.

Dynamic Objectives

These reflect the fact that some governments may not wish to accept the pattern of output determined by considerations of

The latter can be seen as short-term, allocative efficiency. reflecting the extent to which domestic production is currently internationally competitive. However, some governments may have a fairly long-term planning horizon, and may wish to identify and support industries, which although currently uncompetitive, have the potential for long-term productivity growth, through learning effects and technical progress. This is the familiar infant industry case for protection which has been discussed extensively and implies that through learning current infants can reach maturity and later become fully competitive⁴. The case for selective protection has also been made in terms of the external benefits generated by infant producers that stimulate activity in other branches of the economy and thus add to the economic profitability of protection. It should be noted, however, that a fall in costs of production over time, or even the achievement of international cost competitiveness, do not in themselves prove the economic desirability of supporting infant industries. Support for these industries can be viewed as a form of social investment with short-run costs - when domestic output is more expensive to produce than the price of competing imports - to be offset against longer-term benefits, when costs fall below import prices. Justification of support for infant industries requires that net benefits exceed costs over the working lives of the plants in the industry. Competitiveness at a point in time says nothing about the full discounted value of benefits relative to costs in the long run.

Economists have often been sceptical of the validity of the infant industry argument, and there is evidence that many infant producers in developing countries have not reached full maturity and become cost-competitive⁵. On the other hand, however, there ^{is} little doubt that the governments that have intervened successfully in their economies, have been able to 'pick winners', and encourage infant industries with considerable success. The two most notable examples are Japan and S. Korea, although in both the speed at which different industries have reached maturity, and therefore the time required for protection has varied considerably⁶. The well known statement on Japanese

industrial strategy in the 1950's from the then Vice-Minister of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI) is worth repeating here, since it expresses very clearly the dynamic objectives inherent in government policy at that time.

"MITI decided to establish in Japan industries which require intensive employment of capital and technology, industries that in consideration of comparative costs of production should be most inappropriate for Japan. From a short-run static viewpoint, encouragement of such industries would seem to conflict with economic rationalism. But from a long-range viewpoint these are precisely the industries where income elasticity of demand is high, technological progress is rapid and labour productivity rises fast". OECD (1972) p.15.

This is not to suggest that Japan and S. Korea provide a direct model for all developing countries to follow, but simply that well planned selective protectionist interventions based on dynamic considerations have successfully fostered competitive industries in these countries. With this experience in mind, it is wholly rational in economic terms for governments to consider selective interventions based on dynamic objectives

The aim is to identify and promote industries where domestic costs of production, allowing for any external effects, can fall to international levels over time; in other words industries in which an economy has a dynamic as opposed to a static comparative advantage. The chief mechanisms for this emerging cost competitiveness will be learning as experience in production accumulates, and domestic technical progress, as modifications and adaptations in the light of local conditions are introduced to the international technology of the industry. The process of 'picking winners' whilst choosing industries for special support is clearly complex and cannot be discussed here in detail. However, it is worth noting that in the context of developing countries

such dynamic industries are likely to have three main characteristics:

- (i) their initial cost disadvantage in relation to imports is not too high.
- (ii) they are not too dissimilar technologically from some existing industries, so that experience learnt in other activities can be used effectively in the new industries, and technological similarity will allow experimentation and technical progress.
- (iii) the international technological frontier in these industries is not moving too rapidly, so that technical progress abroad does not make it impossible for new industries in developing countries to catch up. This requirement will probably limit these industries to relatively traditional activities or to those based on newer mechanised engineering technology as opposed to more complex electrical, chemical and electronic technologies⁷.

Once a choice has been made, there are alternative ways of supporting dynamic infant industries. Economists' normal recommendation has been to subsidise where possible described as industry promotion - as opposed to protection from import competition, through tariffs or quota restrictions. The merits of these alternatives are discussed at a later stage. Here it is important to note only that both can imply divergences between domestic prices and economic values, although the attraction of the subsidy alternative is that it is likely to create fewer such divergences.

Use of import controls to protect selected industries implies that domestic prices for those traded goods will diverge from world prices. Where import tariffs are used domestic and world prices will alter by the extent of the tariff, however

as noted earlier a uniform rate of tariff will ensure that in the domestic market relative prices for traded goods will be determined by world prices. Where protection is through import quotas, however, domestic market-clearing prices will be determined by the strength of domestic demand relative to total supply available, and relative domestic prices need bearlittle relation to prices on the world market. It should be noted that where promotional policies of industrial support through producer subsidies are employed, the degree of divergence of domestic from world prices for traded goods will be determined by the way in which the subsidies are Again, a uniform tax on all commodities will financed. allow relative domestic prices to be determined by world prices.

Self-sufficiency Objectives

Just as governments may wish to encourage key industries on the basis of the potential they offer for factors like productivity growth, learning, technical progress and externalities, so there may be other industries judged important for national economic or political security. The essential or strategic characteristics of the goods produced by these industries are such that governments may feel domestic production is justified regardless of cost consider-In other words the goods concerned are too important ations. for their supply to be left to the vagaries of an uncertain world market, where supply availability and price may vary In this view risk of dependency on imports considerably. more than offsets any higher costs incurred in producing the goods domestically, and production becomes justified on grounds of self-sufficiency.

Many economists have a natural suspicion of self-sufficiency arguments and argue that in many instances they can imply not only very high costs semi-autarchic strategies, but also a very confused view of the causes of dependence. Efforts to become self-sufficient in one sector may cause a dependence on imports in another if the locally produced goods are inferior substitutes for the imports they replace, thus causing production shortfalls in user sectors. For example, if a government sees self-sufficiency in various farm equipment as an important objective, this can create another perhaps more serious form of dependence on the world market, if the local equipment is not sufficiently productive to ensure adequate domestic food supplies.

Nonetheless for many governments self-sufficiency in a particular line of activity can be an important objective. If this is the case it is clear that the sort of policies required to encourage industries on dynamic grounds will also be relevant here, although the motives for promotion or protection will be different. Therefore the same potential divergencies between domestic market prices and economic values which can arise from the treatment of dynamic industries will also apply for industries supported on self-sufficiency grounds.

Income

Distribution Objectives

All governments have explicit or implicit policies on income distribution. Measures to influence distribution can take a number of forms including land reforms, nationalizations and appropriations, de-nationalizations, and income and wealth taxes. The manipulation of the price system can also play a role, since prices of particular goods can be set with the effect of these prices on the real income of particular groups of consumers explicitly in mind. The most obvious example would be basic consumer or wage goods, which bulk large in the expenditure patterns of low income Income distribution objectives might mean that groups. governments wish to set a low price for these goods - that is one below their economic value - to allow the poor to consume more of such goods or to have more to spend on Such a pricing policy will involve some other things. form of subsidy, and as we have noted, the taxes necessary to finance the subsidy may themselves create divergences

between market prices and economic values. On the other hand, on distributional grounds governments may wish to impose higher than average indirect taxation on those goods consumed predominantly by the rich. This implies differential rates of indirect taxation of commodities on the basis of the income levels of their main consumers. The implication of using the price system as a means of affecting real incomes is that it will clearly be necessary to create a further wedge between market prices and economic values and this will involve differential rates of both subsidies and taxes.

A Five Stage Framework

Given this range of government objectives and the possibility of using the price system as a means of meeting these objectives, what guidelines can be identified if one is to attempt to establish a set of domestic prices that reflect broadly government objectives? The discussion in this chapter is concerned with interventions that affect the prices of commodities, primarily domestically produced tradeable goods - that is goods that are either import-competing or The majority of manufactures fall potentially exportable. within this category for most countries. For these goods, where the quality of domestic and foreign products are comparable, and where imports are not subject to quantitative restrictions, domestic prices will be determined by world prices plus the effect of domestic taxes or subsidies on trade⁸. The question regarding domestic price determination for these goods therefore becomes one of how to intervene most effectively with taxes and subsidies on foreign trade. Alternative interventions to influence the prices of domestic factors of production and foreign exchange pose somewhat different problems and are considered separately in the following chapter.

There is a vast and often complex literature on the theory of optimal pricing and taxation, and the discussions here oversimplifies some issues to derive the sort of relatively simple framework necessary as a guide to policy. The aim

is to set out a system of price interventions through taxes and subsidies that will take account of both government objectives and the economic consequences of various forms of intervention.

It is important to stress that the framework is sufficiently flexible to allow differing degrees of intervention depending upon government objectives: it is clear for example that governments wishing to promote large numbers of dynamic industries and having strong income redistributional goals would intervene for more than governments wishing to follow existing comparative advantage and having only mild views on redistribution.

In this chapter the discussion is of an 'idealised' set of interventions: it is idealised in the sense that the assumption is that one starts from scratch in designing interventions in a situation in which all of the real world distortions considered in chapter II have been removed. One moves from a non-distorted starting position through a sequence of stages, with each stage representing a different government objective. Furthermore, whilst there will always be different ways of meeting objectives, in this chapter the alternatives considered are largely those considered by economists as being the most efficient for meeting a given objective at the minimum cost elsewhere in the economy. The issue of what constitutes feasible as opposed to desirable policies is pursued in the following chapter.

It is hoped that this framework will be helpful, both in illuminating the theoretical issues involved in designing a set of tax-subsidy interventions and also in distinguishing between different objectives and their consequences for price policy. However, given its idealised nature the framework can only be a starting point for a discussion on policy reform. In the real world one cannot abolish situations overnight, and one must design policy changes in the light of the situation at which one begins the reform process. The five-stage framework should help clarify where one wishes to reach in terms of price policy; however the precise route one takes to

Service and the service of the service

this goal cannot be discussed in isolation from the starting position and the constraints on reform operative in the economy concerned. The question of the phasing of price reforms is discussed in chapter 5.

The five-stage framework linking prices and objectives can be summarized briefly as follows:

Stage 1 : Allocative Efficiency Pricing

These prices should be set equal to economic values, defined as the opportunity costs to the economy of the commodities or resources concerned.

Stage 2 : Public Revenue Adjustments

Here taxes must be added to economic values to cover revenue requirements

Stage 3 : Dynamic Adjustments

Here it will be necessary to promote, or if this is not possible to protect key industries with dynamic potential. Where promotion is used this will involve differential subsidies, and with protection, differential import duties.

Stage 4 : Self-sufficiency adjustments

Here industries are singled out for special treatment promotion or protection - on self-sufficiency rather than dynamic grounds. The policy interventions will be similar to those at stage 3.

Stage 5 : Income-distribution adjustments

Here prices of certain goods will be subsidized, and those of others will be raised by indirect taxation. Differential rates of subsidy and indirect taxation will be involved.

It is necessary to consider the tax-subsidy interventions at these different stages in some detail, in order to illustrate the principles involved. The discussion will move through the different stages in sequence.

Stage One : Allocative Efficiency Pricing

The principle here is that domestic market prices should be such that they reflect the economic opportunity costs of all resources and commodities. Following the guidelines noted earlier from the literature on cost benefit analysis, this implies the following

الحالية فيتروك ويوتعكون والتروين الروار الراب

- a) that the official exchange rate equals the shadow exchange rate;
- b) that the interest rate equals the opportunity cost of capital;
- c) that the market wage for labour be equated to the shadow wage;
- d) that domestic prices for traded goods equal their world prices converted at the new exchange rate;
- e) that domestic prices for non-traded goods equal their long-run marginal opportunity costs of production.

Some of these prices can be established by freeing markets and allowing market-clearing prices to emerge. However, for labour this scenario would be both unlikely and undesirable, since it would almost certainly imply a significant drop in real income for some groups of workers. Given this position a labour subsidy scheme would be required to equate the effective cost of employment to firms, with the estimated opportunity cost of labour to the economy. The question of the means of financing this subsidy is not considered in this five-stage framework, but will be taken up in the following chapter¹⁰. For manufacturing traded goods, therefore, the recommendation at stage one is clear - domestic prices should equal world prices, with the absolute value of prices determined by the prevailing exchange rate, and relative values determined by relative prices on the world market.

Stage Two : Public Revenue Adjustments

Given that governments have to impose indirect taxation, what principles should govern the rate at which it is levied. From an allocative efficiency viewpoint two propositions are normally stressed:

(i) that domestically produced goods and imported goods should be treated equally.

(ii) that a uniform rate of indirect taxation should be imposed on all commodities.

Proposition (i) is to allow consumers access to imports on equal terms with domestic production. Under competitive conditions this will ensure that domestic production of import competing goods is expanded only to the point at which domestic marginal cost equals the world price of competing imports, which is a requirement for efficiency in resource allocation. Proposition (ii) is to avoid discrimination between different branches and producers so that all have equal incentives to expand production¹¹. The conditions required by (i) and (ii) are met if government revenue from commodity taxation is raised by an excise tax on all domestic producers, and an import tariff on all imports set at equal rates. This set of taxes raises domestic consumer prices for tradeables above import prices by the extent of the uniform tariff, but ensures that domestic producers do not receive this price rise, since they are subject to an excise tax on production, at the same rate as the import tariff. This sytem is equivalent to a uniform sales tax on consumers imposed equally on all goods, both imports and domestic output. Under both tax systems therefore, domestic producers receive only the world price for their output. The rate of uniform taxation will be determined by government revenue requirements from commodity taxation and the projected impact of higher tax-inclusive prices on consumer demand.

This non-discriminatory uniform system has significant implications for the protection granted domestic producers in relation to foreigr competition. The nominal rate of protection will be zero, since the protective effect of the tariff on imports will be fully offset by the excise duty on import-competing domestic production. However under this system producers who use imported or domestically produced importable inputs or capital goods would face prices above world levels to the extent of the import tariff. They would therefore experience negative effective protection. To avoid this penalization of tradeable goods production by the tariff system one would have to exempt all intermediate

This set of exemptions would grant all producers zero effective protection, since producers would receive world prices for their outputs and pay world prices for their traded inputs. It would also create a commodity tax system based solely on The only divergence between world and domestic consumer goods. prices would be for consumer goods, where domestic prices would equal world prices converted at the prevailing exchange rate plus the uniform rate of tariff or tax. As has been stressed the uniform tariff or tax rate would be determined by government revenue objectives - both its total requirement and how much it wished to raise from commodity as opposed to other forms of taxation. The uniform rate would naturally be higher under this system than under one which widened the tax base beyond the taxation of consumer goods.

Stage Three : Dynamic Adjustments

مادا المرم ومربد الدا

A system of zero effective protection gives no special encouragement to any particular traded good activity. All are treated equally and have the same level of profit as they would in the absence of any indirect taxation. However, governments will not be satisfied with an equitable treatment for all industries, if some are to be encouraged on dynamic grounds. Theoretically all interventions to support such industries should be 'made to measure'¹³. In other words policies should aim to provide the dynamic activities with sufficient support to generate a minimum acceptable rate of return. Since the degree of support required will vary with the extent of cost uncompetitiveness of the industries concerned, interventions should be tailored to the needs of individual cases. This implies that the profit created by government support should be the minimum necessary to ensure production with as little as possible surplus profit above this minimum !evel.

As we have noted earlier the general theoretical recommendation is that where feasible dynamic industries should be promoted

81

by subsidies - which will have to be at differential rates rather than protected by import tariffs¹⁴. The merits of these alternatives are considered in more detail in chapter 4. Here it should be noted briefly that subsidies are recommended chiefly on the grounds that a) they do not differentiate between domestic and export sales, and therefore have no anti-export bias, and b) they do not penalize the consumers or users of the products to be supported. Their drawback is the fact that they have to be both financed through extra taxation, and administered through extra bureaucracy.

If we assume initially for the purpose of the present discussion that subsidies are feasible in both financial and administrative terms, what does this mean for the pricing-framework?

If differential rates of subsidy are granted to industries judged to have dynamic long-run effects, and these can be financed without the need for extra commodity taxation, domestic prices for traded goods will remain unchanged from those set at However if the subsidy scheme affects a significant stage 2. number of producers extra commodity taxation may be required. This would necessitate an increase in the uniform rate of import tariff and excise duty on consumer goods. The key difference between stages 3 and 2 is that production incentives for traded goods industries are now no longer uniform. The effective rate of subsidy (ERS) rather than the effective rate of protection (ERP) becomes the relevant measure of net incentive, and the ERS will be greater than zero for all dynamic industries given special treatment¹⁵. However since the prices of intermediate and capital good inputs remain unaffected by the adjustments in stage 3, ERPs for all non-dynamic sectors, do not become negative.

This scenario with a system of differential production subsidies for dynamic industries has been described as the 'first-best' policy option¹⁶. However if large numbers of dynamic industries are identified for special support it may not be possible to either finance or administer the system of made=tomeasure subsidies required for their promotion. In these circumstances an alternative which could involve less subsidies would be to introduce differential import tariff protection

to raise the profitability of the industries to be supported on dynamic grounds. However, it must be noted that these tariffs would create by-product effects that if possible should themselves be offset by appropriate subsidies. As is the case of the promotional subsidies, theoretically, the protective tariffs required would be 'made to measure' for individual industries to ensure that only the minimum necessary level of profit is established.

Where tariffs are used to give special support to dynamic consumer goods industries, the import tariff can be seen as the sum of two components. The first will be the basic uniform rate set at stage 2 on revenue grounds. The second determined at stage 3 will be a supplementary rate to ensure that the final tariff is sufficient to allow producers to charge a domestic price that generates the minimum profit necessary to maintain or establish domestic production in the industry. Since the whole objective of the differential tariff scheme is to raise profitability above what it would be in the absence of protection, the supplementary differential tariff introduced at stage 3, should not be matched by an equal additional rate of excise duty on domestic production. The uniform rate of excise duty set at stage 2 should be maintained however since it is needed for revenue purposes. The net price that producers can charge, therefore, if the CIF import price plus the supplementary tariff added at stage 3^{17} .

For protected dynamic industries producing intermediate and capital goods, however, the complication of two components of the final import tariff does not arise. These goods are not subject to the uniform import tariff and excise duty set at stage 2, so that for these industries there is only one import tariff - that set at stage 3 on protective grounds. Again as the objective of the tariff is to raise domestic profitability it should not be matched by an excise duty on domestic production.

The selective introduction of import tariff protection at different rates has two important consequences or by-product effects:

- (i) It creates an anti-export bias in the protected domestic industries, since sales in the home market would be more profitable than sales abroad, due to the divergence between domestic and world prices. This bias can be offset if a system of differential export subsidies is also introduced.
 Full removal of the anti-export bias requires that the rate of export subsidy for each industry should be the same as that of the import tariff for that industry.
- (ii) It creates differential ERPs for different industries, and in particular will create negative ERPs for those non-protected industries that use as inputs goods produced by protected dynamic industries. This follows since the output prices of the non-protected industries remain equal to world prices, but if these industries use some newly protected goods as inputs, some of the input prices they face will have risen above world levels.

In principle this negative ERP, which clearly penalises nonprotected industries could be offset by a subsidy system that compensated users of domestically produced protected intermediate and capital goods. These subsidies would be

- (i) rebates of tariffs paid on imports of the goods concerned, and
- (ii) the equivalent of tariff rebates to users purchasing such goods from domestic producers.

The aim of (ii) would be to ensure that producers received the protected domestic price, but that the net price paid by users would be no more than the equivalent of the world price, after allowing for the effect of the subsidy.

The second variant of the stage 3 intervention in support of dynamic industries is therefore a combination of tariffs and subsidies, with the latter used to offset the by-product effects of the former. It will involve less direct subsidies than the first variant, where promotion is through subsidies alone, to the extent that the dynamic industries that are identified produce consumer goods for the domestic market. It will be recalled that all exports from dynamic industries and all domestic sales of intermed ates and capital goods are to be subsidized. Where no consumer goods industries are to be supported the second approach will involve the same amount of subsidies as the first, although the administration of the subsidies will differ and in the second case they will be given chiefly to domestic users rather than domestic producers¹⁸.

To summarize the discussion of interventions at stage 3 two variants have been discussed. In one a differential set of producer subsidies are added to the uniform rate of import tariff and excise duty. This uniform rate may have to be increased above that set at stage 2 to finance the subsidies. In the other, differential import tariffs are introduced, which for consumer goods, are in addition to the uniform import tariff set at stage 2. However, to avoid undesirable side effects these additional import tariffs should be matched by equal percentage export subsidies, and subsidies to users of protected domestic intermediate and capital goods.

Stage 4 : Self-sufficiency Adjustments

The extent to which it is desired to give special support or encouragement to particular industries will clearly vary between governments. Stage 3 considers industries promoted or protected for dynamic reasons. Stage 4 broadens the criteria for qualification for special support to include industries judged important on self-sufficiency grounds. The conceptual treatment of special case industries is identical at stages 3 and 4, since it is only the reason for their identification as special that differs between the stages. Therefore, the points on tax and subsidy interventions made in relation to the previous stage hold also for stage 4.

At Stage 4, support to industries for employment purposes may be considered. Although the maintenance or creation of employment is not a price consideration, and therefore does not fit comfortably into the five stage framework for price reform, it is likely to be an important consideration in many governments' economic policies, and also one which is likely to be affected by tariff reform. We therefore include employment as a special case of self-sufficiency under stage 4, although the conceptual treatment of specific industries is again identical to that at stage 3.

Stage 5 : Income Distribution Adjustments

Here the relevant question is the extent to which governments wish to tax or subsidize particular types of commodity because

of the characteristics of the consumers who provide the bulk of demand for those goods. Three alternative cases can be considered¹⁹

- (i) where governments wish to tax consumer goods at a rate above the uniform one set at stage 2.
- (ii) where they wish to tax them at a lower rate than the uniform rate.
- (iii) ... ere they wish to subsidize their sale at prices below world prices.

The first and second possibilities imply non-uniform excise duties and import tariffs between commodities. However, unless the goods are produced by industries singled out for special import protection taxation on domestic output and imports of the same commodity should be equal. The third possibility implies a subsidy to consumers, so that retail prices are below world However, to avoid production disincentives it is prices. necessary to maintain the producer price either equal to the world price or to the adjusted stage 3 and 4 price if the industry is one that receives special support. The producer price will therefore exceed the consumer retail price by the extent of the subsidy.

It should be noted that there is little direct guidance from the optimal taxation literature on how to set stage 5 consumer Where the objective is to raise a given revenue prices. from taxes on consumer goods the standard recommendation is that commodity taxes should be inversely proportional to the price elasticity of demand for the commodities. Goods with inelastic demand for whom demand responds relatively little to price changes should have higher rates of taxation²⁰. This rule will minimize the loss of consumer surplus or consumption cost in the economy on the assumption that governments place an equal weight on all consumers, regardless of income level or status. Once one allows for the fact that governments may implicity view a given cons mer gain or loss as having a different social value depending on the group affected, the validity of the simple rule breaks down. At stage 5 one is considering precisely such a situation, so that tax or subsidy rates on individual consumer

goods will be determined by the weighting system, normally implicit rather than explicit, employed by the government²¹. Naturally this is a subjective area, and the role of economic advisors in these circumstances is to provide data on the extent to which goods are consumed by different classes or groups, and to assess the distributional consequences of the alternative taxation or subsidy rates that governments may select for these goods.

The implication of the stage 5 adjustment is that a further departure from the uniformity of taxation principle is created. However, these adjustments refer only to prices paid by consumers. Prices received by producers will be either the world price - if they are non-protected industries - or in the case of protected industries the stage 3 or 4 adjusted prices. Producer price incentives therefore should not be affected by these consumer taxes, although clearly the demand for the output of the taxed or subsidised consumer goods will be affected.

Table 3.1 sets out in a summary form the different objectives and interventions envisaged at the various stages. The aim of the framework is to first establish a uniform system of tax interventions that applies only to consumer goods. This has the effect of raising the necessary government revenue, but without creating any effective protection. Production incentives for traded goods are therefore both uniform and zero. The framework then allows for departures from this uniform system on a case by case basis. Therefore if industries are to receive special support this must be justified by their contribution to either dynamic or self-sufficiency objectives and the extent to which such industries need promotion or protection must be considered individually. Similarly for departures from uniformity on distributional grounds rates of consumer taxation or subsidy will have to be justified by reference to the consumer groups or classes whose real incomes are most directly affected, and by reference to the importance of these groups or classes for government distribution It should be noted also that in the pricing framework policy. set out here indirect taxes for revenue purposes are levied only

on consumer goods. Intermediate and capital goods are subject to import tariffs only if their domestic import_competing producers fall under the heading of industries requiring special support and rebates are envisaged to avoid penalising their users.

This framework attempts to apply the main conclusions of the theoretical literature on trade and taxation in a manner that can generate relatively straightforward policy advice on tax It should be clear however that and subsidy interventions. it greatly simplifies a number of important practical issues These will be considered in regarding policy alternatives. Furthermore, the framework is put forward the following chapter. as a means of linking policy interventions with government objectives and not as a sequence of price reform measures to be The discussion here can be interpreted introduced by stages. as identifying where one might want to end up, if initially one started from a free trade position of zerio effective protection. Few real world governments are likely to start from this position, and so their package of policy reform must be devised in the light of the speed at which it is desirable and feasible to move to a relatively more open, although perhaps still selectively protectionist trading system.

Table 3.1	Five-stage Framework	k for Commodities			
- Objectives and Interventions					
Stage	Objective	Policy Intervention			
One	Allocative Efficiency	Traded goods prices equal world prices.			
		None -traded goods prices equal long-run economic costs of production.			
Two	Public Pevenue	Uniform domestic excise duty and import tariff at equal rates.			
Three	Dynamic	Made to measure producer subsidies OR made to measure tariff protection, plus export subsidies and tariff rebates for protected intermediate and capital goods.			
Four	Self-sufficiency	As for three.			
Five	Income-distribution	Differential tax and subsidy measures for consumer goods.			

-

FOOTNOTES

1. See for example UNIDO (1978)

- 2. Non-traded goods can be defined as a) those whose physical characteristics rule out foreign trade, or b) those whose long-run economic costs of production are below CIF prices of competing imports, but above FOB export prices.
- 3. See World Bank (1984), table 27. p.270.
- 4. See Corden (1974) for example.
- 5. See for example Bell et al (1984) and Krueger and Tuncer (1982).
- 6. For Japan, see Weiss (1986), and for S. Korea, Westphal (1981). Westphal stresses the selective nature of S.Korea infant industry protectionism, as well as its early export orientation.
- For this distinction between technologies and a discussion of the scope for innovation in developing countries, see Cooper (1980).
- 8. The 'natural' protection which arises when domestic transport costs from ports to domestic consumers are high in relation to output value has been ignored in this discussion.
- 9. This discussion makes a number of simplifying assumptions:
 - (i) that all labour is homogeneous.
 - (ii) that there are no non-traded costs in the form of transport and distribution - associated with traded goods sectors.
 - (iii) that there is a unified capital market.
 - (iv) that balance of payments policy allows the establishment of an exchange rate that gives adequate general protection to domestic industry, viz-a-viz imports.
- 10. One can assume for the present that the revenue required is financed through income not commodity taxation.
- 11. Strictly this holds only for the production side. Theoretically there is no reason why from the point of view of consumers that indirect taxes should be set at uniform rates; see Stern (1984). Here the effects of indirect taxes on consumers are considered at a later stage.
- 12. For a diagramatic explanation of the equivalence of these tax systems see Lewis (1984) pp 220-225.
- Corden (1974) and (1980) criticizes the made to measure case on a number of practical grounds. His arguments are considered in the following chapter.
- 14. The option of protection through quotas is not considered here.

15. See Chapter 2 for a definition of the ERS.

16. For example Corden (1974).

- 17. This assumes that the additional revenue raised by the import duties introduced at stage 3 has only a small impact on total government revenue, so that there is no need to adjust the uniform tax rates set for revenue purposes at stage 2. There is no reason why such an adjustment could not be made, however, if it were necessary.
- 18. The only differences between the two approaches arise where consumer goods are protected on dynamic grounds, since with the use of import tariffs, not only will less subsidies be needed, but relative domestic consumer prices will now diverge from relative world prices.
- 19. This discussion assumes away distribution, retail and transport costs, so that consumer and producer prices are equal in the absence of a subsidy.
- 20. See for example Baunol and Bradford (1970) and Stern (1984).
- 2... UNIDO (1972) and Squire and von der Tak (1975) discuss alternative ways of deriving quantitative consumption weights for different groups.

CHAPTER IV. Policy Alternatives and Price Reforms

Chapter II has discussed the case for reforming the operation of markets in many developing countries, and chapter III has considered a systematic framework for decision-taking in relation to the market prices for traded goods. This chapter moves from the level of the theoretically desirable to consider the feasibility and effectiveness of the alternative policies that are discussed frequently in relation to market reforms in developing countries. However, since the focus of the chapter is on policy alternatives it is necessary first to survey briefly how the question of policy choice has been considered in the theoretical literature. Two broad approaches can be identified.

First there is an attempt at cuantification of the costs and benefits associated with particular policy alternatives. This obviously has a clear intuitive appeal since rational choice implies an assessment of relative costs and benefits. The major obstacle is, of course, firstly obtaining a clear view of the likely results of implementing specific policies, and secondly placing meaningful numerical values on these effects. Tinbergen (1967), for example, provides a clear discussion of the problem focussing upon what he calls the efficiency of economic policy. Distinguishing between objectives (what he terms target variables) and policy measures (what he terms instrument variables), the efficiency of a particular policy instrument will be determined by the ratio between the change in the target variable for a given change in the instrument variable¹. Even setting aside the quantification problem of estimating the full effects of changes in z on y, if one is to make comparisons between alternative policy instruments, some form of evaluation of the relevant effects must be found. Tinbergen (1967) illustrates the problem by reference to a situation where two instruments have the same effect on a particular objective so that the valuation problem on the benefit side is removed. In relation to an employment objective, he hypothesises a situation in which one million extra man-years of employment







MIGROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL RUPLAU OF STANDARDS STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIAL 2000 AND AMERICA FOR CHARTING 2 can either be obtained by raising the level of public expenditure by US\$3 million, or by devaluing the currency by 5%². For a meaningful comparison of the efficiency of the two policy instruments, the alternative changes in the instrument variables have to be converted to some common denominator - in other words the costs involved have to be valued in relation to some overall norm or unit of account. Tinbergen suggests that it is up to the policy-maker to decide how he wishes to value the relative costs of

and the second second second

"It goes without saying that the most natural valuation is the one to be taken from the policy-maker's welfare function. If 5% devaluation is believed to be twice as burdensome as the spending of US\$ 3million, then evidently the efficiency of devaluation (in relation to the employment objective) is half that of public spending."

alternative policies.

The problem for practical policy analysis is, of course, that the numerical weights placed by decision-takers on either the attainment of particular objectives or the costs arising from particular instruments will simply not be known. Practical numerical cost-benefit calculations of this type appear unpromising for this reason, even assuming that the necessary quantitative analysis of the impact of various policies can be carried out³.

The second broad approach to policy choice rejects the possibility of precise cost-benefit calculation. It suggests in its place a means of ranking alternative policy instruments, with the criteria used for ranking the side-effects, or, in the terminology of this literature, "the by-product distortions", created by various policy instruments. Corden (1980) provides a clear statement of this position⁴. The argument is briefly that in general terms the most efficient policy instrument is likely to be the most direct; that is, the one which operates most directly on the target variable. Use of direct instruments, it is argued, will minimize the side-effects

in other parts of the economy, which, in this view, will The basic premise is therefore normally be undesirable. that government interventions should be limited to the particular objectives to be achieved and that the consequences of these interventions in other areas should To give a specific example, the government be minimized. may wish to promote a particular industry - for either dynamic or self-sufficiency reasons. One way of doing this is a direct producer subsidy, for example, through lower tax payments in the early years of production. Another alternative would be to impose a protective tariff which allows firms to charge more than the international price for the output concerned. Following the logic of this approach - assuming both measures to be equally effective the tariff would be an inferior policy since it would create a by-product distortion in the sense that consumers or users of the product concerned would now pay more for this product relative to others. It is argued, therefore, that their choice will be distorted by the imposition of the tariff and its effect upon relative prices.

Alternative policies, it is suggested, can be ranked in terms of the number of distortions they create. The top policy in the hierarchy - which is described as "first-best" - is one which meets the desired objective without imposing any by-product distortions. This framework for viewing policy choice is useful in the sense that it allows an analysis of the consequences of different policy instruments in areas not necessarily related directly to the instrument Furthermore, administrative or other constraints concerned. on freedom of choice in policy selection can be allowed for, since, if the so-called first-best policy is not feasible, one can move down the ranking until the most desirable Since this framework allows feasible policy is reached. consideration of the secondary or indirect consequences of particular policy instruments, it will be drawn upon in the However, it is only a partial discussion which follows. substitute for a full cost-benefit assessment of alternative policies.

The major problem is that ranking policies by the number of distortions they create will only be strictly valid a) where one is sure that the effect on the relevant government objective of all policies under consideration is the same, and b) where the importance of each individual distortion is broadly equal. If these conditions do not hold one could, for example, have a more distortionary but more effective policy which is not necessarily inferior to a less distortionary but less effective policy. Also the analysis stresses the argument that subsidies are less distortionary than other policy measures, and are therefore preferable where they can be financed and administered. However, in this context the distortionary effect of the taxes raised to finance such subsidies cannot be ignored. Corden (1974) points out that in principle there will be a tax package that minimises the distortionary effects of financing subsidies. However the practical difficulty of identifying this minimum-distortion tax package must be acknowledged.

The position taken here is that precise cost-benefit calculations of the merits of policy alternatives are not realistic and that all that is practicable is a general discussion of the likely costs and benefits involved. As part of this discussion, the central concern of the policy hierarchy approach, that is the indirect consequences of particular measures on other parts of the economy, will be of importance. However, the policy hierarchy framework cannot alone provide a definitive choice between alternatives.

The rest of this chapter considers alternative policies to reform the markets for labour, capital and foregn exchange. In addition alternative ways of promoting dynamic or strategic industries, and taxing or subsidizing consumer goods are examined. The discussion cannot be conclusive since what are both desirable and feasible policies will differ between countries However, it is hoped that the main issues involved can be identified.

Labour Markets

As we have seen in chapter 2, on allocative efficiency grounds there is a strong case for encouraging greater use of unskilled labour in many countries. The earlier discussion noted the frequent discrepancy between estimates of the economic cost of labour, the shadow wage, and the market wage workers are actually paid, with the latter often substantially greater than the former for urban workers. Encouraging greater use of unskilled labour in this situation implies either compensating employers in some way or reducing the wages workers actually receive. For skilled workers it is argued for some countries that market wages are below shadow wages. This possibility is not considered here in detail since the focus is on unskilled labour. However. where such a situation exists it implies the need for either higher market wages or an employment tax on skilled labour.

It should be recalled that the discussion in Chapter II identified four possible negative effects arising from urban labour market distortions for unskilled labour:

- (i) insufficient investment due to low private profitability;
- (ii) bias in technology choice against labour intensity;
- (iii) bias in output composition against labourintensive activities;
- (iv) excessive migration from rural areas.

It is important to bear this distinction in mind since it is not always made clear that policies which can counteract the negative effects of a distortion in one area may not be able to do so in another. For example, a number of policies can be considered to solve (i), (ii) and (iii) above, which do not involve an actual cut in urban wages. However, if excess migration of the type discussed in Chapter II is a major problem. its solution appears to require a fall in urban wages relative to earnings in agriculture. If real wage cuts are not feasible politically, and in many cases they may not be desirable on grounds of social justice, it may not be possible to cure the migration aspect of the problems associated with labour market distortions⁵. Most discussions of these issues tend to focus on the first two problems, and the treatment of unskilled labour is often cited as the clearest example of how the policy hierarchy framework can be employed to rank alternative policy instruments. Table 4.1 taken from Corden (1974) illustrates the approach.

If one assumes that reductions in nominal wages are either impracticable or undesirable, or both, the aim must be to bring the cost to employers into equality with the economic costs of additional employment.

Corden's original example of the use of the policy hierarchy in the case of labour market distortions contained some indeterminacy since certain policies generated an equal number of distortions, and were therefore ranked at the same level. It is possible to remove this indeterminacy, however, by considering the practical scope for introducing the alternative policies. If a general subsidy to production is ruled out, subsidies to importables alone will be unlikely, particularly if one is considering manufacturing, where a high proportion of production will be of importables. Similarly it seems unlikely that export subsidies would be used on their own in place of tariffs, if only because unlike tariffs they are a use, not a source of government revenue. If policy measures 3b and 4b are unfeasible therefore on practical grounds the ranking of the hierarchy in this example becomes unambiguous. An amended form of the hierarchy taking account of these points is also given in table 4.1.

The most direct measure is to subsidize employment so that employers are reimbused for a proportion of their wages bill. Ideally this sum should equal the difference between

Table 4.1

.

The Policy Hierarchy : Labour Market Distortions

	Original Corden hierarchy			Amended Corden hierarchy		
Rank	Policy Measures	By-product Distortions	Rank	Policy Measures	By-product Distortions	
1.	Subsidy tc labour	None	1.	Subsidy to labour	None	
2.	Subsidy to production	(i) Labour intensity too low	2.	Subsidy to production	(i) Labour intensity too low	
3a.	Import tariff plus export subsidy	(i) Labour intensity too low	3.	Import tariff plus export subsidy	(i) Labour intensity too low	
		(ii) Consumption distortion			(ii) Consumption distortion	
3b.	Production subsidy to importables	(i) Labour intensity too low	4.	Import tariff	(i) Labour intensity too low	
		(ii) Home market bias			(ii) Consumption distortion	
					(iii) Home market bias.	
4a.	Import tariff	(i) Labour intensity too low				
		(ii) Consumption distortion				
		(iii) Home market bias				
4b.	Export Subsidy	(i) Labour intensity too low				
		(ii) Consumption distortion				
		(iii) Pro-trade bias.				

Note: Items a and b at different levels in the original hierarchy are equivalent since they involve the same number of by-product distortions.

.

.

99

~

actual wages costs and wages costs calculated using the shadow wage as the cost per employee. This is the "first-best" policy alternative since all other measures in the table involve various forms of distortion. These alternatives are simply ways of raising profitability through different combinations of tariffs and subsidies. None of these alternative measures, in other words, go directly to the problem at issue which is the level of wage cost per worker. An increase in profits will meet problem (i) noted above and should raise employment insofar as expansion plans of firms change due to higher profitability. Without a change in relative wage costs, however, there will be no incentive to change production techniques.

It should be noted that the case for tariff protection to offset the divergence between the market and the economicost of unskilled labour, on its own can only provide a justification for relatively moderate levels of protection, of 10% to 15% in nominal terms. This is on the grounds that in manufacturing, the sector most affected by the distorted urban labour markets which are being considered wages are normally a relatively small proportion of total costs, so that even a very low shadow wage may not justify very high protection⁶.

Protection of a particular producer will not solve problems (ii) and (iii) however, since it will not affect directly the costs of his labour viz-a-viz capital equipment or raw materials, and will therefore not influence the labour intensity of production. If one believes in the possibility of significant factor substitution, in many industrial branches this will be a crucial omission.

Alternatively one could focus on the better growth prospects for labour-intensive activities or sectors if some form of labour subsidy scheme were implemented. In this view additional employment would come from a shift in the
composition of output in a more labour intensive direction rather than through factor-substitution within existing activities. Of the measures listed in Table 4.1, only labour subsidies appear to meet the problem of factor-intensity, so that if this is seen as central to the growth of employment, the real issue becomes how to implement an effective and widespread subsidy scheme⁷. A number of possibilities can be considered.

The most direct form of subsidization of wage costs, and (1) almost certainly the least practical, would be to institute a system of cash payments from the government to producers: the payments would be a proportion of firms' unskilled labour wages bill and would be intended to ensure that the labour cost to firms approximately equalled the number of unskilled workers employed multiplied by their estimated economic cost of employment. In practice, where precise shadow wage estimates may not be known, only crude estimates would be used. Such a scheme would undoubtedly encounter a number of major problems. It would be difficult to administer - what Corden terms the "subsidy disbursement costs" would be high - and it would encourage corrupt practices. Firms, for example, would have a clear incentive to inflate their bill for unskilled labour costs, either by reclassifying skilled workers as unskilled, or by falsifying their employment records. Furthermore, it would be difficult to extend the scheme to all producers paying distorted urban wages and inequitable treatment could arise. Finally, the scheme would depend critically upon the ability of the government to raise the revenue from taxation required to finance the scheme. In general such a system of direct cash payments is unlikely to be a serious policy option.

(2) Subsidization of wage costs is obviously easier where producers already make payments to the government linked directly to their number of employees. In such a situation a subsidy can be paid simply by reducing the producers' existing payments. Such considerations led to the suggestion, for example by Balassa (1977), that a labour-subsidy scheme

could be implemented by reducing employers' social security contributions and financing a higher proportion of these from the general budget. However, the administrative attractiveness of such a proposal should not divert attention from its limited practicability. In the first instance, in most developing countries the social security system is very limited in scope affecting only the larger firms and their employees, and, in the second, where it does operate, social security contributions will not be a major part of wage costs. If one wished to give a labour subsidy which was a high proportion of total wages, more than simply a partial refund of social security contributions would be required.

(3) Perhaps more promising is the possibility of devising a tax credit scheme so that producers paying profits or corporation tax, or indirect taxes on their sales, have a proportion of their annual wages bill for unskilled labour offset against their annual tax liability. Such a scheme would have fewer administrative costs than a system of cash payments and the main problems would be the financial one of generating the tax revenue from other sources to offset lower profits or sales taxation, combined with the possibility of abuse of the system by producers with an incentive to exaggerate their unskilled wages costs. Coverage naturally would be limited to tax-paying producers only.⁸

(4) A less direct form of labour subsidy could be introduced through measures to keep down future increases in money wage rates. This, in turn, could be attempted in a number of ways: policies of ware restraint could be introduced in sectors where the government is a major employer, minimum wage levels might not be raised, and the prices of basic consumer goods might be subsidised to maintain real wages. Apart from the administrative and financial difficulties involved, this option is less certain in that the exact impact of such measures on the money wage bill for producers will be difficult to predict and may not accord with estimates of the relevant economic costs of employing unskilled workers.

Finally, a possibility which has been discussed, is to (5) link general protective measures for industry with the degree of labour-intensity in production in particular industrial branches.⁹ This would involve differentiating either nominal or effective protection rates by the share of unskilled labour in production costs; branches with higher labour-intensity would receive higher protection and thus a boost in their profitability relative to the industrial average. There are a number of problems with this approach, however, which are likely to make it inoperable. It would require a substantial data base with detailed information on the cost structure of all industrial branches. Such information is required, of course, for all attempts to set tariffs with domestic production objectives in mind. However, the recommendations on levels of protection derived from this approach might conflict directly with the objectives of supporting industries with dynamic effects or strategic importance. Labour-intensive activities might not warrant special treatment on these grounds. Finally this means of determining rates of protection will encourage existing labour-intensive producers. However, its effect on technology choice will be only indirect, since the incentive to shift resources in a labour-intensive direction would be simply the prospect of higher levels of protection in the future. This option of differentiating protection levels in line with labour-intensity does not appear a practicable possibility.

From this discussion of the subsidization of unskilled labour it appears that there are only a limited number of alternatives and that of these tax credits, as an offset against profits or sales taxation, appear to be the most practical option. The major objection would be a fiscal one since, if the scheme were spread widely to cover all employers in the urban sector, very substantial amounts of taxes would be foregone, which would have to be covered by additional revenue from other sources.

It is worth pointing out that despite the fact that labour subsidies, as a means of promoting industrial development,

have been advocated over a considerable period of time by several prominent economists, perhaps most influentially by Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970), very few governments have adopted them. 10 The main explanation appears to be not an unawareness of the argument, but the fiscal constraint noted It is generally difficult to raise tax revenue in earlier. most economies and, as will be discussed further in a later section, the great attraction of tariff protection as a means of encouraging industries for many governments is that a tariff provides the equivalent of a subsidy to producers financed by the higher price paid by consumers of the product concerned.¹¹ This clearly may not be the most equitable means of financing the subsidy-equivalent but it is the simplest and most direct. Subsidies to labour or to production in general must be financed out of the general revenue and will require the imposition of additional taxes some of which may, of course, include tariffs. The difficulties involved lead some economists to discount totally the practicality of a widespread labour-subsidy scheme and, in the context of developing countries at least, one must remain sceptical about its feasibility on a large scale.

For example, Squire (1981) dismisses the practicality of wage subsidies in one sentence.

"The alternative approach wage subsidies is not considered explicitly on the grounds that it is administratively and fiscally unfeasible." p.127.

If this scepticism concerning the feasibility of a labour-subsidy scheme is justified what alternatives are available to cope with the undesirable consequences of labour market distortions? Two possibilities with some practical applicability can be noted.

(i) As was pointed out earlier, one can make a case for moderate levels of protection to offset the effect of the excess of market over shadow wages on the profitability of domestic producers. All producers paying distorted urban wages should be eligible for this protection. However,

where production is in the form of non-tradeables, no import competition will be involved and tariff policy cannot be used to allow an increase in domestic prices. This means that manufacturing is the main sector for which this policy will be relevant. 105

In principle within manufacturing protection should be differentiated on the basis of the share of wages in either value-added or total costs. However, as was pointed out above, in practice the government is unlikely to have access to the detailed cost data to make this possible. Therefore a relatively low general tariff on output of 10% to 15% on all manufacturing could be justified as an offset to labour market distortions. Setting uniform ERPs for different manufacturing branches can be very complex and demanding in terms of data requirements. For simplicity therefore, it is far easier to work in terms of uniform nominal rates. Where rates are low this is unlikely to create major variations in ERPs between branches. However, having stated that it is not likely to be possible to differentiate rates of protection where these are to allow for labour market distortions, there may be other cases where one would wish to grant significantly higner rates of protection to particular producers and where one must collect the data to allow this. These types of situations will be discussed further below.

(ii) The first proposal has not touched upon the issue of factor-intensity. The problem here is to lower the cost of labour relative to that of other inputs, chiefly capital equipment, but also intermediates like raw materials and energy. Since this problem relates to relative not absolute labour costs, if the option of lowering labour costs proves difficult, one can try to raise the costs of the other items. Considering a simple two-factor model with substitution between labour and capital as an example, estimated economic values of these factors might suggest that the market price of the former needs to be lowered and the latter to be raised. Other things being equal, the factor substitution arising from these combined price movements would be greater than that resulting from an increase in capital costs alone. However, if reductions in labour costs are difficult for the reasons considered, and if increases in capital costs prove to be more feasible, these latter on their own could provide a movement in the right direction. The suggestion therefore is that it may often be easier to raise the cost of capital than to lower that of labour and that this may provide the most practicable means of dealing with the factor-intensity issue. Alternative ways of raising the cost of capital areconsidered in the following section.¹²

Capital Markets

Another measure discussed in chapter II was the desirability of raising real interest rates to the level of the economic value of investment; in other words, the economic return obtainable on additional productive investments. As we have just noted, increases in market interest rates to this economic level may be the most effective means of shifting factor-intensity in a more labour-using direction.

Capital costs can be increased in two main ways: first by increasing the initial investment cost or the principal borrowed. Considering increases in interest rates, the most obvious and most direct policy would be to free interest rates and allow them to settle at a market-clearing level. Credit in this case would be allocated by the market rate not by some administrative rationing system run either by the government or commercial banks.

However it is possible that a market-clearing rate still does not reflect the economic returns available on productive investment. Theoretically, in fact, in an economy in which market prices diverge from shadow prices, there is no reason why equilibrium prices in any particular market should equal economic values. The market demand for loanable funds, for example, will be based on firms' expected returns at market

prices and will include an allowance for risk and distortions in particular markets. The economic opportunity cost of investment, however, is defined strictly as the return on the marginal project in the economy with this return expressed at shadow not market prices. Nonetheless, insofar as a price reform programme succeeds in moving market prices closer to economic values this will lessen the likelihood of a major divergence between a market-clearing interest rate and the opportunity cost of investment. In the absence of information to the contrary the former can thus be taken as a proxy for the latter.

There are also a number of ways of raising the initial investment outlays on any particular project. These include increases in tariffs on equipment imports and reduced rates of tax credits against investment expenditure; for example, shorter tax holidays or less favourable depreciation allowances. Such measures will often have a less direct and often more uncertain impact upon the cost of capital. Furthermore, in the case of tariffs, a number of different considerations will govern tariff policy and the objective of raising capital costs may be simply one of several which have to be borne in mind in establishing tariff levels. It is probably simpler to aim to use one policy - interest rate policy - as the major means of increasing capital charges and merely attempt to ensure that the other factors which can affect capital costs do not work in an opposing direction to interest rate changes.

Foreign Exchange Markets

As noted earlier the type price and trade reforms considered here are likely to have significant implications for balance of payments management. The aim is to set domestic prices for traded goods on a rational basis that reflects government objectives and priorities. This is in contrast with the current situation in many countries where relative prices for these goods are determined largely by the unanticipated effects of the system of tariffs and controls introduced often for balance of payment reasons. The approach to tariffs and quotas is likely to imply a lower overall level of import protection than is present in many developing countries, although it is by no means a free trade framework. Nonetheless a reduction of protection even if phased over a lengthy period, is likely to require a depreciation of the exchange rate for reasons outlined earlier. However, in the post-1971 world of flexible exchange rates there are a number of alternative ways of devaluing. In general there are three broad alternatives¹³:

(a) a free float of the exchange rate:

(b) devaluation followed by pegging the exchange rate to either one major currency or a basket of currencies:

(c) devaluation followed by a "crawling peg" system whereby the exchange rate gradually depreciates against the currency to which it is pegged.

This is not the place to go into detail on the merits of these alternative approaches, but two general points can be made.

First, a free float is not normally suggested for developing countries, since it is argued that the stability of the exchange rate in such a system requires a country to have financial asset markets that are integrated into the international system. If such markets do not exist, as they do not in most developing countries, in a floating system the exchange rate will be determined by the demand and supply for foreign exchange from the goods market, which can lead to instability.

Secondly, the crawling peg is normally recommended for countries experiencing inflation above the world rate. A steady depreciation of the currency is thus a means of maintaining a constant real exchange rate. With high domestic inflation a pegged currency is liable to speculative movements, and in the period before the shift in the pegged rate the competitiveness of the tradeable goods sector will be weakened. 103

- ----

The choice appears to be between a form of pegging or a crawling peg. Factors like the inflation rate, and the concentration of trade with main trading partners are likely to be relevant considerations in the choice of approach. It must be stressed, however, that governments are not indifferent to the level of the exchange rate. This may be partly for 'non-economic' prestige reasons, but in addition, as we have argued, there may be real costs that can be involved with devaluation - a combination of inflationary and deflationary For these reasons governments may not wish to tendencies. If this is the case have devaluation beyond a certain rate. it clearly imposes a constraint on any reform programme of However, that is something that the type discussed here. The implication is that the must be faced and allowed for. timing of any trade and price reform proposals must be such that it does not necessitate an unacceptable shift in the exchange rate, with what is acceptable determined by the impact of devaluation on domestic prices, output and employment.

Industry Incentives

In any reform programme allowance should be made for the possibility of granting different activities different rates of protection or promotion. This is on the grounds that governments may wish to encourage particular branches for either dynamic, self-sufficiency or strategic reasons. If domestic production in such branches is to be commercially viable, it may require some form of industrial promotion or protection to allow local firms to receive a market price sufficiently above comparable international prices to cover domestic costs with an acceptable return on capital. The policy hierarchy has been drawn upon in discussions of the alternative ways of providing this encouragement and for this reason its conclusions should be noted. Table 4.2 ranks alternatives in this area in the policy hierarchy framework.

As in the case of labour markets one can rule out the use of certain policies on their own: for example export subsidies. However in this case of industry promotion indeterminacy

109-

remains between the second-level alternatives - either import tariffs combined with export subsidies, or a form of input subsidy - since both create a single distortion. Choice between these two policies, assuming they are equally effective in promotional terms, would therefore have to be based on a view of the importance of the distortions associated with the alternatives. It should be pointed out that the framework in table 4.2 assumes that the problem of labour market distortions has already been resolved, so that the need is solely to encourage production in particular industries.

The conclusions of the policy hierarchy analysis in this case For industry are similar to those in that of unskilled labour. incentives direct subsidies to producers are shown as the first-best policy since they raise profitability without the need to increase prices to users or consumers above world levels so that no consumption distortion is involved. Unlike the labour case discussed earlier, there is no need to encourage the use of a particular input so that the subsidy can be a general one on total production rather than being linked specifically with an input. A combination of import tariffs and export subsidies is the second-best policy since it does not discriminate between sales for the export and the home market. However it does introduce a wedge between domestic and world prices for the commodities involved arising from the effects of the tariffs and subsidies.

The alternative second-best measure is a form of input subsidy, such as accelerated depreciation allowances and credit subsidies that lower the cost of capital, or subsidized input prices, such as energy sales at less than world prices. Labour subsidies would also come under this general policy of input subsidies. These measures will raise profitability, and thus encourage production, but at the same time will also create incentives for a shift in factor or commodity use that may not be in line with relative economic costs.

Tariffs and export subsidies taken individually are seen as third-best policies since each creates a bias in favour of a Ì10

Table 4.2

The Policy Hierarchy : Industry Incentives

Rank	Policy Measures.	By-product distortions
1.	Production Subsidy	None
2a.	Import tariff plus export subsidy	(i) Consumption distortion
2b.	Subsidy to inputs	(i) Factor-intensity distortion
3.	Import tariff	(i) Consumption distortion
		(ii) Home market bias
4.	Import quotas	(i) Consumption distortion
		(ii) Home market bias
		(iii) Public revenue effect

Note: Items a and b at level 2 are equivalent since they involve the same number of by-product distortions.

۰.

particular market, either the home or the export market, in addition to consumption distortions. Finally, import quotas are viewed as the least satisfactory alternative on the grounds that they not only introduce consumer distortions and a bias against exports - as do import tariffs - but, in addition, their distributional effect is in favour of import licence holders rather than the government. With tariffs the margin between domestic and world prices of imported goods is captured by the government through tariff revenue. Under a licensing system, however, this margin goes to the importer who can charge a price reflecting the scarcity created by the quota.

Some problems associated with the policy hierarchy approach have In some circumstances, for example, already been referred to. quotas, the least attractive alternative in this framework, may be the most effective means of protecting new industry. Quotas act directly to reduce the level of imports - which is likely to be important in short-term balance of payments crises. In addition they give domestic producers greater certainty regarding the future size of the domestic market than does tariff pro-This follows since it is often difficult to predict tection. how a given tariff will affect the demand for imports. Furthermore, whilst guotas insulate the domestic market from international price movements, this is not the case with tariffs expressed on a percentage basis. With tarifi protection of this type a fall in world prices will lead to a fall in the domestic price of imports and thus perhaps a cut in the share of local producers in the domestic market. One can argue that the fact that tariffs do not isolate fully domestic producers from developments on the world market is a good thing, providing However, much is likely to a spur to greater efficiency. depend upon the stage of development of domestic industry. At later stages the impact of foreign competition may be highly beneficial, whilst earlier it may have a destructive impact pushing local producers out of the home market and setting back the prospects for industrial expansion in the economy concerned. The case for the use of import quotas as a form of protection is likely to be much stronger therefore in the early stages of a developing country's industrialization programme, and where domestic production is encouraged particularly for self-sufficiency reasons.

Industry Promotion

Producer subsidies, the first-best policy in the hierarchy framework, face the same objections considered in the case of The rationale for such a scheme is that labour subsidies. if domestic production of particular goods is desired, this should be encouraged by subsidizing local firms so that they can earn a normal return on capital whilst competing with imports in the domestic market by selling at roughly equivalent In this way no consumption distortion prices to world levels. is involved since domestic prices are broadly equal to world prices and there is no bias against exports since all production, regardless of whether it is sold at home or abroad, qualifies As with the treatment of labour, a number of for the subsidy. alternatives can be considered for implementing such a subsidy A prior question that must be reso'ved before scheme. considering the form of subsidies, is whether equal subsidies should be given to all producers that are eligible for them, or whether the made to measure schemes, discussed in Chapter III It ought to be acknowledged that made to are to be used. measure subsidies are difficult to introduce, since they imply that subsidies should be given only to the extent that they allow a normal rate of profit in the industry to be promoted. This requires not only detailed information on the current cost position of producers, but also since costs are likely to vary with the scale of output, assumptions about future demand trends and the market share of individual producers. For these reasons it is likely that at least within industries there would have to be uniformity of treatment for different firms, and in some circumstances uniform subsidies between industries might also be necessary.

Production subsidies are linked specifically with the level of output, or preferably value added, in an industry. The most direct form would be cash payments from the government, but this can be taken as administratively impractical in the context of developing countries. A more feasible alternative would be a tax credit scheme that reduced liability for profits taxation, with the tax credit set as a percentage of value-added, thus giving an incentive to expand production. We have already seen that other things being equal input subsidies are inferior to general production subsidies, even when they lead to the same growth in value-added. For example, credit subsidies are one of the most common forms of support for favoured industries, but they clearly conflict with the objective of raising capital relative to labour costs discussed earlier. A tax credit scheme linked with the level of production is clearly the most practical method of production subsidy. However it also runs into administrative and fiscal objections considered above in the case of labour subsidies.

The administrative problems lie largely in the fact that only the taxable large-scale production sector could be reached by a tax credit scheme. Small-scale producers, largely outside the tax net, who benefit indirectly from import protection, would find that if protection were removed there would be little or no compensating boost to their profitability through lower profits tax payments.¹⁴

Even setting aside administrative difficulties, there will be some developing countries where even the selective use of subsidies to producers will create fiscal problems. Subsidies must be matched by higher revenue from other sources if total government expenditure is not to fall. The theoretical solution is to raise this revenue in the least-cost manner, allowing for the various costs associated with taxation, such as distortions and collection costs. We have seen in chapter III that theoretically foreign and domestically produced goods should be taxed at the same rate. However, if it is either administratively or politically more difficult to tax domestic production than trade, equal rates of domestic excise taxes and import tariffs will be ruled out, and it will be necessary to rely heavily on tariffs as a means of financing producer subsidies. This implies a) the use of uniform tariffs for revenue purposes, and b) the use of selective subsidies to promote the industries to be given special incentives. The existence of a constraint on the ability to tax domestic production is therefore an argument for relatively high import tariffs that do not discriminate significantly between industries.

The extensive use of subsidies is likely to be difficult in all but a limited number of countries for the fiscal and administrative reasons outlined above. Where policies of selective support for industries are followed the extent to which it will be possible to use subsidies - either on production or input use - will depend chiefly upon

- (i) the number of industries to be promoted;
- (ii) the tax base of the economy and the scope for raising revenue to finance subsidies;
- (iii) the competence of the government bureaucracy in administering subsidies.

It is clear that selective use of subsidies is less difficult than a general labour subsidy scheme that would involve large sums of money and would cover large numbers of employees, particularly in the organized manufacturing sector, and perhaps in other sectors as well. However, in some economies, particularly those where governments wish to promote a significant number of industries it m_{eq} be difficult to use subsidies as the main policy instrument to provide this support.

Industry Protection

Where subsidies are ruled out as impractical on a large scale, one is left with import protection - either through tariffs or quotas - as the major alternative for giving special encouragement We have already noted two possible justifications to industries. for setting positive uniform import tariffs - one for revenue purposes and the other as a means of compensating domestic producers of tradeable goods for the excess of urban wages above However, industry the economic cost of employing workers. protection can imply the need for differential rates. As has been stressed in chapter II the number of industries to receive special protection will vary with the policy emphasis of governments. A government following a relatively open export-oriented policy may wish to give extra protection on dynamic or strategic grounds

As was pointed out in the discussion of labour subsidies, many economists accept that a large-scale system of producer subsidies would be administratively and fiscally very difficult to implement. Balassa, for example, one of the foremost advocates of policy reform in developing countries, focuses on the 'second-best' policy of import tariffs combined with export subsidies as a means of encouraging industrialization because of its lower budgetary consequences than a system of producer subsidies.¹⁵

It should be noted however that subsidies, on production or on the use of inputs are in practice used much more widely than labour They tend to be used either to compensate for the subsidies. effects of other policies which discriminate against particular sectors or activities, or to provide assistance to particularly Examples in the first category might be depressed industries. the subsidies - in the form of cheap inputs like fertilizers or credits - received by agricultural producers in part to offset the low protection granted to agriculture relative, for example, to manufacturing; export subsidies may also be used partly to compensate for the level of the exchange rate and the level of incentive afforded by import protection for sales in the home An example of subsidies to depressed industries would market. be the financial support received by the steel industry in many developed economies.

Such subsidies differ, nowever, from widespread producer or labour subsidies. Their coverage is relatively narrow; for example, although many developing countries now use export subsidies, exports are typically only a relatively small proportion of total manufacturing output, so that even high rates of subsidy as a proportion of export value are not nign in relation to total Also, in few, if any, cases are these manufacturing output. subsidies seen as a means of replacing the existing system of import protection - which is, of course, the rationale for the The existence of a range of subsidies schemes discussed above. in practice should not be interpreted therefore as evidence that total removal of import tariffs and quotas, and their replacement with broadly-based producer subsidy measures, is feasible in many countries.

to only a small mumber of priority industries. However, a government placing more emphasis on the home market and wishing to establish a closely integrated industrial structure, is likely to wish to grant such protection to a much larger number of industrial activities. The establishment of priorities in these areas is a matter for economic judgement and broad strategy and discussion of policy alternatives given here is intended to be sufficiently flexible to allow for different approaches.

In what follows when protection is discussed it is normally tariff protection which is being considered. As we have seen, in certain circumstances quota protection may not be inferior to the use of tariffs. However, to simplify the discussion, alternative tariff measures alone are considered on the grounds that quotas will be more effective, only under a limited number of circumstances, not in general. The discussion also considers manufacturing alone.

In discussions of tariff policy for manufacturing four alternative approaches are possible, each implying a different goal for trade policy.

- (1) Zero effective protection
- (2) Uniform positive effective protection
- (3) Uniform positive nominal protection
- (4) Made to measure tariffs

A system of zero ERP is one where producers make the same profit as they would in a free trade situation. Where it is pursued as a goal governments do not wish to give special encouragement to dynamic or strategic industries, and plan to allow only industries that are currently competitive internationally to be established. Zero ERP will arise under free trade - that is, with the total absence of trade controls. However as chapter III points out zero ERP is compatible with import tariffs provided these are uniform, imposed only on consumer goods, and that all domestic producers of these protected goods are subject to an excise tax at the same rate as the import tariff.

Unifor. positive effective protection can be interpreted as a policy of giving general support to all manufacturing in comparisc., with other sectors, but not discriminating between specific manufacturing branches. As we have seen one argument for a low positive ERP for manufacturing is to compensate for the divergence between the shadow and the market wages for the unskilled workers employed in the sector. Another is to compensate manufacturing as a sector for the external effects it generates in other parts of the economy. A uniform ERP will give equal incentives to expand to all producers within the sector, regardless of the commodities they produce. The growth of particular branches can be determined by the relative competitiveness of the various producers. In this view therefore the government should not attempt to influence the pattern of expansion within manufacturing¹⁶.

A number of problems are associated with this approach however. First, ERPs, as was pointed out in chapter II, can be extremely difficult to calculate since accurate rates require detailed branch input coefficients, along with nominal tariffs and tariff They will often only be equivalents where quotas are involved. known with a substantial time-lag. Second, where market and shadow prices differ substantially, a policy of allowing the pattern of growth within manufacturing to be determined by the private decisions of producers based on commercial profitability may lead to an economically inefficent pattern of growth¹⁷. Insofar as a reform programme reduces the divergence between market and shadow prices this objection will be weakened, although the potential importance of external effects will remain. Finally, uniform ERPs provide equal production incentives but they do not remove the problem of consumption distortions, since uniform ERPs will result in a varied set of nominal tariffs.

The third alternative of aiming for uniform nominal rates of protection approaches the problem from the consumption viewpoint. Uniform nominal rates will result in varied ERPs - and therefore a varied set of production incentives - but they will ensure that consumers' decisions are not distorted by the set of relative tariffs. The other major advantage of working with uniform

ıß

nominal rates is that it side-steps the difficulty of calculating ERFs.

It must be recognised that there are two conflicting goals behind the policies of uniform ERP and uniform nominal protection. Uniform ERP implies uniform incentives to producers in their output and investment decisions, and uniform nominal protection implies uniform incentives to consumers in their expenditure decisions. Corden (1980) notes this incompatibility and suggest that in practice some form of compromise is needed: one possibility is to start with uniform nominal tariff rates as a goal and to adjust these to a mild non-uniformity if they are found to have caused very wide divergences in ERPs¹⁸.

However, none of the two uniform approaches to protection attempt to distinguish between different branches and to ask whether the priority branches, in terms of government planning, have the protection they need. That is the concern of the made-to-measure The made-to-measure approach accepts approach to tariff policy. that the ratio of domestic costs to world prices will vary substantially between different branches and may also vary within It aims to set a tariff rate which will be just branches. sufficient to give the producers concerned a normal return on capital. In principle, such made-to-measure tariffs can be in either nominal or effective terms but, given the complexity of calculating ERPs, most practical applications of this approach are likely to focus upon nominal rates.

The logic of the made-to-measure approach is appealing in that it aims to avoid unnecessary costs to consumers or monopoly profits by granting producers only the protection they need to earn a normal rate of profit. This is clearly a different approach to, for example, setting a uniform rate of protection, either nominal or effective, and allowing the establishment of all domestic producers who feel that production will be commercially viable at this rate. This latter procedure may create monopoly profits in some branches, yet still not ensure that production is established in other higher cost but priority areas.

The practical problems associated with the application of madeto-measure tariffs to industries which it is felt desirable to protect should not be minimized, however, and have been stressed, by many, including Corden (1974). Several points should be noted.

(1) One needs some technique or mechanism for justifying protection and for identifying the key industries to be protected. It is clear that in few countries will it be felt desirable to protect all domestic activities which it is technically possible to establish. Government preferences need to be articulated, either through direct policy guidelines or through the use of some form of cost-benefit technique, which examines the economic case for protection of various activities. Chapter III has considered briefly ways in which special protection might be justified.

(2) A major objection raised frequently in discussion of the made-to-measure approach is that it requires considerable data on the cost position of domestic producers. If one is to attempt to set a tariff which generates a certain rate of return, one quite clearly needs accurate current and projected cost This problem may be perhaps less serious than is information. sometimes suggested if one can institute a mechanism whereby producers requiring protection make available to the relevant planning authorities accurate cost data. More difficult is the conceptual problem of whose costs should be considered. In a simple case of one producer and a single commodity there is no problem. However, with several producers and several products a number of issues arise. For example, should the made-to-measure tariff be based on the costs of the average producer or the marginal producer? If the former are chosen, the less efficient producers might be forced out of the industry, whilst if the latter are used, non-marginal producers are likely to make excessive Furthermore, should the tariff-setting authority profits. attempt to estimate the tariff which is required to establish efficient levels of production in the industry and ignore the current costs of existing producers, either average or marginal?

Also, where there are significant quality differences between the output of different producers, it may be necessary to set tariffs on a firm by firm or commodity by commodity basis, rather than at the industry level¹⁹.

These are all complex issues which in practice will involve various compromises. If domestic production is to be protected, most governments will want to do this in a manner which minimizes the avoidable economic costs involved but which protects local jobs and encourages further domestic expansion in the industry. In many cases there is likely to be a balance to be struck between maintaining too many high cost domestic producers and preventing the closure of too many firms or the emergence of strong domestic monopolists.

(3) A characteristic of a tariff structure based on made-to-Different activities and measure tariffs is its non-uniformity. different branches will require different nominal rates of protection and this can create a complex set of incentives the full impact of which may not always be known. Furthermore, there will be the possibility of interdependence between the rates of protection granted to different activities. If protection is granted to newly established producers in industry A, for example, which sells its output to industry B, the imposition of a tariff on A will raise B's costs. If B itself is receiving protection from a made-to-measure tariff and A's output is a major component of its costs, there will be a need to review the Interdependence such as this will be level of B's tariff. greater the more integrated the industrial structure and the greater the proportion of domestic output that is protected.

(4) Finally, on its own, made-to-measure protection provides no direct incentive to lower costs. If firms are granted the protection they need to earn a normal profit, further cost reductions will create extra-normal or monopoly profits, and the more efficient and profit-conscious firms may strive to raise profits. However, if the reaction to this greater cost efficiency is a lower tariff the next time the tariff level for industry is considered, one can argue that the incentive to reduce costs will be very weak. The proposal normally made to overcome this lack of incentive to reduce costs is some form of timetable for reductions in made-to-measure tariffs, the idea being that producers should not be allowed to assume that they can shelter behind their current level of protection on a permanent basis.

All of these problems are clearly substantial but nonetheless they must be faced if a government is to come to terms with the need to grant economically justified levels of protection to particular activities, in contrast with the chaotic and often excessive forms of protection still existing in many countries at present. The uniform proposals, for either equal nominal or effective protection, have a clear administrative appeal due to their simplicity but their theoretical rationale is weak²⁰.

The argument put forward here is that differential incentives will be required if it is the objective of governments to selectively encourage particular industries. This implies therefore either made-to-measure tariffs or made-to-measure Of these two alternatives differential subsidies subsidies. are generally seen as preferable on the grounds of the by-product However, where such subsidies distortions referred to above. cannot be implemented for either fiscal or administrative reasons, differential tariff protection will be necessary. It must be recognized that there are major problems in implementing a policy of fully made-to-measure promotion or protection, for the reasons noted above. A relatively simple way around some of these difficulties, which still retains some element of selectivity, is to work with a relatively small number of rates of tariff or subsidy for those activities that are to receive special encourage-Three or four rates would probably be sufficient. ment. Theoretically there is a stronger case for setting these rates as a proportion of value-added rather than of gross output: in other words, where it is practicable, rates of protection or subsidy should be set in effective rather than nominal terms. The particular rate applicable to individual industries would be determined by discussions between planners and producers taking into account current and projected world prices, and domestic production costs.

Construction of the constr

Detailed information would be required to justify the level of support given to particular industries, but the scheme would recognize that the identification of individual rates of subsidy or tariff sufficient to generate a normal rate of profit would be beyond the scope of most planning authorities. Rates of promotion or protection would have to be reviewed periodically, both to allow for changes in international and domestic conditions, and to encourage reductions in domestic costs.

It is likely that in at least some developing countries tariffs will remain an important element of economic strategy for protectuve as well as public revenue reasons. This implies that tariff schedules must be devised in the light of both their effect on revenue and the incentives to domestic production that tariffs create. However as was suggested in chapter III where differential tariffs are used for protection it is desirable to offset their side-effects - on exporters and users of protected intermediate and capital goods - through subsidies and possibly tariff refunds.

Price Policy and Income Redistribution

It is clear that governments may wish to alter the prices of particular commodities on equity grounds: to lower those consumed by the poor and raise those consumed largely by the rich. The discussion here focuses primarily on changes to consumer prices since these are the most obvious commodities to influence if one wishes to use price policy for distributional reasons. However, prices offered to producers could also be influenced by such considerations: for example agricultural marketing boards might be instructed to offer small farmers prices higher than warranted by purely economic considerations if the government wished to raise farmers' incomes.

For altering consumer goods prices there are two main policy alternatives. Indirect taxes, either tariffs or taxes on domestically produced goods, can be raised or lowered in line with distributional policy. The chief problem here is that where taxes are not already imposed, and for most goods purchased

by the poor this will often be the case, it will not be possible to cheapen prices by tax reductions. The second approach involves lowering retail prices for particular items consumed by the poor and will normally need subsidy payments to cover commercial losses elsewhere in the economy. Examples of such controls would be government sales of essential goods from government retail outlets at below cost-price; alternatively the government could control retail prices, for example for urban transport or electricity, and cover any commercial losses incurred by public sector enterprises in the transport and power sectors by budgetary transfers. It is now generally recognized that subsidizing consumption by holding down producer prices in the private sector is undesirable due to the disincentive effect this is likely to have on producer supply decisions, which are often responsive to price changes.

In discussing food price policy Timmer et al (1983) point to a number of ways in which food prices to consumers can be subsidized²¹. These include:

(a) direct subsidies to private marketing operations to reduce the margin between producer and consumer prices to less than the full marketing costs involved:

(b) a dual price system whereby foodstuffs are sold to the poor in government ration shops at a price below the free market price. If the ration shop stock can be obtained by a tax in kind on farmers no direct subsidy payments by the government will be required, although implicitly farmers will be subsidizing consumers who use ration shops:

(c) food stamps which give holders of the stamps the right to obtain specified foodstuffs:

(d) sales of food consumed solely or primarily by the pcor -'poor peoples foods' - at retail prices below those paid to the producers, with the difference covered by a government subsidy.

Timmer et al stress the danger of linking policies that reduce prices to consumers with lower prices for producers. For example, they point out that it is often the poorest farmers who grow 'poor people's foods' and that attempts to hold down producer prices of these foods need not imply an improvement in income distribution, apart from their disincentive effect on supply. They also stress some of the difficulties of implementing many of these policies, for example in restricting access to ration shops to the very needy, and ensuring that ration shop foodstuffs are not resold again on the open market. Food stamp systems are also relatively rare in developing countries because of the administrative complexities involved.

A case can be made therefore for introducing various subsidy payments on distributional grounds. Where these subsidies are for public sector enterprises, the administrative or disbursement problems will be less. However the revenue consequences considered earlier in the cases of labour and The answer is that general production subsidies will remain. one must distinguish between fairly limited subsidy schemes for individual commodities and relatively small numbers of producers, which are implemented in many developing countries at present, and schemes of much wider coverage, for example subsidizing the use of all unskilled labour in the modern sector, or all or most of production in manufacturing. As has been stressed the latter schemes are clearly far more demanding in terms of their revenue and administrative consequences. However more limited selective subsidies cannot be ruled out for administrative or fiscal reasons.

Conclusions

To conclude the discussion of this chapter we have surveyed a range of policies to introduce important economic reforms relating to the markets for unskilled labour, capital, foreign exchange and commodities. In broad terms the scheme considered here envisages:

(i) a reform of the protective system reducing the use of import quotas and wide dispersions in tariff rates:

(ii) liberalization of controls over domestic capital markets to allow interest rates to rise to market determined levels:

(iii) continued use of import tariffs to raise public revenue, with as far as possible uniformity in tariff rates:

(iv) selective encouragement to a number of dynamic and strategic industries, either through subsidies, or where this is not possible, through tariff protection:

(v) selective taxation or subsidization of particular consumer goods on income distribution grounds.

Introduction of such measures may imply a major shift in policy for some economies. Such a shift may be neither costless nor easy, and would have to be phased gradually to avoid harmful side-effects of the reforms. The question of the phasing of such reforms and the constraints on the ability of governments to move in this direction are the subject of the final chapter.

FOOTNOTES

1. Symbolically this will be $\Delta y/\Delta z$ where y is the target variable and z is the instrument. If one assumes that all other factors apart from the instrument variable are held constant, mathematically the ratio becomes a partial derivative $(\delta y/\delta z)$.

and a second second

- 2. Tinbergen (1967) p. 58.
- 3. It must be stressed that Tinbergen (1967) himself is doubtful of the practical value of cost-benefit calculations of various policies. Whilst he argues that "the most complete investigation of efficiency should also reckon with the influence of the instruments on the social welfare function", he also comments, "in practice, however, it will often be on incomplete information only that decisions have to be taken." p.52.
- 4. This general approach to policy analysis has a relatively long history starting initially from the international trade literature. Corden (1974) has a detailed bibliography.
- 5. One can consider alternative measures to curb rural-urban migration. For example, if it is impossible to check the growth of urban wages, it may be possible to raise agricultural incomes and employment prospects as a part of a longer term investment programme for the agricultural sector.
- Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970) make this point and suggest that even assuming a zero shadow wage, it would be difficult to justify an ERP of above 15%. pp 147-148.
- 7. Some would wish to qualify this view by arguing that employment growth may be best served by maintaining a high level of investment in the economy rather than by alterations in the factor-intensity of production. See Weiss (1984b) for a survey of some of these neo-Keynesian arguments. From this perspective a policy of reducing real wages in manufacturing, which is one of the alternatives considered below, will have a negative impact on employment due to its effect on internal demand.
- It may be of interest to note that a limited scheme of tax credits against profits tax linked with the employment effect of new investment was introduced in Mexico in the late 1970s; see Weiss (1984).
- 9. Corden (1980) pp 75-76 refers to this possibility, for example, suggesting a uniform rate of protection for unskilled labour costs.
- 10. The case of Mexico referred to above is an exception. However there the main purpose of granting labour subsidies appears to have been defeated by granting even greater subsidies to the use of capital. Little (1982), clearly unaware of the Mexican case, comments that "so far as I am aware only the U.K. has used labour subsidies to encourage employment, although training subsidies are in use in some LDCs." p.143.

11. Tanzi (1982) gives data on the fiscal deficit in a sample of 23 developing countries. In addition he attempts to explain revenue shortfalls. The most common factor cited is 'administrative difficulties' in tax collection procedures.

- 12. Means of raising intermediate costs relative to labour are not considered explicitly. Since most are tradeables a devaluation is one way of achieving this.
- 13. Wickham (1984) surveys the literature in this area.
- 14. Corden (1974) comments that just as some sectors may be untaxable, the same producers may be unsubsidizable. p. 48.
- 15. "The advocates of production subsidies disregard budgetary considerations on the assumption 'that government intervention is a costless operation; in other words there is no cost attached to the choice between a tax and a subsidy.' But while such an assumption is 'in accordance with the conventions of theoretical analysis of these problems' it does not conform to the conditions existing in most developing countries." Balassa (1982) p.67.
- 16. See for example Balassa (1982) pp 66-70. Balassa argues that a moderate level of effective proportion for manufacturing as a whole can be justified in most developing countries on the grounds of external effects.
- 17. This assumes that planners can estimate economic costs and benefits from different branches. Balassa recognises the potential case for granting differential rates of protection to infant industry branches. He tries to maintain a degree of uniformity however by arguing that it is desirable to set one standard rate for infant industries but that this should be no more than double the rate for manufacturing in general. He also suggests that infant industry protection should be temporary, perhaps for 5 to 8 years; see Balassa (1982) p.69.
- 18. See Corden (1980) p.75.
- 19. See the discussion in Corden (1974) pp. 201-223.
- 20. As Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970) put it, "Apart from the argument in favour of simplicity all the theoretical arguments suggest that different industries should be encouraged by different amounts." p.160.
- 21. Timmer et al (1983) pp. 189-211.

CHAPTER V The Policy Dialogue and Implementation of Policy Reform

The discussion so far has been concentrated on the economic arguments for reform of prices and markets in developing countries. In this final chapter, we will concentrate on the mechanisms for arriving at policy reform decisions, and for their implementation. The first subject for discussion will be the administrative and political constraints which inevitably place costs and difficulties in the way of reform, but which are generally given little prominence in theoretical discussions of the subject. We then move on to what we call the "policy dialogue", through which the economic and finance departments of government determine the country's policy direction, and which of course must take account of political and administrative constraints as well as the economic arguments. The final pages consider some of the general problems of implementing policy reforms.

The framework for the policy dialogue will be the five stages outlined in Chapter III. However, the use of the five stages described here will be rather different. In chapter III they were used as a theoretical framework for policy reform, but in this chapter they will be used to provide a basis for systematic discussion of a reform programme. The five stages though, refer only to the pricing of goods, and the pricing of factors such as foreign exchange, capital (whose price is the interest rate) and labour do not fit neatly into the five-stage framework. It is likely that in practice discussion of the prices of these factors would arise when going through the five stages, but for clarity of exposition we have kept them separate. A further link with what has gone before will be provided by reference to the reform measures described in Chapter IV, and particularly those mentioned in the conclusions to that chapter. This reform package is seen as indicating the direction that reform should take, but we recognise that the extent, pace and emphasis of reform will have to vary from country to country. What we provide is a framework and direction for reform, not a rigid prescription to be followed by all.

Administrative Constraints

Any policy of reform requires administrative effort to design the reforms, which we discuss under the policy dialogue, plus continuing effort to implement and to operate them.

In most developing countries, the capacity of administrative staff in the Civil Service is still limited. Moreover, administrative procedures in many countries are still relatively young. Therefore, in designing a reform programme, it is important to take account of the capacity of the administration to carry out the reforms. A further point to be considered is that reform can be costly. A programme which requires considerable effort to implement and operate is one which will require additional resources of manpower, offices and overheads, and perhaps computers. These can only be provided by diverting resources from other uses, or by increased current expenditure by government. As most developing countries' governments have severe budgetary constraints, it is likely that any increase in current expenditure can only be met at the expense of capital, or development expenditure, or by increased borrowing. As we believe that both of these methods of providing additional resources are often undesirable, we are looking for proposals for reform which will not result in increased government expenditure, and which may even result in savings. The emphasis, therefore, is on reform programmes which are simple to implement and to operate. Administrative constraints therefore should be seen as major considerations in the design and speed of any reform programme. In this respect our recommendations have a different emphasis to earlier reform proposals such as Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970), Corden (1974) and Balassa (1977 and 1982), which at best treated the administrative constraint as a minor consideration, if at all. However, Lewis (1984) does give some attention to the administrative difficulties of taxation.

The collection of data is an important area where the administrative constraint may be felt. In an ideal world, a government would collect information to make estimates such as the effective rates of protection for individual industries, and price and income

elasticities of demand for individual products. Such estimates are technically difficult to make, the data expensive to obtain, and continuous effort is needed to keep them up to date. The experience of Tanzania, which attempted a price fixing regime based largely on costs of domestic production, but which encountered sever difficulties with the policy, is a case in point (Whitworth) 1982. The administrative costs of operating a price fixing organisation such as Tanzania's National Price Commission also indicate that administratively simpler methods of pricing are generally desirable.

Under the reform programme proposed the government has to decide which industries merit protection for reasons of industrial dynamism, self-sufficiency and employment generation and what the degree of protection should be; it has to undertake discussions with the managers of those industries and withstand the lobbying and possibility of corruption, not only from the industries to be protected, but perhaps more importantly from those industries which do not need protection. However, the owners and managers of industries which do qualify for protection have different objectives from government. They are likely to want to maximise their protection (and profits) and are likely, therefore, to exaggerate their costs of production. Government may not possess administrations with sufficient knowledge of specialized industrial costs to be able to assess adequately the requests for protection from a variety of different producers.

One type of protection discussed in Chapter IV is the payment of labour subsidies and production subsidies to certain industries. Government needs the administrative procedure for assessing claims for subsidies and paying out the subsidies. Again, scope for dishonest and corrupt practice is considerable. A firm may be tempted to exaggerate its labour force in order to receive an increased labour subsidy. The procedures of government for checking the labour force may not be adequate. Likewise, other forms of producer subsidy (for example, on the consumption of raw materials) may again be open to abuse. Government should not enter into subsidy schemes unless it is sure it can administrate them efficiently and honestly.

Consumer subsidies may present less of a problem; indeed, some governments have substantial experience of administering consumer subsidies for agricultural and food products, and also products such as petrol and diesel. Nonetheless, any significant extension of subsidies to industrial goods would increase government administration, and administrative costs.

A further area of strain on government administration may arise should the government decide on a policy of widespread reduction in industrial tariffs and their replacement by taxes on final consumer goods. As we have seen in chapter III, import tariffs are relatively cheap and easy to collect and account for a much higher proportion of government revenue and tax revenue in developing countries than in industrialised countries. Taxing final consumption through a sales tax or a value added tax on all goods is likely to lead to a less efficient system of taxation in that the ratio of tax due to tax collected will possibly be lower than with tariffs, and the collection of tax will be administratively more expensive and difficult. Both these considerations imply therefore a need for a higher nominal rate of taxation that would be needed under the conventional tariff on imports, everything else being equal. This in itself may encourage further tax evasion.

Administrative constraints may also be encountered with a proposal for drawbacks (that is, refunds) of taxes or tariffs on intermediate goods. A drawback or refund system, such as operates under a value added tax regime, for example, requires extensive book-keeping and accurate invoicing by businesses and organisations. It also requires a considerable force of inspectors, and detailed checking of all business records. Such a system requires a fairly skilled administration, and is probably practicable on a substantial scale only in the more advanced developing countries (Mexico, Argentina, South Korea and the Ivory Coast, for example, have value added tax systems).

Any efficient tax and tariff system requires considerable administrative capacity. In many countries, it is likely to be the "capacity problem", which is the most difficult to overcome.

Bremer (1984) has recognised the capacity problem in policy analysis and has proposed that third world governments should consider relying on outside agencies, such as local accountancy firms, consultants, and universities for work in policy analysis rather than trying to do all the work themselves². In the context of price reform, this would mean sub-contracting much of the technical work³, with ministers concerning themselves with defining the policy problems and civil servants deciding the work to be done outside, drawing up terms of reference and later interpreting the results. While this approach may reduce the burden of technical work (such as examining detailed industry costs), it will still leave a substantial part of the analysis, decision-taking and administration to be done by the government staff itself.

Financial Constraints

Although provision will be made for consideration of the impact of price reform on government revenue, the reform package may still have a significant negative impact on tax revenue. This may be offset by compensatory increases in revenue from income or corporation taxes. Failing that, the tax shortfall will have to be met by additional borrowing, either domestically or abroad. The extent to which a government can do this will depend on its present levels of borrowing and on its external creditworthiness. Nonetheless, there exists the real danger that in some countries additional government borrowing may be inflationary, or may further distort capital markets, or both. Increased foreign indebtedness exposes the country to the risk of adverse currency and interest rate movements, at a time when it is likely to be devaluing anyway, to the risk of an exogenously determined down-turn in either the price or the volume of its exports.

A constraint may arise with the number of different tax rates which can be levied. In an ideal world the government will know the price elasticity of demand for each good it proposes to tax and can select the tax rate for that good accordingly. It was suggested in Chapter IV that the maximum number of rates for import tariffs which could be easily handled administratively

would be three or four and the Government will merely be left with the task of allocating an individual good to one of the three categories. A similar constraint would operate with levying domestic sales taxes, and the number of tax rates should be limited to four. Made-to-measure tariffs for goods selected under stages 3-4 require that in principle every good be given In practice, such detailed differentiation its own tariff. may not be necessary and it will probably be adequate to round the tariff to the nearest 5% (i.e. a made-to-measure tariff of 28% would be classed as 30% rather than 25%). A similar system would be to have one tariff rate for those goods not afforded special consideration under stages 3-5, and perhaps two or three other rates for goods afforded special protection. An individual good would be given the rate of tariff which is nearest to the degree of protection the industry is felt to need.

Political Constraints

All governments face political constraints on their policies. The constraints may be more apparent in countries where governments have to submit themselves for re-election, but they are always present, even under the most totalitarian regimes. Essentially, there will be a limit to the extent that governments can impose unpopular measures on the population, for fear of rejection at the ballot box, or through riot, coup or revolution. Policies which raise prices or taxes appear particular sensitive to political constraints, and we are conscious that as our reform proposals fall into this sensitive area, governments will (and should) consider the popularity of a reform package with the population before implementing it. It is only sensible to recognise that the structure of a reform package will be significantly affected by a government's political constraints; so too will the speed of implementation of the package.

Economic Constraints

(a) <u>Macro-economic Constraints</u> There is a risk that the procedure of policy reform, as described in Chapter IV, may result in short-term macro-economic difficulties. One of the immediate

effects of reducing tariffs and removing quotas may be a substantial balance of payments deficit to be followed by devaluation. A devaluation is generally acceptable if it is effective in restoring external equilibrium. As we have seen in Chapter II, this requires a certain combination of values of the price elasticities of imports and exports, which may not exist in practice, combined with other internal conditions, and, in the absence of these, devaluation may simply lead to a continued external deficit. The available evidence, though, suggests that in most developing countries the elasticities are so that devaluation will have the required effect (Bird, 1982). Of course, this constraint may not exist for all countries; some will be stable enough to withstand the balance of payments effect of the reform package because of surpluses from agricultural or mineral exports, service income such as tourism and repatriated earnings. For some countries, though, widespread reduction of industrial tariffs will lead to external deficits, and devaluation may largely serve to increase inflation. Although there is in principle a case for exchange rate flexibility, in some countries it may not be economically or politically We return to the question of alternative methods feasible. of exchange rate adjustment below.

The second major consequence of the removal or reduction of industrial tariffs is that several industrial firms may become loss-making and close down. Any static allocative efficiency thereby gained will be at the cost of significant industrial dislocation, and unemployment of plant and people will in turn have negative multiplier effects throughout the economy, resulting in a cumulative loss of national income. On the other hand, some firms may be stimulated if they can purchase inputs at lower prices than previously. Clearly, a programme of reform must be sensitive to its impact on the manufacturing sector.

A shift towards a uniform tariff, and an accompanying devaluation, will hit highly protected industries more than others. In the extent that lower profitability in the industrial sector or higher perceived risk follows from the implementation of these

135

policies, investment may be switched to the non-traded sector, especially services. The service sector is less exposed to the forces of international competition; however, there is a view that the service sector lacks the "economic dynamism" and potential for productivity growth of manufactured industries, and that an over-developed service sector tends, in the long run, to reduce the rate of growth. (Kaldor, 1966).

Few decision-takers would be prepared to implement with equanimity policies which would result in the decline of the industrial For most developing countries the development of sector. the industrial sector is a gradual and difficult process (as it was for the industrialised countries), and therefore the exposure of parts of the industrial sector to international competition is itself something which can be undertaken only Nonetheless, the development of an industrial sector gradually. which is internationally competitive must for most countries remain one of the long-term objectives of industrial policy, and in some instances protection may merely prolong monopoly Proponents of tariff reductions, such as and inefficiency. Balassa (1982), argue that in the long run it will lead to a shift in resources from import substitution industries to export industries, which is one of the principle objectives of reform.

(b) International Trade Conventions Any reform programme which effectively subsidises exports runs the risk of contravening the rules of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and possibly encountering countervailing action in export markets. Some methods of reducing the dollar price of exports appear to be more acceptable than others. For example, devaluation (or maintaining an under-valued exchange rate, which, from time to time, Japan is accused of doing) seems more acceptable to trading partners than providing a direct export subsidy, which risks the perjorative label of dumping. It is beyond the scope of this study to do more than mention the constraint, but the likelihood of retaliatory action to alternative export incentives has been examined by Balassa (1982) in a similar context.
Finally, the proposals for tariff rationalisation must be considered in the context of global trade negotiations. We would not wish to suggest that the developing nations should reduce gradually their tariffs on imports in the absence of similar steps to reduce protection in the industrialised Developing countries already have limited access countries. to some markets because of non-price restrictions. When this is the case, increasing competitiveness of exports, which is one of the aims of the reform programme, will not have the Moreover, unilateral tariff reductions may desired effect. result in the developing countries throwing away what bargaining power they have in international negotiations. Tariff reductions would generally result in increased exports by the South from the North but for these to be sustained, the South must have improved opportunities to export to the North. Gains from trade will accrue only if both sides are prepared to contribute equally to the relaxation of trade restraints.

The Policy Dialogue : The Actors

Our suggestions for policy reform fall mainly in the macro-economic arena, but also have implications for planning at the micro-level. The principal macro-economic variables which are likely to be affected are government revenue, tariffs and protection, employment and income distribution, the exchange rate, and interest rates. At the micro-level, there will be a requirement to examine the economics of individual industries and even firms which are considered for protection or subsidies under stages 3, 4 and 5. The reforms therefore embrace the major areas of government involvement in the economy, and require close collaboration between those concerned with designing and implementing government economic policy. In order to encourage this collaboration, we propose the establishment of an Industrial Policy Co-ordinating Committee⁵, IPCC ("The Committee").

As policy is largely political in nature, the Committee would have as its permanent members the ministers responsible for industry and trade and the minister of finance, together with the most senior civil servant from each ministry. As the exchange

rate and interest rates will frequently come under scrutiny, the governor of the central bank, together with a senior staff member, should also be permanent members. Additionally, the head of the inland revenue service, and possibly ministers responsible for economic planning, employment or consumer affairs, and a member of the President's Office, if they exist, may also be permanent, or possibly co-opted members, Essentially the IPPC will be a high level committee for discussing industrial policy reform.

In keeping with the spirit of minimising the costs and complexities of administration, the IPCC would not have its own secretariat. Instead, it would make use of the existing secretariats of its members, when more detailed work is needed. Thus, for example, if the IPCC were worried about the impact of a reduction in tariff on a given industry, it might request that the civil servants of the ministry of industry should undertake a detailed study of the impact of this change. The senior civil servant of the ministry of industry would then be responsible for seeing that the work was done. In effect, he would be acting as a link between the political and administrative levels of government.

The essential role of the Committee is to bring co-ordination to government industrial policy (and perhaps even all economic policy). We believe that regular meetings and discussions at a high level will lead to at least a modest improvement in industrial policy. However, it would be naive to pretend that meetings would be without difficulties, tensions and disagreements. Nonetheless, they should lead to greater consistency and unity of policy, which would represent an improvement in many countries.

The IPCC would have two distinct functions:

- (i) The reform of prices of industrial goods, chiefly through changes in taxes and tariffs on industrial goods.
- (ii) The implementation of the introduction of changes in prices, through tariffs and taxes, on these goods.

Another consideration which will certainly arise from the first two is:

(iii) The pricing of the factors foreign exchange, capital and labour.

We will discuss the two main factors in turn, and bring in the pricing of factors when they become relevant.

The Policy Dialogue : Reform of Prices, Taxes and Tariffs

In Chapter III we presented a five-stage framework within which proposals for reforming prices, taxes and tariffs can be viewed. The five stages can also be used as a systematic framework for the IPCC to use in its deliberations. While we do not wish to repeat what has already been written in Chapter III, it may be helpful to give an outline of how the IPCC might draw on the five-stage approach to its deliberations, in combination with the policy reform suggestions in Chapter IV.

Stage 1: Allocative efficiency pricing At the beginning, the IPCC will examine the overall relationship between domestic prices and world prices for manufactured goods, the divergences which exist, and the reason for these divergences. In many countries, it is likely that such comparisons will reveal a complexity of tariffs, quotas and outright prohibition of import of some goods, resulting in widely differing nominal and effective rates of protection. A further study may reveal differences between market prices and the opportunity costs of foreign exchange, capital and labour. Again, an examination of the causes of such divergences would be instructive. The outcome of discussions at Stage 1 would be an understanding of the magnitude and causes of divergences between efficiency prices and existing prices for industrial goods and for the factors of production.

Preliminary discussion of reforms at Stage 1 would be concerned with ways of reducing the divergences. Several possibilities exist, depending on the circumstances in the individual country. Among them would be the replacement of quotas by tariffs, the reduction in the number of different tariff rates and the narrowing of the gap between the highest and lowest tariffs. Consideration may also be given to imposing tariffs on goods which are at present imported duty-free. The object would be to move towards similar and more uniform tariff structures. For domestically produced goods, consideration may be given to the possibility of introducing (or extending) excise or sales taxes.

It is quite likely that discussion of the pricing of the factors of production would arise at this stage, although we prefer to keep them separate from the pricing of goods, and reserve our discussion until after Stage 5. However, it would be convenient to formulate an exchange rate policy at Stage 1, as substantial tariff reductions, if introduced, would in many countries imply a reduction of the exchange rate. It is preferable though to discuss the prices of labour and capital outside the five-stage framework, and we will hold over more detailed discussion of the pricing of factors until after Stage 5.

Discussions at Stage 1 would probably be crucial to determining the overall direction and pace of policy reform, as the extent of divergences between domestic and international prices become apparent. The Committee is likely to come to a position on the extent to which it considers reform desirable, and the extent to which it favours a more open, or less interventionist policy. The discussions would be necessarily inconclusive, though, as overall policy cannot be finally determined until all the subsequent stages have been considered. Even in a logical framework such as the five stages, determination of policy is necessarily a gradual and an iteritive process.

Stage 2: Public Revenue Adjustments The need to raise government revenue through taxes on industrial goods is essentially a constraint on policy reform, but notnecessarily an inflexible constraint. While governments in developing countries tend to rely quite heavily on tariffs for revenue purposes, the evidence presented in Chapter III suggests that this dependence tends to decline as economic development progresses. Therefore many governments will expect to take a declining proportion of their revenue from trade taxes as the economy develops and as the administration becomes more capable of collecting other taxes, such as taxes on income and sales taxes. But even in a static context, the revenue "take" from trade taxes can be varied by increasing revenue from other taxes, by increased government borrowing or by reduced government expenditure.

The Ministry of Finance can be expected to be concerned about the level of revenue to be obtained from taxes on commodities, and should give a target figure for each year. However, this is a figure which is negotiable within government, and one which other parties to the policy dialogue may wish to discuss. Proposals for general tax reform, and shifting the burden of taxation, are legitimate areas for discussion.

At a more detailed level, reform of tariff structures is likely to be an important area of discussion. How can the tariff system be simplified, and the number of tariff rates gradually reduced, while still meeting an agreed revenue target from tariffs? The object of these simplifications would be to move towards a lower, more uniform tariff structure, with exceptions arising at Stages 3, 4 and 5, and perhaps on imports, aiming ultimately at a low or even zero uniform tariff. While the main target of reform in many countries is likely to be import tariffs, attention might also be given to reducing export taxes where they are likely to act as a disincentive. (Caution is needed, though, when export taxes on one or two commodities provide a substantial proportion of government revenue) . Other matters for consideration would be the possibility of introducing import subsidies, or tariff drawbacks, to industries whose inputs are subject to tariffs or other taxes. Also, all these reforms have revenue implications which need to be considered at Stage 2.

<u>Stage 3: Dynamic Adjustments</u>. The discussion at Stages 3 and 4 will be concerned with identifying industries which qualify for special treatment. The special treatment will consist of measures designed to assist specific industries, through selective tariffs, subsidies or other incentives which differ from those generally applicable to the industrial sector, and which have been determined at Stage 2. The second part of the discussion will be to agree on the amount of special assistance to be granted. At Stage 3, the task will be to identify industries which have above average growth prospects, or above average linkages externalities or learning effects, but whose development would be inreatened if exposed to the general treatment of industry agreed at Stage 2. The process of identifying qualifying industries, and estimating the type and level of incentive is likely to involve the staff of the ministry of industry in considerable data collection and analysis.

As we have seen in Chapter III, the possible existence of dynamic factors suggests that valuing goods according to the principles of static economic efficiency may not be sufficient.-Given that dynamic effects are thought to be significant (either positive or negative), and given that they are often difficult to estimate quantitatively, how can they be taken into account in the valuation of goods? The starting points for traded goods are -

- a) the world price of the good;
- b) the resource costs of domestic production, valued at economic opportunity costs.

(i) <u>The decision to produce</u> If (b) is less than (a), then it is clearly beneficial from static efficiency considerations for the country to produce the good, unless dynamic diseconomies are though to be considerable. However, the difficult case arises if (b) is greater than (a), and dynamic factors are thought to be significant. The problem can then be addressed using an example.

A country is thinking of setting up diesel engine production for the first time, to replace imports. The C.I.F. price of diesel engines is 100/unit, but a study shows resource costs of production, valued at shadow prices, to be 120/unit. Clearly in terms of static efficiency only, the country should not produce diesel engines. However, there are thought to be significant dynamic economies which will arise from their domestic production. The question is whether or not the country is prepared to pay20% more for diesel engines (in terms of static efficiency) in order to reap the benefits of the dynamic economic factors resulting from domestic production.

To answer this type of question, one needs a specification of the dynamic effects and an estimate of their likely magnitude. However, the nature of many dynamic effects means that they are difficult or even impossible to quantify. In principle, linkages may be quantified by estimating the increase or decrease in value added in supplier and user enterprises; in practice, this may be difficult to do, even if the linked enterprises are willing to co-operate in supplying the necessary data. Internal dynamic economies, such as learning effects, or the benefits of research and development (R and D) expenditures, are even harder to $quantifv^6$. However, it is likely that on average benefits from R and D expenditure will exceed costs. The infant industry argument too can be examined systematically. The firm can be expected to grow over time, resulting in falling unit costs of Its initial unit costs of production can form the production. basis for establishing a special protective tariff. However, as with all the considerations at Stage 3, the ministry of industry needs to examine critically cost data obtained from firms, and to come to its own conclusions.

Although the technical staff may be able to present some quantitative indications of the dynamic benefits or costs arising from domestic manufacturing, there is likely to be considerable uncertainty surrounding these estimates, and the IPPC will have to exercise judgement in many cases. Limitations of data, time, technical staff and even of methodology to estimate dynamic effects mean that the Committee in some instances will have little more than a "hunch" to fall back on. Does the intuitive perception of dynamic benefits overcome an estimated 20% cost disadvantage or not? Reference to experience in other industries, and even other countries, might help, but such references can only be a partial guide.

(ii) <u>Pricing the product</u> If the diesel engine company has to price its products at C.I.F. prices then clearly it will make

Assuming it needs to make a a loss, at least to start with. certain rate of return on its capital, it needs incentives of one form or another so that it can achieve such a rate of return. It may not be sufficient to allow the company to charge 120/unit, as the figure of 120 is arrived at using shadow prices rather than financial costs. A shadow price of labour, for example, would have the effect of producing resource costs below the financial costs. Moreover, the company will have to be compensated for its perception risk. Therefore the company may have to receive, say 130/unit for its diesel engines. There are a variety of price policy mechanisms which the government could introduce to achieve this effect which are discussed below. Essentially, they all involve giving the producer an incentive equal to 30% of the C.I.F. price. The Committee has to ask itself whether or not it is prepared to do this⁷. The figure of 130/unit can only be agreed to by the Committee after an examination of the cost data of the company.

The examination of cost data generally is likely to form a significant part of the Committee's work. Companies themselves may present accounts and cost data and the Committee may, if it chooses, have these checked by government technical staff, or independent consultants or auditors⁸. As we have noted inChapter IV, for products where there is just one existing (or putative) manufacturer, the problem is relatively straightforward. For products with several manufacturers, the problem becomes more difficult as each will generally have different costs. Should the Committee assess the incentive on the basis of the least cost, the average, or the highest cost manufacturer, or on some other basis? The Committee should consider the costs at shadow prices before arriving at its decision; cases may arise where companies with relatively high costs at market prices have relatively low costs at shadow prices, in which case there would be a strong argument for protecting them.

In an industry with multiple producers, who each have different costs, the pricing decision will depend on the extent to which government wishes to protect the industry. Three possible

cases are

(a) If the government wants to ensure no plant closures (for example, because of the Stage 4 consideration of avoiding further unemployment), then it will set the price at the average cost of the least efficient product.

(b) If it wants to keep only the most efficient local producers, then it will set a price which will equal their average costs.

(c) It may set the price somewhere between the prices under (a) and (b), depending on the extent to which it wishes to eliminate high cost producers, and the extent to which it is prepared to risk plant closures and create unemployment. An alternative to closures may be takeovers or mergers between the prices under (a) and (b), depending on the extent to which it wishes to eliminate high cost producers, and the extent to which it is prepared to risk plant closures and create unemployment. An alternative to closures may be takeovers or mergers between efficient and inefficient producers.

The problem of defining "average cost" is considerable and beyond the scope of this study to discuss in detail. The problem has been encountered by price fixing organisations, such as Tanzania's National Price Commission (NPC), whose difficulties in this regard are discussed in Whitworth (1982). Essentially, the NPC worked on the basis that a "firm's profit margins, and hence its prices, should be fixed so that it can earn a "fair" return on capital employed if it operates "efficiently" during the pricing period, usually 1 year." A "fair" profit is one that enables a firm to earn 30% pre-tax on capital employed. Since the rate of corporation tax is 50%, this means 15% after tax. Of course there are considerable difficulties in defining "capital employed", and in estimating operating costs, ex-ante, some of which Whitworth discusses. The NPC had considerable difficulties when there were multiple producers of a given good, with different operating costs. According to Whitworth, the NPC took the average of all the plants, while acknowledging that there is no satisfactory solution to the problem.

The NPC of Tanzania is quoted merely as an illustrative example, rather than as a model to be followed. Other countries will have to set their own criteria and their own definitions. What is accepted in one country may not be acceptable to another. For example, Tanzania's NPC priced domestic goods on the basis of manufacturers' cost-plus without reference to world prices. Other countries, for example Ivory Coast and Morocco, chose to give rather more attention to world prices; indeed the procedure recommended in Chapter III suggests that world prices should be used as a starting point.

The second pricing consideration is to determine what the domestic consumer should pay. In the diesel engine example, three prices appear possible:

- a) the consumer pays the world price (i.e. 100/unit)
- b) the consumer pays the price which will give the producer his desired return on capital (i.e. 130/unit).
- c) the consumer pays the resource costs (i.e. 120/unit) or some other price between 100 and 130.

Clearly the producer will need to receive at least 130/unit, other-This could be arranged by setting a 30% wise he will not produce. tariff on imports, or by paying him a subsidy of 30 so that his goods are competitive with imports. It may not be considered desirable that buyers of diesel engines, for example a tractor Such a price would mean that the manufacturer, should pay 130. tractors would cost more than the world price to produce, assuming all other costs are at world prices; ; the tractors would need protection from competing imports or a subsidy; tractors would Unless the tractor manufactnot be exportable unless subsidised. urer received a subsidy or a drawback of 30, domestic sales would be lower than if the diesel engines cost 100, which may result in even higher unit costs of production and lower employment. The Committee should consider carefully these points before deciding In an ideal world, what the tractor firm should pay for diesels. it should pay 130, and receive a subsidy of 30, so that it does However, in practice not suffer negative effective protection. several countries might find a subsidy scheme difficult to In the absence of subsidies, a uniform rate of administer. protection may partially reduce the difficulty. This type of problem is likely to arise with any industrial intermediate, which

is given special protection, and also with agricultural inputs such as fertiliser, unless a subsidy scheme can be administered.

Throughout the discussion at Stage 3, it will be apparent that there is likely to be conflict between producer and consumer interests, and perhaps with the government also. Moreover, the IPCC is likely to be subjected to special pleading and other Naturally, firms manufacturing pressures from interested parties. for the domestic market are likely to argue for protection and they will have an incentive to exaggerate some of their costs. In some cases firms may even resort to corrupt practices to increase their protection or subsidy. This type of problem only increases the Committee's difficulties, and puts increased emphasis on the cost analysis to be done by the civil service. Providing special protection is clearly going to give rise to many difficulties, and we emphasise that it should be the exception rather than the rule. The fewer industries the government has to protect, the better.

The discussion has been conducted in terms of setting prices of goods which are already manufactured locally. However, similar considerations apply to setting prices for products when an enterprise proposes local manufacture for the first time. The major difference is that the IPCC will need to work on the basis of production costs estimated in a feasibility study, rather than with historical cost data. Once the enterprise is operating, then the costs will have to be reviewed as actual costs often differ from estimated costs. However, the Committee should emphasise to potential manufacturers that it does not intend to protect inefficiencies in operations.

Stage 4: Self-sufficiency Adjustments

At this stage the government will identify industries that it wishes to protect on the grounds of national self-sufficiency, although downstream consumers may also be concerned about the risks involved in relying on imported supplies. Conversely, consumers may be concerned about the possibility of having to buy at a higher price from a protected domestic industry.

The comparison of production costs of such goods at shadow prices

with world prices can show the committee the resource costs of pursuing policies of self-sufficiency. It must then assess whether or not the higher costs are outweighed by the risks avoided. If they do not, the Committee will recommend dependence on trade to self-sufficiency. Such decisions are essentially ones of judgement; it is difficult to put a quantitative value on self-sufficiency which will enable it to be compared directly with the higher costs implied. The guestion of the level and method of incentive to be offered will be resolved in just the same way as described for dynamic industries.

The Committee will also need to consider the dependence of existing enterprises on tariffs. Any proposal to reduce or withdraw a tariff may result in the eventual closure of an enterprise and subsequent job losses. In some countries, employment effects may be a major determinant of tariff policies, and tariffs may be imposed at Stage 4 for this reason. There is at least a case for establishing a tariff which will cover the difference between labour costs at market prices and labour costs at shadow prices. The uniform tariff discussed in Chapter IV may generally fulfil this purpose.

Stage 5 : Income distribution adjustments

For a good whose consumption has a significant distributional impact for low-income groups the government may want to set low prices to encourage consumption and to alleviate poverty. The agreed price for consumers tops will depend in part upon a technical discussion of incomes of the poorer groups, household budget surveys and nutritional or other basic needs. If the agreed price is below the free market price (if produced locally) or the CIF price plus distribution costs (if imported), then some form of subsidy will be needed. Alternatively, the government may consider increasing (or establishing) welfare payments to increase the disposable incomes of the poor, rather than directly subsidising goods or services.

Distributional considerations are likely to arise mainly in connection with the price of foodstuffs, and perhaps other basic needs such as clothing and housing⁹. To take the example of rice to illustrate a practical application, the IPCC will ideally

need estimates of

- how many kgs. of rice per day are nutritionally desirable?
- how must does this cost at the existing market price?
- what proportion of existing poverty line incomes does this cost represent?
- what change in real incomes is considered desirable?
- what change in the price of rice is needed to bring this about?
- how will the change in price affect consumption?

A technical study will be needed to answer these questions, which again emphasises the role to be played by civil servants or by outside consultants.

The level of any subsidy or tariff change may not be finally agreed at Stage 5, as it imposes a demand on public revenue, and if the cost of a subsidy on the good is substantial, then it may be necessary to refer back to Stage 2. The Ministry of Finance would naturally need to consider the impact of the change on government financing and may request some modification to the proposed price.

Interest Rate Adjustments

As with tariff and subsidy adjustments, a gradual adjustment and eventual freeing of interest rates is to be recommended. A sharp upward adjustment may dislocate financial markets, and may also result in a degree of financial distress for some variable rate borrowers. Alternatively, a sharp increase in deposit rates may cause banks some difficulties if they have many fixed rate borrowers, to whom they cannot pass on increases.

Exchange Rate Adjustments

For many countries, a gradual reduction in tariffs is likely to lead to a balance of payments deficit, which in turn is likely to require a devaluation of the exchange rate. A country would face the possibilities of a substantial once and for all devaluation, recommended by Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970), a "crawling peg" devaluation or allowing the echange rate to float. A priori, it is impossible to say which would be preferable, as much would depend on the magnitude and speed of tariff reductions, the structure of the economy and the possibilities of cornering speculation which could be destabilising¹⁰. However, a government will have to choose its preferred method of devaluation, so we will attempt to give a few general guidelines in the section on Implementing the Agreed Reforms.

Wage Rates

The divergence between market wage rates and the opportunity cost However, any attempt to reduce of labour has been noted earlier. real wages so that they become equal to the opportunity cost is likely to cause hardship and to meet with resistance. In many countries it will also run counter to the government's income distribution policies, and concern for the poor. In general, therefore, we do not consider a reduction in real wages to be Nor is the alternative policy of a labour subsidy, feasible. discussed in Chapter IV, likely to be feasible, because of the To some extent, domestic difficulties of implementing it. manufacturers may be compensated for the labour cost distortion As an economy develops, by means of a uniform tariff on imports. and eventually approaches fully employment and international competitiveness, the opportunity cost of labour will tend towards the market wage, allowing a gradual reduction in the uniform This may be a slow process, though, and it may be many tariff. years before a country can dispense with its uniform tariff.

Results of the Policy Dialogue

At the conclusion of Stage 5, the IPCC should arrive at an agreed policy for the reform of tariffs, taxes and subsidies on manufactured goods. The general theme of our argument is that policy should

tend towards the elimination of quotas and a reduction in the number of tariffs, with the aim of arriving eventually at a uniform tariff, which may then be reduced over time. A number of exceptions to the uniform tariff will arise, however, as a result of taking into account dynamic self-sufficiency and income The number of the goods falling into distribution considerations. the categories, and the degree of protection given to them will of course vary from country to country. Goods which are thus protected will be subject to special tariffs which are higher than the uniform Rather than devising a system of made-to-measure tariffs tariff. for each good, we suggest that two or three higher bands of tariff be used, into which individual goods will be slotted. The band chosen for an individual good will depend on the degree of protection which it is thought to need.

At the same time, changes in subsidies may be introduced. Export industries which, because of tariffs on imported inputs suffer from negative effective protection, will need compensating subsidies if the negative effective protection is to be removed. The subsidy should be just sufficient to offset the tariff. This problem should diminish over time, however, as tariffs are reduced, and as a parallel reduction in the exchange rate also assists exporters. If a low uniform tariff is set equal to the difference between market wages and the opportunity cost of labour, then manufacturers will then have zero effective protection and a compensating subsidy will no longer be necessary. As noted earlier, though, subsidy schemes are not easy to operate.

The probable loss in revenue resulting from a reduction in tariffs is likely to require changes in domestic taxation. This may be done in a variety of ways, but assuming that revenue from commodity taxes is to be held roughly constant, then some form of domestic sales or excise tax is needed, as discussed in ChaptersIII and IV. The rate of salestax would rise as tariffs fall. Exports would, generally, be exempt from sales taxes, although as noted above special export taxes might be retained in exceptional circumstances. A system of drawbacks should be introduced so that domestic manufacturers do not pay the domestic sales tax on intermediate

inputs, whether they are imported or domestically produced. Eventually this system of drawbacks might be widened to become a fully-fledged value added tax.

The aim of policy reform, as suggested here, will be more towards a system which provides uniform effective protection, which diminishes over time, but which allows for flexibility in protecting or subsidising particular industries which the government wishes to encourage for reasons of dynamism, self-sufficiency or employment creation. Even if reform is only carried out to a limited extent, the government should at least recognise the effects of its policies, and should at least end up with less unintended protection. A modest improvement is better than no improvement at all.

The Committee will consider also the pricing of the factors of labour, capital and foreign exchange. We are not inclined to suggest any reductions in real wages, nor wage subsidies. Rather, labour intensive industries might be protected under Stage 4,self-sufficiency adjustments. We also suggest that the relative prices of labour and capital should be adjusted by raising interest rates. The suggested reform programme is likely to imply a devaluation of the currency in many countries, as protection falls and imports rise. Exchange rate adjustment and the impact of the reforms on existing industries, are likely to be constraints on the implementation of the reform programme, a subject to which we now turn.

Implementing the Agreed Price Reforms

A major difficulty in discussing generally the implementation of a reform package is that every country will start from a different point. One is reminded of the traveller asking the way to his destination. The reply "Well, if I were you, I wouldn't start from here" was no doubt well meaning, but not very helpful. It would be simple, though unrealistic, to give the same advice to developing countries contemplating a reform package. The consequence, though, is that a discussion of the implementation of a reform package becomes highly generalised, even platitudinous, in the absence of any well defined starting point. We hope

developing country readers will accept this severe limitation on what follows, but that they will be able nonetheless to apply the general remarks to their own countries.

The reform programme outlines below is not intended as an "all or nothing" option. Some countries may prefer to go only part of the way, and may feel unable, for reasons of policy (for example, preferring vo maintain a relatively closed economy) or administrative constraints, to introduce a full programme of reforms.

Sequence of the Reforms

At the outset, the government should envisage reform as a sequential process, to take place over a number of years. It is not a process which ever comes to an end, though, as goods specially protected under Stages 3 - 5 may have their protection gradually removed, and new industries can be expected to develop with claims for protection in their initial stages. However, for discussion purposes it is convenient to view the reform process as a sequential one, and a typical sequence might be as follows.

- 1. Replacement of quotas by tariffs.
- 2. Reduction of the number of tariffs.
- Move towards a uniform tariff, with systematic introduction of special protection to selected industries under Stages 3 - 4. On occasion, it may be possible to offer subsidies to industries rather than protection. Introduction of consumer subsidies under Stage 5.
- 4. Gradual reduction of the uniform tariff.
- 5. A parallel reduction in the exchange rate, and liberalisation of interest rates. Reduction of export subsidies.
- Introduction of a uniform tax on domestic production equal to the uniform tariff. Exports would be exempt.
- 7. Introduction of a system of drawbacks for industries which use imports which carry tariffs or production taxes.

- 8. As the uniform tariff falls to zero, or to its intended floor level, the production tax may be replaced by a uniform sales tax on imported and domestically produced goods.
- 9. The uniform sales tax and the tax drawback system may be combined in a single value added tax (VAT) system. Subsequently, differential rates of VAT may be introduced, if required.

The sequence may be varied to some extent, and some steps may be implemented simultaneously. It may also be simplified, in that some steps may be omitted. For example, a country may prefer to introduce a sales tax (or even a value added tax) directly, rather than going through the intermediate step of a domestic production tax. The advantage of a production tax is that it may be difficult to restrict a sales tax to domestically produced goods only, and it would not be desirable to apply it to imported goods until the uniform tariff had fallen to a low level or even zero, as the sales tax would then add to protection. There is cearly a phasing problem in moving from import duties to sales tax on all goods, and transitional and administrative difficulties may be expected whatever route is adopted.

Speed and Timing of the Reforms

The process of introducing the agreed policy reforms may present some difficulties, notable the speed at which reforms are implemented, and the timing of the reforms. Much depends, of course, on the circumstances of individual countries. The question of the speed of reforms is whether to spread tariff and tax changes gradually, for example over a $3 \div 5$ year period, or to introduce the whole change at once. The question of timing is whether to introduce a package of changes together on a given day in the year, or whether to introduce specific changes (or, at least, the first stage) as soon as they are agreed.

The main argument in favour of gradual reform is that large price changes may have too violent an impact, especially if several are introduced simultaneously, leading possibly to high inflation, industrial dislocation or social discontent. Earlier advocates of price reform, Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970), Corden (1974) and Balassa (1982) all advocated a gradual approach to overall tariff reduction. In most cases, a gradual approach is clearly advisable, especially if reforms are to be substantial. Minor changes can, of course, be introduced in their entirety. Major tariff, tax or subsidy reforms should be introduced gradually over a period of say, 3 - 5 years. However, the process may take much longer in countries which have complex tariff structures, many quotas, and which lack a sales tax and an efficient tax collection system.

The timing of the introduction of changes also needs careful A tariff or tax change (or, at least, the first stage thought. of a change) could be introduced shortly after it is agreed. Alternatively, the government could wait until a specific day in the year (such as Budget Day in the U.K.) and announce a package of tariff, subsidy and tax changes, together with exemptions. This procedure would have the logical advantage of relating the reforms to announcements concerning the government budget, to which they are closely related. It would also help to avoid the transitional difficulties of temporary distortions to the desired relative prices which may arise if reforms are introduced On the other hand, a large number of simultaneous piecemeal. changes may lead to confusion among manufacturers, importers and consumers, and may lead to problems for the customs and tax collectors in implementing a substantial number of changes simultaneously. It may also lead to hoarding, overstocking and speculation. This is a matter on which governments have to use their discretion, bearing in mind their existing procedures

The Elimination of Quotas

If a country has import quotas on many goods, then there may be concern that the replacement of quotas may lead to a flood of imports, which may harm existing local producers. This may seem at first sight to be an argument for setting a relatively high tariff initially, and subsequently reducing the tariff along with other tariffs. On the other hand, replacing quotas with high tariffs will push up the cost of the goods substantially. If the goods concerned are intermediate or capital goods, then the effect will be to increase manufacturers'

costs considerably, which in turn may reduce sales and profits.

155

It has been suggested that the solution to this problem is to admit goods duty free to the extent of the previous quota, and to apply the tariff only to imports in excess of the quota. This may be difficult to implement, though, and runs the risk of discriminating between different users. For intermediate and capital goods, it would seem preferable to impose a relatively low tariff, perhaps about the same as the "final" low uniform tariff is expected to be. This will not hit user firms as hard, and may even encourage them, if the quotas had been imposed to protect high cost local producers. In some cases, though, it may be justifiable to provide transitional help to local producers who suffer from the removal of protective quotas. Obviously, though, the removal of quotas may be a delicate operation, and governments will have to be sensitive to effects of the operation.

The Reform of Tariffs

(i) <u>Types of Tariff Structure</u> The two principle types of tariff are ad valorem tariffs, whereby a percentage mark-up is added to the CIF value of import, and a specific tariff, whereby a fixed sum is added to each unit of import, regardless of value. The ad valorem tariff is in general more suitable for the following reasons.

- Specific tariffs are regressive, in that consumers of poorer quality goods pay relatively more tax than consumers of better quality goods.

- Specific tariffs provide higher percentage nominal protection to lower priced, lower quality goods than they do to higher priced, higher quality goods.

- Specific duties may cause problems in inflationary times. Unless the tariffs are raised regularly, government revenue from specific tariffs will fall in real terms.

Ad valorem tariffs do not have these disadvantages, but do require

a valuation of the goods imported, whereas specific tariffs depend only on physical measures such as weight or length. Valuation is open to judgement, under-invoicing and possible corruption, and therefore requires sound administration. Sometimes specific or ad valorem tariffs are used together, with the duty applicable being the one which yields the higher However, ad valorem tariffs are generally preferable, revenue. especially as they result in prices in the market being more responsible to world prices than if specific tariffs are charged. Moreover, from the point of view of reform, it is easier to simplify a tariff structure to three or four ad valorem tariffs than it is to apply only three or four specific duties, which could lead to some observed anomolies in ad valorem rates on Therefore, whenever we discuss tariff reform, we will goods. assume that ad valorem tariffs are to be used, and that specific tariffs will generally be replaced by ad valorem tariffs. Fuller discussions of types of tariffs are given by Lewis (1984) and Due (1970).

(ii) Moving to a Uniform Tariff What route should be taken to reduce a large number of disparate tariffs to a low or zero uniform tariff? The process will require tariff cuts for many goods, and tariff increases for some, notably goods which are free of tariffs before the reform. Two possible methods have been described in detail by Corden (1974). The first is the concertina method by which the higher tariffs are first reduced to a medium level, then all the medium level tariffs are pushed down to a lower level, and so on, until the low uniform tariff is reached. By reducing the nominal higher tariffs first, the method will by and large, remove the "large distortions before the smaller ones".

The disadvantage of the concertina method is that the more highly protected industries suffer the most rapid reductions in protection. In order to avoid bankruptcies, these industries may need rather longer to adjust than the concertina method allows. The alternative is to use an across-the-board tariff reduction approach. Each year, all nominal tariffs are reduced by an equal percentage, say 10%, until the target uniform tariff has been reached. Under

this scheme, all effective rates of protection experience the same across-the-board reductions as the nominal tariffs and therefore relative effective protection remains the same. The highly protected industries are given more time to adjust.

In addition to the initial reform (iii) Goods given special protection programme outlined above, prices of the goods given special protection under Stages 3 - 4 should be subjected to periodic review by the Committee, say every two years. This will enable changes in world prices to be taken into account, as well as changes in local manufacturing costs. It is not the intention to suggest that the prices and protection agreed initially should thereafter be regarded as rigid; far from it. Infant industries are expected to grow up (and to be replaced by new infant industries) and internal efficiences should eventually allow many industries to become internationally competitive. The protection afforded them should be reduced accordingly, perhaps in anticipation of increased competitiveness, rather than in response to it. It is important that protected industries should be encouraged to become more efficient. Likewise, economic growth and administrative improvements may eventually make Stage 5, the subsidy to consumers for distribution reasons, much less important. Economic growth will, it is hoped, increase the incomes of the poor, and administrative improvements may enable distribution objectives to be met increasingly from tax and social welfare reforms rather than through price subsidies.

The Reform of Commodity Taxes

- (1) <u>Some Domestic Commodity Taxes</u> We shall now turn to the discussion of the mechanics of the domestic commodity taxes such as producer taxes, sales taxes and value added taxes, as we suggest that they have a part to play in price reforms. Lewis (1984) discusses the subject in greater detail.
- (ii) <u>A manufacturers' level sales tax</u> (or producer tax) is not uncommon in developing countries, and should be accompanied by a tariff or sales tax on imports, if distortions are to be avoided. When the tax is imposed on manufacturers of consumption goods, it acts as a consumer tax, and no distortions are created. But when it is

imposed on manufactuters of intermediate goods, it will, like turnover taxes, "cascade". with distorting effects, unless the Therefore, if a cascade buyer is able to drawback the tax. effect is to be avoided, drawbacks (or rebates) should be available. (The alternative of making intermediates exempt from tax runs into difficulties with products which are both intermediates and final consumption goods). Drawbacks or exemptions require a good administrative system, though, to check that all claims are legitimate, and will require a registration system for all manufacturing firms who wish to claim. Drawbacks or exemptions must also apply to exports and to imports, although imports which are subject to a low uniform tariff equal to the rate of producer tax should be exempt from further taxation.

(iii) A retail level sales tax (a term which embraces excise taxes) is generally broader in scope than a producer's tax, as it covers services and distribution as well. It captures all the value added in the final consumption sale, but means that the tax must be collected at all retail points, which is administratively much more complex than a producers' tax. Again, unless drawbacks are available, the tax will have a cascade effect, and therefore create price distortions. The sales tax will have to apply also to imports, but it is easier to apply than is a producers' tax. Again, imports which are subject to a low uniform tariff equal to the rate of sales tax would be excepted. Exports would be automatically excepted (Sales to foreign as they do not pass through a retail outlet. tourists above a certain amount may be made subject to a tax drawback at the point of departure from the country). In practice, tax would only be levied on retailers with annual turnover above a minimum level, who would have to register. Smaller retailers would be excluded from the system. This is done for reasons of administrative convenience and economy. Lewis (1984) states that there are few retail level sales taxes imposed in developing countries, because of administrative difficulties and because political pressures to exempt a range of basic commodities from tax dilutes the tax base substantially.

(iv) <u>A Value Added Tax (VAT)</u> is a general sales tax with drawbacks available on all transactions before the final sale to the consumer. The drawback avoids the cascading effect of taxes which one gets, for example, with a turnover tax. Goods destined for domestic sale, whether locally produced or imported are subject to VAT; goods destined for export are not. A VAT, therefore, automatically offers drawbacks on purchases of intermediates, and on exports. In principle, therefore, a value added tax acts as a tax on final consumption, with complete exemption for intermediates and exports. It avoids all the distorting effects created by other taxes which do not have full drawback systems.

The chief disadvantage of the tax for developing countries (apart from its unpopularity) is the difficulty of administering it. It requires detailed record-keeping by firms, and efficient (and even harsh) checking and collection by the government. Again. it requires registration, although firms below a certain minimum turnover are generally exempted. VAT is used in many developed countries, but, as Lewis (1984) comments, "the disadvantages of the value added tax have outweighed its advantages relative to some other forms of sales taxes in the developing countries, and the manufacturer/importer level sales tax, in particular, seems to be preferred. Several developing countries, though, have introduced a VAT (notably the Ivory Coast, South Korea, Mexico and Argentina), and others can be expected to do so in the future.

Implementing Devaluation

The object of devaluation is to try to maintain equilibrium on the current account during the tariff reform programme. Tariff reductions, by reducing the final cost of imports, will tend to increase demand for them. A devaluation will have the offsetting effect of making them more expensive, and at the same time will make exports cheaper to foreign buyers, thereby encouraging them. It is unlikely that a government will be able to estimate accurately the devaluation required to maintain equilibrium on the current account following a round of tariff reductions. Import and export price elasticities can be calculated, but these change,

and also the time lag before devaluation becomes effective is difficult to estimate.

While the above may make a "crawling peg" approach with devaluation in step with tariff reductions, sound logical, such a policy may be difficult to implement in practice. The once and for all devaluation possibility assumes that the government Moreover, it was knows what the final exchange rate should be. proposed by Little, Scitovsky and Scott (1970) during an era of fixed exchange rates. Since 1972, exchange rates have floated, and while a country may be able to devalue against one currency or a basket of currencies, this will not eliminate the possibility of other currencies rising against it. It is not possible nowadays to determine an appropriate fixed exchange rate, and Simply, there is no such thing as a fixed exchange adhere to it. rate. The third possibility, a floating exchange rate, also creates It is perhaps more vulnerable to destabilizing difficulties. currency speculation than the others, where threat of government intervention may discourage speculators. This is especially true when the government has a known policy of trade liberalisation. "Dirty" floating, with some government intervention to maintain order in the foreign exchange market, may be preferable to "clean" Clearly, all methods have drawbacks, and the choice floating. is likely to be a difficult one.

The problem is magnified by the possibility that devaluation will produce inflation, particularly if real wage rates are inflexible. Therefore parallel policies may be needed to control inflation. If inflation is not controlled then rising prices will put up the price of exports, and make imports cheaper than before in real terms. In other words, inflation will have the effect of reducing the real devaluation made, and may eliminate it altogether, thereby requiring a further nominal devaluation.

It is also likely to be preferable that trade liberalisation and exchange rate devaluation should be conducted against the background of an unchanged exchange control regime, whatever it may be. Trying to liberalise exchange controls at the same time as trade runs the

risk of stimulating destabilising capital flows (in whatever direction), which may mean that the planned co-ordination between tariff reduction and exchange rate devaluation is lost. The subject has been discussed in detail by Edwards (1984), who concludes that there is a strong presumption in favour of liberalising the current account before liberalising the capital account.

Co-ordinating Reforms

We have already noted difficulties which may arise with the co-ordination of tariff reductions and the introduction of domestic taxes on goods and services. But perhaps a more serious difficulty arises with the co-ordination of tariff and tax changes on the one hand, and factor price changes on the other, especially the exchange rate and the interest rate. Experience of reform attempts in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay Corbo and de Melo, 1985) highlight these difficulties. Policy inconsistencies were identified as the main cause of the diffi-In all three countries, tariff reductions were culties. accompanied by increasing real exchange rates as the rate of inflation outstripped the rate of devaluation. The implementation of interest rate liberalisation too, led to problems, with the switch from negative to high positive (40-50% at times) real rates The high real interest rates hit firms hard at a of interest. time when they were also suffering from the combination of tariff reduction and increasing real exchange rates. It would be facile to try to present any generalised prescription for overcoming these difficulties; any country attempting a liberalisation programme will need to adopt the reforms to their own particular circumstances.

Two further points should be made concerning exchange rate adjustments Any pre-announcement of a policy of tariff reduction is likely to lead to speculation against the currency. It would be convenient if this led to an appropriate fall in the exchange rate, but it may be more likely to produce capital flight, foreign exchange shortages and even 'dollarisation" of the domestic economy, which may be destabilising. Argentina, for example, attempted to pre-empt these reactions by pre-announcing devaluations, but ambiguities and inconsistencies in the announcements tended to nullify the advantages of the scheme. In Uruguay, the pre-announced rate of devaluation led to destabilising behaviour such as consumer hoarding of imported durable goods, and capital flight set in when confidence ebbed in the adherence to the pre-announced devaluation programme (Corbo and de Melo, 1985).

The second point concerning exchange rate (and interest rate) adjustment is the potential conflict which arises between the long run adjustments as part of a reform programme, and short run adjustments which may be needed as part of a stabilisation So far, we have implicitly assumed that reform would programme. take place in an economy in internal and external equilibrium, but the reality is that either high internal inflation or substantial external deficits (and sometimes both together) will require short run stabilisation programmes which interfere with, or even reverse, that changes envisaged under a long run reform This applies to interest rates, exchange rate and programme. tariff adjustments. Chile, for example, in 1983, reversed its tariff reduction programme by increasing its uniform tariff from 10% to 20%, in response to the impact of the recession. In an economy suffering from instability, the co-ordination of tariff and tax changes, and further price changes became doubly difficult.

Concluding Remarks

We do not pretend that these comments on implementing policy reform havedone more than outline the difficulties likely to be encountered by countries pursuing such a programme. The only thing we feel reasonably confident about is that generalised prescriptions for implementation for all countries can only serve as a starting point. Therefore we have avoided trying to do more than outline potential difficulties, leaving those responsible in individual countries (who know much better than us) to develop the details of their own reform programmes, according to their own ambitions, circumstances and constraints.

Footnotes

- Stern (1984), for example, in a discussion of tax reforms, states that "In conclusion, however, it is desirable to indicate important aspects of the theories that have been left out, at least up to now. First, the theories are medium term in scope. They do not refer to short-run stabilisation policy and, as yet, have not been directed towards consideration of growth. Second, administrative costs have been ignored. Balassa, B. (1982) does not mention administrative constraints
- 2. This practice is in fact followed by governments of industrialised countries and by international agencies.
- 3. The type of technical work has been referred to on several different occasions. The main subjects for study are
 - domestic resource costs and effective rates of protection;
 - price elasticities of demand for imports, exports and non-traded goods;
 - resource cost estimates at shadow prices;
 - production cost estimates at market prices;
 - minimum economic scale of production;
 - world market trends for various goods.
- 4. The practicability of three or four rates of domestic taxes as a maximum is based on practice in European countries, which usually have a maximum of three rates of value added tax. Some have only one rate.
- 5. Although this study is concerned with industrial policy and we therefore propose an IPCC, we do not preclude it being a sub-committee of a wider Economic Policy Co-ordinating Committee, concerned with policy reform in all sectors of the economy.
- 6. The method of assessing the benefits of education by estimating the present value of increased future earnings lacks conviction in the case of industrial training because it is unlikely that the whole of the benefit would accrue to the trainees. In any case, the method is fraught with difficulties.
- 7. Decisions on the acceptability of the resource costs (an economic decision) and on the acceptability of the subsidy (a financial decision) need to be kept distinct. In our example, resource costs of 120 and a producer market price of 130 may both be acceptable. However, were the resource costs 140 and the producer market price 130, then the subsidy may still be acceptable, but the resource cost of the project may now be considered too high.

- 6. We have avoided detailed discussion of many practical problems here. One is th≥ problem of allocating joint costs when an enterprise produces more than one product. Another is the problem of annual or seasonal variations of costs which may arise. Even identifying the costs in enterprises which do not have adequate management accounting systems may be a considerable problem. Even if the systems are adequate, the costs data included in them may be questionable. Just the issue of which overhead costs to include requires considerable thought.
- 9. We have dealt rather briefly with the dialogue on distributional objectives as it is likely to apply to only a few industrial products, which are our main concern.
- 10. Krueger (1977) concluded that "it seems a fair conclusion that one of the policy mistakes of the two decades covered by the country studies was using devaluation to a new, fixed exchange rate as an instrument designed to attain both domestic price stabilization and a liberalized trade regime. The adoption of a sliding-peg exchange rate policy would have freed the fortunes of the trade sector from their dependence upon successful price stabilization" (p.297). Experience in Argentina and Chile, though, suggests that a sliding-peg exchange rate policy does not provide all the answers (Corbo and de Melo, 1985).
- 11. We assume here that nominal and effective rates of protection roughly coincide. If a country has estimates of effective protection rates available, it can then attack high effective rates of protection first.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abe, S., Fry, M. J., Byomg Kyun Min, Pairoj Vongripanond and Teh-Pei Yu (1977), Financial Liberalisation and Domestic Saving in Economic Development: An Empirical Test for Six Countries, (Pakistan Development Review, Vol. 16, No. 3)

Agarwala, R. (1983), "Price Distortions and Growth in Developing Countries", (World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 575, World Bank)

Arndt, H. W. (1982), Two Kinds of Credit Rationing (Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Quarterly Review, Vol. XXXV, No. 143)

Balassa, B. (1971), The Structure of Protection in Developing Countries, (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press)

Balassa, B. (1974), "Estimating the Shadow Price of Foreign Exchange in Project Appraisal", Oxford Economic Papers Vol.26 No. 2. July.

Balassa, B. (1975), "Trade, Protection and Domestic Production: A Comment" in Kenen, P. (editor), International Trade and Finance, (Cambridge University Press)

Balassa, B. (1977), Policy Reform in Developing Countries (Oxford, Pergamon Press)

Balassa, B. (1982), Development Strategies in Semi Industrial Economies, (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press)

Bauer, P. T. and Yamey, B. S. (1968), Markets, Market Control and Marketing Reform, (London, Weidenfeld and Nicolson)

Baumol, W. and Bradford, D. (1970), "Optimal Departures from Marginal Cost Pricing" (American Economic Review, June)

Bell, M., Ross Larson, B. and Westphal, L. (1984), "Assessing the Performance of Infant Industries", Journal of Development and Economics No. 16.

Bergsman, J. (1974), "Commercial Policy, Allocative Efficiency and X-efficiency", (Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 87, August)

Berry, A. and Sabot, R. (1978), "Labour Market Performance in Developing Countries: A Survey", (World Development, Vol. 6, No. 11-12)

Bhagwati, J. (1978), Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: Anatomy and Consequences, (Cambridge, Mass. Ballinger)

Bird, G., (1982) "Should Developing Countries use Currency Depreciation as a Tool of Balance of Payments Adjustment", Journal of Development Studies.

Bolnick, B. (1982), Concessional Credit for Small Scale Enterprise, (Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies, Vol. XVIII, No. 2)

and the second secon

Bremer, J. A. (1984), Building institutional capacity for policy analysis: an alternative approach to sustainability, (Public Administration and Development, Vol. 4, No. 1)

Brodersohn, M. S. (1981), Financing of Industrial Enterprises and Financial Repression in Latin America (UNIDO)

Cooper, R.N. (1971), "Currency Devaluation in Developing Countries", in E. Ranis (editor) <u>Government and Eccnomic Development</u>, Yale University Press, New Haven.

Cooper, C. (1980), "Policy Intervention for Technological Innovation in Developing Countries", World Bank Staff Working Paper, No. 441.

Corbo, V. and de Melo, J. (1985), Overview and Summary (World Development, Vol. 13, No. 8, Special Issue on Liberalisation with Stabilisation in the Southern Zone of Latin America.

Corden, W. (1971), The Theory of Protection, (Oxford University Press)

Corden, W. (1974), Trade Policy and Economic Welfare, (Oxford University Press)

Corden, W. (1975), "The Costs and Consequences of Protection: A Survey of Empirical Work", in Kenen, P. (editor), International Trade and Finance, (Cambridge University Press)

Corden, W. (1980), "Trade Policies" in Cody, J. et al, Policies for Industrial Progress in Developing Countries, (Oxford University Press)

Diaz-Alejandro, C. (1975), "Trade Policies and Economic Development", in Kenen, P. (editor), International Trade and Finance, (Cambridge University Press)

Dick, H. and Vincent, D. P. (1984), "Export Taxation by Marketing Boards in Developing Countries: Some Macroeconomic and Distributional Aspects with Reference to the Ivory Coast", in Recent German Research in International Economies, (Institute for Scientific Cooperation, Tübingen)

Dowling, J. M. Jr. and Hiemenz, U. (1983), Aid, Savings and Growth in the Asian Region, (The Developing Economies, Vol. XXI, No. 1)

Due, J.F. (1970), Indirect Taxation in Developing Countries, (Baltimore).

Edwards, S. (1984), The Order of Liberalisation and the Balance of Payments (World Bank Staff Working Papers, No. 710).

Findlay, R. (1975), "Trade Policies and Economic Development: A Comment", in Kenen, P. (editor), International Trade and Finance (Cambridge University Press)

Fischer, B. (1981), Interest Rate Ceilings, Inflation and Economic Growth in Developing Countries, (Economics, Vol. 23) 166 '

Forsyth, D., McBain, N. and R. Solomon (1982) "Technical Rigidity and Appropriate Technology in Developing Countries" in Stewart F and James J. (editors), <u>The Economics of New Technology in Developing</u> <u>Countries</u>, Frances Pinter, London.

the state of a second s

Fry, M. J. (1974), The Afghan Economy: Money, Finance and the Critical Constraints to Economic Development, (Leiden, Brill)

nan na hanna shi ka shi ka

Fry, M. J. (1978a), Monetary Policy and Domestic Saving in Developing ESCAP Countries, (Economic Bulletin for Asia and the Pacific, Vol. 29, No. 1)

Fry, M. J. (1978b), Money and Capital or Financial Deepening in Economic Development?, (Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, Vol. 10, No. 4)

Fry, M. J. (1980), Saving, Investment, Growth and the Cost of Financial Repression, (World Development, Vol. 8, No. 4)

Fry, M. J. (1982), Models of Financially Repressed Developing Economies, (World Development, Vol. 10, No. 9)

Galbis, V. (1977), Financial Intermediation and Economic Growth in Less Developed Countries: A Theoretical Approach, (Journal of Development Studies, Vol 13, No. 2)

Galbis, V. (1979a). Inflation and Interest Rate Folicies in Latin America, 1967-76, (IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 26, No. 2)

Galbis. V. (1979b), Money, Investment and Growth in Latin America, 1961-73, (Economic Development and Culcural Change, Vol 27, No. 3)

Galbis, V. (1982), Analytical Aspects of Interest Rate Policies in Less Developed Countries, (Savings and Development, Vol. 6, No. 2)

Giovanni, A. (1983), The Interest Elasticity of Savings in Developing Countries: The Existing Evidence, (World Development, Vol. 11, No. 7)

Goldsmith, R. W. (1969), Financial Structure and Development, (Yale U. P., New Haven)

Greenaway, D. Milner, C. (1985), "Estimating the Shifting of Protection across Sectors : application to Mauritius "Industry and Development, No.16.

Guisinger, S. (1981), "Trade Policies and Employment: The Case of Pakistan", in Krueger, A. (editor), Trade and Employment in Developing Countries, (University of Chicago Press)

Gurley, J. S. and Shaw, E. S. (1960), Money in a Theory of Finance, (Brookings Institute, Washington D.C.)

Hanson, J. A. (1980), The Colombian experience with financial repression and incomplete liberalisation: stagnation, growth and instability, 1950-1978, (Proceedings of the First International Conference on the Financial Development of Latin America and the Caribbean. Interamerican Institute of Capital Markets) Hirschman, A. (1958), The Strategy of Economic Development, (Yale University Press) Ikram, K. (1980), Egypt. Economic Management in a Period of Transition, (Johns Hopkins University Press, for the World Bank) Jackson, T. and Eade, D. (1982), Against the Grain, (Oxfam, Oxford) Kaldor, N. (1966), Causes of the slow rate of economic growth of the United Kimgdom: an inaugural lecture, (London, Cambridge University Press) Khatkhate, D. (1982), Anatomy of Financial Retardation in a Less Developed Country: The Case of Sri Lanka, 1951-1976, (World Development, Vol. 10, No. 10) Killick, T. (1983),, The role of the public sector in the industrialisation of African developing countries (Industry and Development, No. 7, UNIDO). Kirkpatrick, C. and Nixson, F. (1983), "Introduction", in Kirkpatrick, C. and Nixson, F. (editors), The Industrialization of Less Developed Countries, (Manchester University Press) Krueger, A. (1974), "The Political Economy of the Rent-Seeking Society", (American Economic Review, Vol. 64, June) Krueger, A.O. (1977), Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development; Liberalisation Attempts and Consequences (NBER/Ballinger Publishing Co.). A. Krueger and B. Tuncer (1982) "An Empirical Test of the Infant Industry Argument", American Economic Review, Vol. 72, No. 5, December. Krueger, A. (1978), Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: Liberalization Attempts and Consequences, (Cambridge, Mass. Ballinger) Krueger, A. (1983), Alternative Trade Strategies and Employment, Vol. 3, (Chicago University Press) Krueger, A. (1984), "Trade Policies in Developing Countries", in Jones, R. and Kenen, P. (editors), Handbook of International Economics, (Amsterdam, Elsevier Science) Krugman, P. and Taylor, L. (1978), "Contradictary Effects of Devaluation", Journal of International Economics, November. Lal, D. (1980), Prices for Planning, (Heinemann) Leite, S. P. (1982), Interest Rate Policies in West Africa, (IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 29, No. 1) Lewis, W. (1954), "Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies of Labour", (Manchester School, May)

Lewis, S.R. (1984), <u>Taxation for Development</u>, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Lim, D. (1980), "Taxation Policies", in Cody, J. et al, Policies for Industrial Progress in Developing Countries, (Oxford University Press)

Little, I.M.D. and Mirrlees, J.A. (1974), "Project Appraisal and Planning for Developing Countries, Heinemann, London.

Little, I. (1982), Economic Development: Theory, Policy and International Relations, (New York, Basic Books)

Little, I., Scitovsky, T. and Scott, M. (1970), Industry and Trade in Some Developing Countries, (Oxford University Press)

Low, P. (1982), Export Subsidies and Trade Folicy: The Experience of Kenya, (World Development, Vol. 10, No. 4)

McKinnon, R. I. (1973), Money and Capital in Economic Development (BrookingsInstitute, Washington D.C.)

Nellor, D.C.L. (1985), Tax Policy, Regulated Interest Rates and Saving (World) Development, Vol.13, No. 6, June.

OECD (1972), Industrial Policy of Japan, Paris.

Oxford Economic Papers (1974), "Symposium in Shadow Exchange Rates", July.

Pack, H. (1980), "Macroeconomic Implications for Factor Substitution in Industrial Processes", World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 377.

Powers, T. A. (ed), Estimating Accounting Prices for Project Appraisal, (Washington, Inter American Development Bank)

Rice, R. C. (1976), The Tanzanian price control system: theory, practice and some possible improvements, (Economic Research Bureau Paper 76.4, University of Dar es Salaam. Reprinted in Kim, K., Mabele, R. and Schulteis, M., eds., Papers on the Political Economy of Tanzania), (Heinemann, London, 1979)

 Roe, A. R. (1982), High Interest Rates: A New Conventional Wisdom for Development Policy? Some Conclusions from Sri Lankan Experience, (World Development, Vol. 10, No. 3)

Sen, A. (1968), The Choice of Techniques, (London, Blackwell)

Shaw, E. S. (1973), Financial Deepening in Economic Development, (Oxford University Press, New York, 1973)

Squire, L. and van der Tak, H. (1975), Economic Analysis of Frojects, John Hopkins, Baltimore.

170

Stern, N. (1984), "Optimum Taxation and Tax Policy" <u>IMF Staff Papers</u>, Vol.31, No.2. Two.

Stern, N.H. (1980), Optimum Taxation and Tax Policy (IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 31, No.2, June).

Tanzi, V. (1982), "Fiscal Disequilibrium in Developing Countries", (World Development, December)

Taylor, L. (1981) "IS/LM in the Tropics : Diagrammatics of the new Structurlist macro critique" in W.R. Cline and S. Weintraub (editors), Economic Stabilization in Developing Countries, Washington, Brookings Institution.

Timmer, C.P., Fallon, W.P., Pearson, S.R. (1983), Four Policy Analysis, John Hopkins, Baltimore.

Tinbergen, J. (1967), Economic Policy: Principles and Design, (Amsterdam, North Holland)

UNIDO (1972), Guidelines for Project Evaluation, (New York, U.N.)

UNIDO (1979), Guide to Practical Project Appraisal, (New York, U.N.)

UNIDO (1980), Practical Appraisal of Industrial Projects, (New York, U.N.)

Van Wijstergen, S. (1982), Interest Rate Management in Developing Countries: Theory and Simulation Results for Korea, (World Bank Staff Working Papers, No. 593)

Vogel, R. C. and Buser, S. A. (1976), Inflation, financial repression and capital formation in Latin America, (In McKinnon, R. I. (ed.), Money and Finance in Economic Development, Marcel Dekker)

Warr, P. (1984), "Exchange Rate Protection in Indonesia", <u>Bulletin of</u> Indonesian Economic Studies, August.

Warr, P. (1983), "Domestic R source Costs as an Investment Criterion", Oxford Economic Papers, Vol.35, No.2. July

Weiss, J. (1984), "Alliance for Production: Mexico's Incentives for Private Sector Industrial Development", (World Development, July)

Weiss, J. (1984a), National Economic Parameters for Jamaica.

Weiss, J. (1984b), "Manufacturing as an Engine of Growth Revisited", (Industry and Development forthcoming)

Weiss, J. (1985), "Japan's Post-war Protection Policy: Some Implications for Developing Countries", (Journal of Development Studies forthcoming) Westphal, L. (1981) "Empirical Justification for Infant Industry Protection", World Bank Working Paper No. 445.

ويوقعهم والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمحمول والمحافظ والمحاف والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والم

White, L. (1978), "The Evidence on Appropriate Factor Proportions for Manufacturing in Less Developed Countries: A Survey", (Economic Development and Cultural Change, Vol. 27, No. 1.)

Whitworth, A. (1982), Price Control Techniques in Poor Countries: The Tanzanian Case, (World Development, Vol. 10, No. 6)

Wickham, P. (1984), "The Choice of Exchange Rate Regime in Developing Countries", IMF Staff Papers.

Williamson, J. (1982), "On the characterisation of good economic policy: is there a concensus", (World Development, September)

World Bank (1978), Ivory Coast. The Challenge of Success, (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London)

World Bank (1981), Morocco: Economic and Social Development Report, (The World Bank, Washington)

World Bank (1983), Report and Recommendation of the President of the IBRD on a Proposed Loan to the Republic of the Philippines (Report No. P-3389-PH. Unpublished)

World Bank (1983a), Arab Republic of Egypt: Issues of Trade Strategy and Investment Planning, (World Bank Report No. 4136 EGT. Unpublished)

World Bank (1984), World Development Report, (World Bank, Washington)

World Bank (1984a), Indonesia: Policies and Prospects for Economic Growth and Transformation, (World Bank Report No. 5066-IND. Unpublished)

World Bank (1984), World Development Report, Washington.
