



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

RESTRICTED

17066-E

DP/ID/SER.A/1058 26 September 1988 ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

REGIONAL NETWORK ON PESTICIDES FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

DP/RAS/85/023

Technical report: Training Programme on Pesticide Residue Analysis

Bangkok (Thailand), 9 November - 11 December 1987*

Prepared for the Governments of the Member States of the Regional Network (Afghanistan, Bangladesh, China, India, Indonesia, Fakistan, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka and Thailand) by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, acting as executing agency for the United Nations Development Programme in co-operation with World Bank and the Department of Agriculture of the Kingdom of Thailand

Based on the work of Y. Yingchol, Course Co-ordinator

Backer , officer: B. Sugavanam, Chemical Industries Branch

United Nations Industrial Development Organization Vienna

61

^{*} This document has not been edited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pages</u>
1.	Background and Terms of Reference of Course Co-ordinator	1
11.	Summary Report	3
	A. Subject matter	3
	B. Organizational aspects	4
	C. Observation and Recommendations	5
	ANNEX I Agenda	9
	ANNEX II List of participants	14
	ANNEX III Evaluation - Group Training Programmes	19
	ANNEX IV Questionnaire - Final Course Evaluation	27

I. BACKGROUND AND TERMS OF REFERENCE OF COURSE COORDINATOR

In the framework of the regional Pesticide Network in Asia and the Pacific (project DP/RAS/85/023), a training course on pesticide residue analysis was organized by the Department of Agriculture (DOA) of the Thai Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives in cooperation with the World Bank and UNIDO. The course was held at the Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances' Laboratory, Bang-Khen, Langkok, from November 9 to December 11, 1987.

Undersigned had been asked by the World Bank to fulfil a short-term consulting appointment to serve as course coordinator to the World Bank/UNDP pesticide evaluation and safety testing program for carrying out the following activities, in cooperation with RENPAF National Coordinator R. Syamanonda, the World Bank, UNIDO and the UNDP Resident Mission in Bangkok.

- (1) defining the overall course curriculum.
- (2) Scheduling, directing and coordinating the participation of short term expert instructors;
- (2) presenting lectures and laboratory exercises in technical area not covered by other instructors;
- (4) arranging logistic for course participants, including local transportation and accommodation, and assisting with the resolution of any unforeseen related problems;
- (5) arranging for the procurement and installation of materials and expendable supplies needed for the purposes of the course, and ensuring that the equipment and instruments needed are functioning;

(6) at the end of the course, preparing a brief summary report including an informal evaluation of instructors' and students' performances as well as recommendations for procedural or technical improvements for a similar course next year.

Representative of the World Bank, Dr. J.G. Cummings
Pesticide Chemistry-Regulatory Assistance was provided as
Course Instructor and Lecturer cover the period of three
weeks from November 9 to November 27 (A.V) Dr. R. Peterson
of California Analytical Laboratory, another Course Instructor conducted laboratory exercises and gave lectures from
25 November to 11 December (A.V) Dr. Alan Brimfield of
Westinghouse Bio-Analytic Systems Co. was invited as an
expert on enzyme linked immunoassay methodology which seemed
to be very much interested by all participants, provided
demonstrations on that topic on November 23-24.

II. SUMMARY REPORT

A. SUBJECT MATTER

- Assessed the facilities for the training course: Instruments were in good condition, necessary supplies were provided.
- Prepared the copies of background information, lecture notes and references for all participants and observers.
- 3. Assisted the DOA staff in preparing the laboratory and practical exercises.
- 4. Arranged and scheduled the program, lectures and practical exercises, to cover all topics related to residue analysis which will help to achieve the objectives of this training course.
- 5. The lectures covered the following topics:
 - 5.1 Sampling and Preparatory Procedures.
 - Sample handling, storage and preparation.
 - Extraction methods.
 - Sample clean up procedures.
 - Statistical Aspects.
 - 5.2 Methods of Analysis.
 - ~ Gas Chromatography.
 - High Performance Liquid Chromatography.
 - Spectrophotometry (UV, AA, MS).
 - Immunoassay/Elisa.
 - 5.3 Sat-up and Management of a Pesticide Residue Laboratory.
 - Laboratory Safety

- Good Laboratory Practice.
- Data handling and Reporting.
- Confirmatory test.
- 5.4 Haintenance and Repair of Laboratory equipment.

5.5 Others.

- Regulatory Aspects.
- Metabolites.
- Concept of MRL, Joint FAO/WHO Food Standard Program.

B. ORGANIZATIONAL ASPECTS

- 1. Coordinated the course by cooperating with the World Bank, UNIDO and the local government.
- 2. The course was attended by 9 participants from 7 Asian countries, namely Afghanistan, China, India, Indonesia, Korea, the Philippines and Sri Lanka, and 4 participants from Thailand. 27 observers from Thailand were permitted to attend the lectures.
- Consultant, lecturer/instructor and foreign participants were accommodated at the Golden Dragon Hotel, and the transportation was provided during the whole course.
- 4. Organized the course as follows:
 - duration: November 9 December 11, 1987 (about 5 weeks).
 - approximate 2 weeks of lectures on general topics, background information, special topics and techniques concerning pesticide residues analysis.
 - approximate 2 weeks of laboratory exercises and practical demonstration. Participants were separated into 2 groups, each group of 4-5

participants performed practicel exercises under the supervision of instructors. The problems were solved and the results were discussed to achieve the appropriate procedures.

- approximate 1 week of study tours and field trips were organized in order to enable the participants and lecturers to observe the application of pesticides under practical conditions and visit other governmental laboratories working in the field of pesticide analysis and also the pesticide manufacturing plants.
- during the first week, country papers were submitted by participants of each country. The information was of great value in assessing the development of this region.
- local experts were invited to give lecture on special problems involving the pesticide residue analysis.
- 5. During the course 2 forms of questionnaires were handed out to each participant, the participants could give their views and comments on every aspects, for example, the content and the organization of the course. The answers were investigated and the evaluation of the corse was reported.

C. OBSERVATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A total of 13 trainees (including 4 local Thai participants) took part in the course. Towards the end of the course they were asked to fill in two types of question-naires carrying similar type of approach in accessing the reaction of the participants to the course, the major difference being that one form asked the name of the trainee and the other type did not ask the trainee's name (models of the questionnaires shown in annex III and IV).

Among the 13 participants except one or two participants, all of them had a good knowledge concerning residue analysis and did work in residue analysis laboratories.

All the candidates uniformly pointed out about the very short time given to attend the course after acceptance of their nomination forms and also about the lack of information about the details of the course before leaving their respective countries.

Majority of the participants mentioned that the program content and organization of the course were just right. Regarding the length of the course the opinion was varied. Most of the candidates from the host country wanted shorter course (3 weeks) while others wanted longer period (6-10 weeks).

All the participants wanted more laboratory work and greater exposure to new analytical techniques like GC-MS, Immuno-Assay and residue analysis of newly introduced pesticides.

Regarding the question on their level of knowledge prior to and after the course the following response was mentioned.

	Rating			
No. of Trainees	Prior to	After the course		
3	Poor	Very good		
5	Good	Very good		
1	Poor	Good		
3	Good	Good		
1	Good (-)	Good (+)		

All the trainees mentioned that they will be using their knowledge gained in the course when they return to their countries.

Recommendations

- A. Based on the trainees response the following recommendations are made for consideration for the next course in 1989.
 - Acceptance of candidates should be given well in advance of the commencement of the course.
 - II. More detailed information on the course should be sent to the candidates before their departure from their respective countries.
 - III. Country papers should be sent to the experts before the course.
 - IV. Make use of local (Thai) staff in assisting the trainees during the experimental part and ask them to participate as trainees only in areas where they lack knowledge.
 - V. Give more laboratory and hands-on training and greater exposure to instrument maintenance, immuno assay, analysis of new pesticides and analysis of foods and soil.
 - VI. Give greater emphasis on GLP, MRL, ADI, SOP, etc.
 - VII. Have one international expert a few days before starting of the course to organize final details.
 - VIII. Make use of one or two regional experts.
 - IX. A course of five-week duration seems to be the optimum length.

B. From the ATSD's point of view, the host country should be notified at least 6 months in advance so that the provision of all facilities, e.g. lecture facilities, laboratory facilities could be achieved. The nomination of instructors should be proposed long time in advance in case they can not accept the invitation, the substitution will be arranged in time in order that the curriculum of the course could be planned effectively. For the next training course, the ASTD as the host institute would like to recommend Dr. P.A. Greve from the Netherlands as the chief instructor.

ANNEX I

DOA/THE WORLD BANK/UNIDO/UNDP
TRAINING COURSE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUE ANALYSIS
November 9 - December 11, 1987
Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances
Department of Agriculture, Bangkok, Thailand

Monday, November 9

10.00 - 12.00 Registration of Participants and Orientation.

13.00 - 16.00 Visit UNIDO Office for per diem advances and administrative details.

Tuesday, November 10

9.30 - 10.30 Opening Ceremony

- Reporting Address Deputy Director, ATSD Mrs. Nuansri Tayapatch
- Address UNIDO Representative Dr. S.P. Dhua
- Welcome and Opening Address
 Director General, Department of Agriculture

10.45 - 12.00 Introduction to the course

13.30 - 16.00 Country papers

18.30 - 21.00 Reception at the Hyatt Central Plaza Hotel

Wednesday, November li

9.00 - 10.30 Lecture by Dr. J.G. Cummings

10.45 - 12.00 Personal Interviews

13.00 - 16.30 - Concept of MRL

Mrs. Nuansri Tayaputch

- Significance of Pesticide Residue Level Mr. Chalut Sripijarn
- Product Development (film)

Thursday, November 12

- 9.00 16.00 General Topics
 - Reference standards
 - Importance and procurement sources
 - Laboratory safety
 - Development of a Pesticide Regulatory Program

Friday, November 13

9.00 - 16.30 Field Trip - Observe pesticide application to vegetables in a special Government demonstration project "Hygienic Vegetable Development Program" and visit Rice Research Institute.

Monday, November 16

- 9.00 10.15 MRLS, ADI, National tolerance, CCPR and JMPR Dr. J.G. Cummings
- 10.30 12.00 GC demonstration and students participation Dr. J.G. Cummings
- 13.30 16.30 Laboratory exercise Recovery experiment
 Sample preparation
 Dr. J.G. Cummings

Tuesday, November 17

- 9.00 10.15 Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) and Metabolites
 Dr. J.G. Cummings
- 10.30 12.00 Continue recovery experiment
- 13.00 16.30 Visit National Environmental Board

Wednesday, November 18

9.00 - 10.15 Classical Statistic standard deviation coefficient and automatic data processing Dr. J.G. Cummings

10.30 - 12.00 Continue recovery experiment

13.00 - 16.30 Visit ICI Asiatic (Agriculture) Co., Ltd.

Thursday, November 19

9.00 - 10.15 National Regulatory Program

Dr. J.G. Cummings

10.30 - 12.00 Continue Recovery experiment

13.00 - 16.30 Visit Bayer Thai Company

Friday, November 20

9.00 - 16.30 Field trip : Observation of pesticide application on vegetables and fruits at Dawnern Saduag & Sampran

Monday, November 23

9.00 - 16.30 - Theory of ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay) methodology.

- Demonstration

Dr. A.A. Brimfield

Tuesday, November 24

9.00 - 16.30 - Immuno assay technique in pesticide analysis

- Laboratory experiment

Dr. A.A. Brimfield

Wednesday, November 25

9.00 - 16.30 Presentation by Dr. R.G. Peterson

- Sample Handling
- Storage
- Sampling and Preparation of the analytical sample
- Extraction methods

Thursday, November 26

9.00 - 16.30 - Basic Gas Chromatography (GC)

- Basic Mass Spectrometry (MS)

- GC/MS

Dr. R.G. Peterson

Friday, November 27

9.00 - 16.30 Presentation by Dr. R. Peterson

- Gas Chromatography (GC)

- Theory

- Application

- Quantitation

Monday, November 30

9.00 - 16.30 - Discussion of Lab Exercise

- Prepare, Spike and Extract Vegetable Samples

Tuesday, December 1

9.00 - 16.30 - Discussion of Quantitation

- Gas Chromatograph Exercise

Wednesday, December 2

9.00 - 16.30 - Discussion of Quantitation

- Gas Chromatograph Exercise

Thursday, December 3

9.00 - 16.30 - Discussion of Lab Exercise

- Prepare, Spike, Extract and Clean-up soil samples

Friday, December 4

9.00 - 16.30 - Gas Chromatography Exercise

- Discussion of GC results.

Tuesday, December 8

9.00 - 16.30 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

- Theory

- Application of HPLC in Pesticide Analysis

- Trouble Shooting & Maintenance for GC and HPLC

Wednesday, December 9

9.00 - 16.30 - Absorption Spectroscopy

- Emission Spectroscopy

- Review and Special Problems

Thursday, December 10

9.30 - 12.00 Closing Session

Director General of DOA - Chairman

Present the Cer'ificate of Attendance

12.00 - 15 00 Lunch and Farewell Party

ANNEX II

List of Participants

Afghanistan

- 1. Ghausuddin Rostai
 Director
 Plant Protection
 Ministry of Agiruclture
 Kabul
 Afghanistan
- 2. Sher Hasson Hussaini
 Director, Plant Pathology
 Department of Plant Protection and Quarantine
 Ministry of Agriculture
 Kabul
 Afghanistan
 Telephone (Office) 40250

<u>Chi</u>na

Gao Xiao Hui
Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals
Ministry fo Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fishery
Beijing
People's Republic of China
Telephone: (Office) 595929

<u>India</u>

1. Gita Kulshrestha Scientist Division of Agricultural Chemicals I.A.R.I. New Delhi - 110012 India Telephone : 587390

2. Manjir Mukherjee
Scientist
R & D Centre
Udyog Vihar
Sector 20, Dundahera
Gurgaon
Haryana
' India

Indonesia

Tieke Slamet
Staff
Pharm & Drug Research
Ministry of Health
JLN. Percetakan Negara No. 29
P.O. Box 226
Jakarta
Indonesia

Telephone : 413122 ext. 30

Korea (South)

Oh-Kyung, Kwon
Division of Pesticide Chemistry
Agricultural Chemicals Research Institute, ORD
Suwon
Republic of Korea
Telephor: (Office) 0331-43-9805

Philippines

Bella Fe D. Carmona
Market Analyst
Fertilizer & Pesticide Authority
Rajah Sulayman Building
Benavidez St., Makati
Metro Manila
Philippines
Telephone: (Office) 8185118, 855001-03

Sri-Lanka

K.A.N.P. flandara

Experimental Officer

Division of Entomology

Central Agricultural Research Institute

P.O. Box 11

Peradeniya

Sri Lanka

Telephone: (Office) 08-88011

Thailand

Juntip Tumrongsiskul
 Senior Scientist
 Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances
 Department of Agriculture
 Bang Khen, Bangkok 10900
 Thailand

Telephone: (Office) 5793577

Marasri Udomchoke
 Scientist
 Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances
 Department of Agriculture
 Bang Khen, Bangkok 10900
 Thailand

Telephone: (Office) 5793577

3. Sermporn Limpongsanuruk
Scientist
Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances
Department of Agriculture
Bang Khen, Bangkok 10900
Thailand

Telephone: (Office) 5793577

4. Soraya Panwiriyapong
Scientist
Division of Agricultural Toxic Substances
Department of Agriculture
Bang Khen, Bangkok 10900
Telephone: (Office) 5793578

Abbreviations

DOA Department of Agraculture, Bangkok

UNIDO United Nations Industrial Development

Organization

UNDP Unted Nations Development Program

ATSD Agricultural Toxic Substances Division

UNITED NATIONS



NATIONS UNIES

UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION

LERCHENFELDER STRASSE L. A-1070 VIENNA. AUSTRIA
P.O. BOX 707, A 2011
TELEPHONE: 43 600 TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: UNIDO TELEGI 7688

EVALUATION - GROUP TRATETED PROGRAMMES

Name	of Participant:		Rome con	intry:
Programe:			Host con Year:	mtry:
₹.	PRE-COURSE IMPOGNATION:			
·· .	How was the introductory i country about: (please ma	nformation yourk an x in the	received in y suitable colu	rour home
	Aim of the training Content of the programme Level of the programme What, if any, other inform included:	Sufficient Company of the second sec	Not sufficient Column Seel should have	Missing ———————————————————————————————————
2.	How many weeks before the you receive the following: Information about the progressing accepted to the progressing accepted to the progressing accepted.	information: rame	the training pr	ks

II.	PROGRAMME CONTENT AND ORG	ANIZATION:
3.	What is your opinion of t	he total duration of the course:
	Too long	
	Just right	
	Too short	
	If not "just right"	, what, in your opinion, would be the most
	suitable duration f	or the course?
	weeks	
	Please comment:	
4.	State your opinion about	the daily schedule:
•	Toc heavy	
	Just right	
	Too light	
	Comments;	
		•
5.	Would you suggest any cha	nges in the general nature of the

training programme?

.

6.	Do you feel that the training corresponded	l to vous		
	To a very large extent		proressional	needs?
	To a large extent			
	To a sufficient extent			
	To a small extent			
	To a very small extent			
	Please comment;			
7.	Please give your opinion about the study vi			
8.	Toc high Adequate Toc low Much too low	e trainin	8 ?	

9.	Which subjects of the programme did you find most valuable?			
	(please state reason; for examp) to my work, new information, etc	e new subject, my speciality, relevant		
	Subject	Reason		
10.	Which subjects of the programme State why (for example too eleme	did you find <u>least valuable?</u>		
	irrelevant to my work, etc.)			
	Subject	Reason		
11.	Were there in your opinion any r	elevant subjects that were not		
	adequately covered in the progra			
	No			
	If yes, what did you miss?			

12.	. Which changes would you have preferred in the methods of instructions?			
	a) lectures b) group work c) demonstrations Comments:	mo changes	less Less	
13.	How did you find the general standa	i) command of	with respect of: ii) method of	
	Very good Rather good Fair Poor Very poor Please comment:	English	instruction	
14.	Did you have sufficient time for pro	i) the programme staff	of views with: ii) fellow- participants	

15.	How much did you benefit from these exchanges of views with:				
	A great deal Nuch Somewhat Little Not at *!1 Please comment:	i) the programme etaff	ii) fello		
III. 16.	RELEVANCE AND APPLICABILITY: Did you find the contents of the propour company (institute)? To a very great extent To a great extent To a sufficient extent To a small extent Please state why:	ogramme relevant to condi-	tions in		
17.	Do you feel that by participating in benefitted professionally? To a very great extent To a great extent To a sufficient extent To a small extent To a very small extent Please state why:	this training programme	you have		

18.	. Do you think you will have an opportunity to apply your newly				
	acquired knowledge and experience in your present job?				
	To a very great extent				
	To a great extent				
	To a sufficient extent				
	To a small extent				
	To a very small extent	$\overline{\Box}$			
	What difficulties, if any, would you e	Expect to meet?			
-	·	•			
10	W.33	•			
19.	Will you be in a position to transfer your a	equired knowledge to others			
	in your home country?	•			
	To a very great extent				
	To a great extent				
	To a sufficient extent				
	To a small extent				
	To a very small extent				
20.	How will this transfer be done?				
	 a) In day-to-day work to colleagues and subordinates 				
	 b) In specific training activities inside present employment 				
	c) In specific training activities outside present employment				
	What difficulties, if any, would you expect	to meet?			

IV.	SOCTAL	ASPECTS	OF	THE	PROGRAIQŒ
-----	--------	---------	----	-----	-----------

21. Please state your opinion about the <u>leisure time activities</u> organized by the programme staff:

What additional activities would you have appreciated?

22. Please give any comments you choose on aspects not adequately covered by this questionnaire:

DOA/The World Bank/UNDP/UNIDO Training Programme on Pesticide Residue Analysis November 9 - December 11, 1987

Questionnaire

Final Course Evaluation

Evaluate the objectives, coure conduct, managements and others of each item by numbering 1,2,3,4,5 as rated below, Additional comments are appreciated.

Rating: 1. Very poor

- 2. Poor
- 3. Good
- 4. Very good
- 5. Outstanding

I. Objectives

()	Your knowledge concerned with the course,
		before training.
()	Knowledge gained after training, at which you
		can be applied for your work.
P1	tas	e comment, if you have any.

	•				
•••••••	•••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	
••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••		
•••••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••••	••••••
••••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • •	••••••
_					

II. Cours	e Co	ond	uct :
	()	Programming of the topics.
	()	Teaching method
	()	Intensity and duration of each subject
	()	Lecture time
	()	Laboratory time
	()	Reference papers
	Ιſ	th	e topics and teaching method were not programmed
systemati	cal	ly,	please give us your suggestion to improve the
training	muc	h b	etter.
	••	•••	•••••••••
•••••	••••	•••	•••••••••
•••••	••••	•••	
•••••	••••	•••	
••••••	••••	•••	
•••••	•••	•••	
III. Man	agei	en	ts:
	()	Coordination for course information before
			leaving your home country.
	()	Coordination during the course conduct.
	()	Pre-course information (i.e. briefing, orientation.)
	(>	Accommodation
	()	Transportation
	()	Social programme
		3	Arrangement for observations and field trips.

() Excursion on holidays.
() Communication among participants.
() Communication between the host and participants.
() Communication between the lecturers and
participants.
Please comment, if you have any.
••••••••••••••
••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••••••••••••••••••
•••••••••••••••••••••••
······································
IV. Others:
1. Do you think that you will have chance to make
good use of the techniques and knowledge you have attained in
his course in your country, please comments.
•••••••••••

••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••••••••••••••
•••••••••••••••••••

	2. Please gi	ve us your o	comments and	suggestions	to
improve th	ne next train	ing course.			
	••••••				
	•••••				

Thank you for your good cooperation.