



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.

TOGETHER

for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at <u>www.unido.org</u>

4

September 1985 ENGLISH

(R) BAHRAIN: ASSISTANCE IN COMPOSTING MUNICIPAL WASTES, BAHRAIN, PHASE I

DP/BAH/85/010

Technical report on background information and requirements

Prepared for the Government of Bahrain by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, acting as executing agency for the United Nations Development Programme

Based on the work of

Dr. W. Lutz

Expert in Compost Production from Municipal Wastes

United Nations Industrial Development Organization Vienna

2 THES I ment has been reproduced without formal elitibut.

SARKAIN COMPOSITING PEANT DP. BAH/ 85/ 010-

-''-

REPORT

on Background Information and Requirements for Prequelification Proposal, dated 15 July 1986

1. Siting of the proposed facility:

There should be 2 maps, one of them showing the overall location of the city of Manama, Tupli, road connection, etc., successed scale ' or = 500 m. The second map shall include the site location with the sewage treatment plant and the polyeringed static case well as the otherword larged 1. Since the scale i ch \pm ic π_{+}

2. Climatic conditions in Bahrain: No comment.

3. Raw materials for composting:

There is a difference in the quantities of refuse between page 1 (500 t/d) and page 6 (2400 t/6d = 400 t/d).

I would suggest the following:

- 3.1.1 Refuse from the City of Manama and surrounding area arrives six days per week and amounts an average of 2400 tons per week. The daily quantity rises up to 500 tons per 24-hour period.
- 3.1.2 Analysis of waste stream obtained in 1976 is as follows. For the time being an increase of food and vegetable residues is anticipated, which also results in an increase of the moisture content.
- 3.1.3 to 3.1.8: No comment.
- 3.2 The sewage treatment plant at Tuble (T.W.P.C.C. :

It should be mentioned the year of start up and the treatment process. such as primary (sedimentation), secondary (biological) and tertiary (chemical, physical) treatment; add a brief description of the system.

- 3.2.1 No comment.
- 3.2.2 The quantity of thickened sludge seems to be higher as mentioned. A waste water treatment plant with a daily flow of 75,000 m³/d usually produces approx. 650 m' d. On the other band it is possible, that

75.000 m³ d is the plant capacity and the actual load is much lower resulting with 250 m³ d sludge. In that case I suggest to mention the present situation, but indicate that the sludge treatment should be designed for the full capacity of 650 m³ per day in the future.

3.2.3 to 3.2.6: No comment.

- 3.2.7 The suggested system for movement of the thickened sludge to the composting site is by pipeline. A storage facility may need to be erected as well as a dewatering facility to gain the priper objective for diving the sludge with refuse consistent with the requirements of the composting operation. The drying beds presently weld are available for use as a DBG+ column
- Possible integration of the present Tubli refuse pulverizing plant:
 Add more information such as lay out drawings, plant description and operation experience, date of start up, etc.
- 5. Need for potential uses of compost in Bahrain:

5.1 to 5.6: No comment.

add 5.7 Quality requirements for compost:

Compost is defined as the end product of composting where the decomposable organic substance is extensively broken down into a humus. Maximizing the content of humic substances - the portion of organic substances that has been converted into humic acids and its compounds - is the aim. The physical and physical-chemical properties of compost affect ¹⁴ handling. tolerance by plants and influence on the soil texture. Important are the moisture, water capacity, fresh density, pH-value and conductivity. The required data are shown in the following table.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

	Symbol	Unit	Nominal Range	
Moisture	M	9%0 FS	25 to 35'	
Nater Capacity	WC	a/100 cDS	85 to 120	
Fresh Density	FD	ka/1	≤ 0.85	
pH value	əH	_	7.0 to 8.5	
Conductivity (water soluble	•			
Salts)	CON	mS/cm	≤5.0	

Higher moisture can be tolerated in loose compost,

FS = fresh substance

The plant tolerance test shows the impact of compost on germination and growth of selected test plants compared to a base substrate e.g. manure . The field of compost application depends primarily on the plant tolerance. The test shall evaluate the fresh substance of the plants, germ formation and germ rate. The plant tolerance indicates the ratio between 100 percent of the plant mass grown on the base substrate and the actual plant mass grown on the test substrate.

PLANT TOLERANCE

	-] 	Jays	ປີປີ
Applicable as soil conditioner 15% compost	≥ 80	_	
Applicable as additive to potting soil			
15% compost	≤110	≤(CS + 1)	100
30% compost	≥ 110	\leq (CS + 1)	100
45% compost	≥ 100		-

FSP = fresh substance of the plant

CS = compare substrate

*The plant tolerance test method is a standardized procedure. Generally it is not possible to transfer the test result to the actual application quantity of compost.

Impurities are not specifically harmful to soil and plants. They have a negative impact on the compost quality because of their grain size and/or quantity. The impurities interfere with the appearance, increase the density of compost and diminish the portion of organic substance and nutrients. Furthermore they make the handling more difficult. Impurities to take into consideration are the grain size, glass, plastics, iron and other metals.

IMPURITIES

Bulkage	Grain size	Unit	Nominal Range		
Oversized Grain	11.2mm ¹	% DS	0 to 3		
Glass	2 mm	% DS	0 to 3		
Plastics	4_mm	96 DS	ito 3		
Iron	6.3mm	% DS	0		
Uther Metals	6.3mm	₩ DS	0 to 0.5		

'A divergent grain size can be tolerated in special compost applications.

Heavy metals in compost must be considered because of their toxicological impact and prospective concentration. These metals are chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, cadmium, lead and mercury. Concentrations exceeding the tolerance values are caused by bad raw material that shall not be used for compost production. The actual compost application rates shall consider the heavy metal content of the soil and the kind of plants.

HEAVY HETALD

Eement Ohromem Note	Formula Cr	Unit pom DS pom DS	Nominal Range		
			50' 30'	to 10	320 260
Chebo Chebo Gaomium Mercury Lead	Hg Pb	ppm DC ppm DS ppm DS ppm DS ppm DS	300 1 1 200	tu to to to	1500 6 4 900

'This value can be remained under.

Epidemic control:

The microbial, aerobic fermentation is an exothermic process, whereas increased temperatures and biochemical reactions are inactivating pathogen germs including thermoresistant species. At the same time organic substance is decomposed. The composting mass must attain a temperature of 65°C or greater at a moisture of more than 40 percent for at least six days or two periods of three consecutive days during the composting period. To ensure the decontamination efficiency, it is imperative that the process and the end product be monitored for temperature.

the second s

- 6. A. and B.: No comment.
 - C.3.

add e. (page 8) Laboratory equipment.

- C.5. Fuel and power consumption and other maintenance costs (weir parts, lubrication, laboratory tests, etc.)
- C.4. No Comment.

С.6.

add g. Laboratory equipment.

7. Time schedule including proposed length of time required for design and construction, start up and training of staff.

- 9. Description of the compost produced by the plant:
 - a. Expected quantity of the compost
 - b. Expected analysis of the compost according to the requirements
 - c. Expected final pathogen levels
 - d. Environmental assessments such as public health, control of noise, odour, flies, rodents, etc.
 - e. Other information

10...11. and 12.: No comment.

THE REPORTING OF THE MANE TO THE F

10. Integral Ges.m.b.H., A-2481, Achau, Austria — Instead of Kuthner. 1. to 9. and 11. to 24.: No comment. 25. <u>Dragon</u>, France 26. <u>Sofregaz</u>, Clichy, France 27. <u>Valorga</u>, Vendargues, France 28. <u>Tayler Woodrow</u>, Western House, Western Avenue, London <u>DRAWINGS</u>:

The drawings no. 156/101, 156/102, Refuse Pulverization Plant 1986 are useable. the remaining three drawings showing the sewage treatment plant and pumping station are not relevant.

Still there should be a road map as mentioned in this report no. 1 and a detailed description of the existing pulverization plant including mashinery and electrical equipment as well as operation and maintenance experience, e.g. arrangement drawings, motor list, power consumption, spare and wear parts. operation personnel.

p.s. to list of composting plant manufacturers:

The Tayler Woodrow process seems to be an <u>anaerobic</u> system instead of the required <u>aerobic</u> fermentation in a composting plant. Nevertheless it might be interesting to compare the alternative method with regard to the use of the end product in the agriculture.