



TOGETHER
for a sustainable future

OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



TOGETHER
for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as “developed”, “industrialized” and “developing” are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact publications@unido.org for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

E- 15510

Distr.
RESTRICTED

UNIDO/IO/R.232
9 April 1986

UNITED NATIONS
INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION

ENGLISH

(R)
PREPARATORY ASSISTANCE MISSION TO FINALIZE THE PROJECT
DOCUMENT FOR THE INTERCOUNTRY CO-OPERATION IN
PESTICIDE DEVELOPMENT IN LATIN AMERICA

UC/RLA/83/280

Terminal report*

Prepared for the Governments of the Regional Network members
(Argentina, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, The Dominican Republic,
Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico,
Paraguay, Peru, Republic of Panama, Trinidad and Tobago,
Uruguay and Venezuela) by the
United Nations Industrial Development Organization

Based on the work of Mr. Héctor Llera, UNIDO consultant

* This document has been reproduced without formal editing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Introduction | 3 |
| I. Summary | 4 |
| II. Recommendations | 5 |
| III. Objectives | 7 |
| IV. Duties | 7 |
| V. Findings | 8 |
| | |
| Annex 1 Institutions and Persons Visited | 10 |
| Annex 2 Consultants/Experts Required | 17 |
| Annex 3 Individual Training Component | 18 |
| Annex 4 Group Training Component | 22 |
| Annex 5 Consultant/Expert Component | 23 |

REGIONAL NETWORK FOR THE PRODUCTION, MARKETING
AND CONTROL OF PESTICIDES IN LATIN AMERICA

Introduction

In 1978 C. Maltby, UNIDO consultant, carried out a mission which encompassed Mexico, Guatemala, Venezuela, Colombia, Brazil and Argentina. The mission's objectives were: a) collect data on the supply and demand of pesticides for the period 1978/88; b) determine the availability of raw materials and the capacity of current and planned pesticide manufacturing and formulation plants in the region; c) make recommendations for the development of the pesticide industry through a co-operative inter-country plan.

A preparatory assistance meeting to determine needs and objectives regarding co-operation between countries for the manufacturing of pesticides in Latin America was held in Buenos Aires (Argentina) in April 1983; its principal objectives were:

- a) examine and determine the necessities to set up inter-country manufacturing and marketing of pesticides.
- b) determine the viability of inter-country collaboration through a suitable network of existing national institutions.
- c) recommend the creation of an appropriate mechanism to establish, strengthen and sustain the above network.

Representatives of Argentina, Uruguay, Chile, Ecuador, Venezuela, Bolivia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Panama and Dominica, as well as representatives of the local pesticide industry and international agencies such as FAO - CEPAL and WHO participated in this meeting. The meeting, taking into account the recommendations of C. Maltby's report and the experience acquired in the Jakarta meeting for the establishment of a co-operative regional network for the development and control of pesticides for East Asia in April 1980, identified a number of areas in which co-operation was possible and necessary, such as the components and mechanisms for a co-operative programme between countries. Subsequently, UNIDO sent to the countries participating in this meeting, and to those who for various reasons could not attend, a copy of the report of the meeting emphasizing the Governments' interest in participating in such a project and proposing a four-month mission to the interested countries for the purpose of carrying out the following tasks in each country:

- a) Corroborate their level of interest and that of their focal point in participating in the proposed network.
- b) Report on the envisaged mechanism for the proposed programme based on a network system of national institutions.
- c) Gather the information which will identify the agency acting as a national co-ordination unit, its institutional format, specific objectives, participating agencies/institutions, outputs in qualitative and quantitative terms which they expect to achieve through participation in the network in matters of technical assistance, experts, equipment, training programmes (individual and group), as well as the contributions of all types which the country would place at the disposal of the network.
- d) Obtain information for conducting a study of the pesticide industrial sector in Latin America.

This mission to obtain the information and finally to prepare a project draft for UNIDO's (UNDP) and the participating countries' approval began on 2 February 1985.

It was concluded on 4 October 1985 when the project document and the mission report were submitted to UNIDO/Vienna.

1. Summary

This preparatory assistance mission for the "Establishment of a Regional Network of Institutions in Latin American Countries for the Production, Marketing and Control of Pesticides" (UC/RLA/83/280 Pesticides in Latin America) was carried out between 2 February 1985 and 4 October 1985. It included visits to the following countries: Mexico, Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, Cuba, Jamaica, Dominican Republic, Venezuela, Trinidad and Tobago, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Paraguay, Uruguay and Argentina. Its main objective was to prepare a project document for a regional network, whose title is the same as that of the mission, from the information obtained during the meetings held with each Government.

II. Recommendations

- 1) It should be assured that the countries participating in networks of this type receive precise and simple instructions of what is

required, specifying and clarifying the method of completing them. Assure that these requirements are, in turn, very well understood by the UNDF office of each country and implement suitable follow-up on the part of the local office to the Executing Agency. The participating countries should allow sufficient time so that they are in a position to prepare a draft which can be discussed during the mission.

- 2) Given the difficulties, for reasons earlier expressed, in carrying out this project, it would be good to consider:
 - a) The initiation of the network's activities in the areas which present fewer difficulties in order to establish precedents of horizontal co-operation which in turn facilitate the acquisition of experience and training of officials in integration tasks and will then allow continuation with more ambitious aims.
 - b) The possibility of offering the regional co-ordination to one of two or three institutions selected by UNIDO (only Argentina has officially volunteered to do it at this time) in a future meeting with representatives of each network-participating country who would be authorized to resolve this question thus to establish the mechanism of definitive action through a Technical Advisory Committee, name its members and prepare a tentative work programme.
 - c) That the embarrassing economic situation of all the Latin American countries makes them absolutely prioritize their investments and expenses in this area with the help of the network.
 - d) The marked individualism of the Latin American countries, with different outlooks and aims expressed in different forums, makes the terms of united pesticide production nearly impossible.
 - e) The strong presence of the multinational companies with their production policies, which are defending their products and markets; examples of this are the recent investments in Brazil and Argentina (Markets with a great present and future growth principally in herbicides) in plants making Butaclor, Alaclor, Glyphosate, EPTC, Pyrethroids, etc.

- f) The rapid obsolescence, high costs and technological dependency which are encountered by nearly all the industries not connected with the multinationals in Latin America.
 - g) The existence of regional or sub-regional agreements, which although ineffective in practice, makes new productive agreements difficult.
- 3) The aspects in which the mission-targeted countries confirmed their interest and which can be reached through the establishment of the network are:
- a) Establishment of a data bank with information concerning uses, production of actives, intermediates, prices, resources, needs, surpluses, and all other relevant information for marketing, registration, control etc. This would be a suitable way to relocate surpluses within the region, be it over production or over stock as a result of diminutions of cultivated areas or areas low in pressure of pests.
 - b) Harmonization and/or Normalization of:
 - i) Analytical methods and procedures for quality control, determination of residues, establishment of tolerance levels and registration requirements.
 - ii) Criteria for elimination of effluents, empty containers, and unused pesticides.
 - iii) Tariffs and commerce.
 - c) Market analysis and consumer tendencies for each country and the region unifying and modernizing the collecting and processing of data.
 - d) Preparatory assistance for the establishment of formulation plants in those countries of relatively low development.
 - e) Education and extension to raise the level of pesticide use and propitiate the adoption and development of new application methods.
 - f) Training and interchange of technical personnel and laboratory facilities.
 - g) Establish quality control laboratories.

III. Objectives

This assignment UC/RLA/83/280 had as an objective a mission of preparatory assistance for 17 countries in Latin America who had expressed interest in participating in a co-operative effort to promote the development of the pesticide industry. The co-operative programme would be based on an inter-institutional network using principally regional resources. The amount of assistance on the part of UNDP was not determined, but it is understood that essentially this network will be focused on activities of technical co-operation between developing countries, stimulated by UNIDO and UNDP.

IV. Duties

The consultations were held between February and July 1985 with the Governments of: Mexico, Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, Cuba, Jamaica, Dominican Republic, Venezuela, Trinidad and Tobago, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Paraguay, Uruguay and Argentina.

For these consultations, the mission was instructed to:

- 1) Ascertain the extent of the Government's interest and its focal point;
- 2) Brief the Governments on the envisaged mechanism for the proposed programme based on a network system of national institutions;
- 3) Collect the following information from the Governments:
 - a) The name, location and terms of reference of each national institute to participate in the work of the network and identify the institution designated by the Government to co-ordinate the programme on a national level;
 - b) A detailed and possibly quantitative description of the outputs each individual country expects to achieve through the regional co-operation in the subject field;
 - c) Specific objectives the Governments want to pursue through their participation in the scheme;
 - d) Finalize the project document by incorporating the above information in the appropriate chapter of the draft.

- 4) In addition, for the preparation of a sectoral study on the pesticide industry in Latin America, the consultant is required to collect the following additional data:
- a) Production capacities of pesticide formulation plants and plants producing active ingredients together with the technologies available, technological arrangements, research and development, joint ventures and plans of future production as well as investments available in these plants and employment;
 - b) Present consumption of pesticides in these countries, future demand and trade.

V. Findings

A list of persons with whom the expert met along with the areas in which the countries requested training and consultancy services are given in Annexes 1 to 5. The actual country reports are not included to reduce the bulk of this report.

A. Difficulties Encountered

The major disappointments of the mission can be attributed to communication difficulties in the system which links the United Nations offices with the country counterparts.

Prior to the start of the mission, UNIDO sent the results of the Buenos Aires meeting to the countries, requesting a prompt response regarding their interest in participating in the project and later sending the terms of reference and objectives of the current mission so that the counterparts could prepare a project draft including their goals, objectives, etc.

If this had been done, the mission would have accomplished its objectives; then the time would have been used to improve already established data instead of explaining from the beginning, to one and another agency, the purpose of the mission and the project as was the case. The only document which some countries had received was a report of the Buenos Aires meeting and many of them had received nothing.

- Lack of continuity and follow-up

Being a regional project and there being no clear and precise instruction for its follow-up, it tends to be diluted and this is

aggravated by the high rotation of Government functionaries and also the UNDP personnel.

- Quality and suitability of information received

To the lack of continuity and changes can be added that the information is either not received or arrives very late, lacking clarity and precision.

- Lack of experience in regional production projects

To the traditional individualism of the Latin American countries should be added the lack of experience in concrete actions of economic co-operation and co-ordination other than discussions. On the other hand, the interests encountered in the purpose of this industrial project, since the multinational enterprises in this sector of the world are very active and defend the markets in which they are involved with a technological complex which involves agrochemicals, pharmaceutical products, and seeds.

B. Analysis of the Countries' Requirements for the Total Network Operation

Almost without exception the countries estimated that the project should have five years of support by UNIDO.

The requirements regarding experts/consultants and individual and group training are given in annexes to this report. This data does not include information for Mexico, Colombia, Jamaica, Honduras and Santo Domingo nor have the needs with regard to laboratory equipment, buildings and other types of equipment, which figure in each country's documents been summarized as it is understood that this is not the objective of the project.

C. Selection of the Headquarter Country for the Regional Co-ordination Unit

With the exception of Mexico, Costa Rica and Argentina who have unofficially expressed their interest in hosting the Regional Co-ordination Unit, the rest of the countries either have completely left it out or have proposed that this selection be made through the co-ordinators of the respective National Co-ordination Units in a meeting to be held for this purpose and also to establish and name the CIA and design the tentative work plan for the first year.

INSTITUTIONS AND PERSONS VISITED

(By Country)

ARGENTINA

| | |
|---|---|
| Secretary of State for Agriculture, Cattle and Fish -SEAGP- | - Eng. Roberto Fitterbargh |
| National Office of Vegetal Health | - Eng. A. Abramovich (Chief Cabinet Advisor) |
| | - Eng. Cecilia Martínez |
| National Institute of Industrial Technology - INTI - | - Directory |
| Secretary of Commerce | |

COLOMBIA

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ministry of Toxicological Health | - Dr. Alfonso Peña |
| I.C.A. | - Eng. Ruby Londoño Uribe |
| | - Eng. P. E. Clavijo Navarro |
| A.N.D.I. | - Eng. Luis Ortiz |
| Agrarian Centre | - Napoleón Morales |
| Ministry of Agriculture | - Jesid Castro |
| | - Raquel de Henao |
| National Planning Office | |
| U.E. Agricultura | - Julián Gutiérrez |
| U.E. Industria | - Ivarth Aparicio |
| | - Luis Borrero |
| INCONTEC | - Pedro Florez |

COSTA RICA

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock | |
| Office of Vegetal Health | - Eng. Alex May Montero |

CUBA

| | |
|--|--|
| Integral Office of Fertilizers and Pesticides | - Eng. Emilio Rebull - Eng. Edilia Ansean |
| C.I.Q. | - Dr. Olga Pascual |
| Quimimport | - Mr. Carlos Gil |
| National Office of Vegetal Health | - Eng. Labrada |
| MINBAS - Viceminister Petrochemicals | - Eng. Francisco Rodríguez |

ECUADOR

| | |
|--|---|
| Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock - MAG - Coordinator - Adviser | - Eng. Fernando Correa |
| National Vegetal Health Programme Director | - Eng. Gualberto Merino |
| Technician | - Eng. Mercedes Bolaños |
| Ecuadorian Standards Institute - INEN - Laboratory Manager | - Dr. Ramiro Gallegos |
| Extracting Industry INEXA C.A. Deputy General Manager | - Eng. Pedro Steiner |
| Industrial Development Centre of Ecuador - CENDES - General Manager | - Eng. Enrique Macías Ch. |
| Director of Chemical and Related Industries | - Eng. Juan Viera |
| National Institute of Agri- cultural Research - INIAP - Director in Charge | - Eng. Hugo Orellana |
| National Animal Health Programme Director | - Dr. José Lucero |
| Technician | - Dr. Gualberto Tapia |
| General Health Office Ministry of Health | - Dr. José Troncoso |
| National Vegetal Health Programme Director | - Eng. Cristóbal Barba |
| Technicians | - Eng. Gabriel Andrade - Eng. Mercedes Bolaños |

EL SALVADOR

| | |
|---|---|
| Office of Agricultural Economy | - Mr. Henry Quesada |
| Association of Agricultural Suppliers - Secretary | - Eng. Roberto Callejas |
| Quimtegral S.A. (Director) | - Eng. Héctor Bonilla |
| Ministry of Planning and Coordination for Economic and Social Development | |
| International Technical Coop. Infrastructure | - María Elena Pañameño - Roberto E. Moreno |
| CENTA (Agricultural Ministry) | - |
| Chief - Pesticide Residue Research Laboratory | - Gloria Ruth Calderón |
| Chief, Laboratory and Service Department | - Víctor M. Segura - Rafael Martínez Ortíz |
| OSFA | - Guillermo Morales |

GUATEMALA

| | |
|---|---|
| USPADA Sectoral Advisor | - Eduardo Ibañez |
| COGUANOR Secretary General | - Leonel Flores E. |
| SIECA Auxiliary Economist Agricultural Dept. Director | - María Teresa de García - Manuel Martínez y Martínez |
| SEGEPLAN Technical Cooperation Office Agricultural Area Manager Science & Technology Dept. | - Vernon Ayala - Roberto Osorio Molina - Giovanni Reyes |
| LUCAM Laboratory Manager Chemist | - Elsa de Reyes - Zuly González |
| ICAITI Analysis Division Manager Regulations Division Manager | - J. Fernando Mazariegos - J. Joaquín Bayer S. |
| Agrochemicals General Manager | - Alfredo Rodríguez |

HONDURAS

CONSUPLANE

Industrial Department - Mrs. Lourdes de López
Office of Productive Sectors - Mrs. Lilia de Morales
International Technical Cooperative - Mrs. María de la Paz Borjas
- Mrs. Liliana Castillo

Natural Resources Secretaria.

Office of Sectoral Planning - Ms. Adelina Vázquez
Department of Vegetal Health - Eng. Martil Guzmán

ANDI

- Dorcas de González
Marcial Solís Paz

Quimtegra

- Amilcar Mejía

JAMAICA

Director, Planning & Policy - Miss Marie Strachan

Permanent Secretary - Mr. Clarence Franklin

Pesticide Lab. U.W.I. - Dr. A. Mansingh

Chairman, National Pesticide
Advisory Committee - Mr. Lester Woolery

Unit Head, Caribbean Research &
Development Institute (CARDI) - Mr. Joe Suah

Director, Research & Development
MINAG - Mr. A. C. McDonald

Director, Veterinary Services
Veterinary Division

Executive Director, Research &
Development - Dr. Henry Lowe

Director, Scientific Research Council

MEXICO

Mexican Somex Bank - Marcos Arellano

Fertimex - José Luis Anzo
- Humberto Berumen

Secretary of Programming and Budget
Director General for Economic and
Social Programming - Celso Cartas

SECOFI

Coordinator General of
Agrochemicals - Javier Ramos Suarez

SECOFI

Director of Chemical Industries
and Consumer Benefits - T. Rodríguez Bever

SARH

Office of Vegetal Health - Moisés Telliz Ortiz

MEXICO (continued)

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| SARH Pesticides Department | - Ruben Amaya Rubio |
| Fertimex Directory and Technical Corps | |
| Agricultural Cabinet | - Ruben Castillo Fragoso |
| Director General of the Industry for State Chemistry and Secondary Petrochemistry | - Francisco Barnez de Castro |
| Director General of Fertilizers and Pesticides (Secretary of Energy, Mines and Industry for the State) | - Fernando Gomez Cardón |
| Chemical Industry Association | - Presidency |

PANAMA

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| IDIAP | - Dr. Jaime Espinosa G |
| Ministry of Agricultural Development | |
| Ministry of Health | |
| Ministry of Industry and Commerce | |
| University of Panama | |

PARAGUAY

| | |
|--|---|
| Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Technical Cabinet - Director | - Eng. Oscar Meza |
| Vegetal Defense Office | - Eng. E. Ammatuna |
| Planning Secretariat | - Dr. R. Bogarín García |
| Public Health Ministry | - Dr. Juan Escriba |
| Ministry of Industry and Commerce Pesticides Programme Director, Food Department | - Dr. Stella Barrios - Dr. M. Angel González M |

PERU

| | |
|--|---|
| Ministry of Industry, Commerce, Tourism and Integration General Office of Industries | - Jorge Kochi Kamego - José Namisato Tamashiro |
|--|---|

PERU (continued)

Sectoral Office of
Industrial Planning

- Wilfredo Lanegra García
- Alberto Valcarcel R.

Ministry of Agriculture

- M. Alicia De La Rosa B.

SANTO DOMINGO

Secretary of State for Agri-
culture. Division of
Vegetal Health.

Manager for Pesticide Statistics
Pesticide Registry Div. Manager
Pesticide Quality Control Mgr.
Assistant Agromedical Programme

- Agr. Eng. Adelina Montolio
- Agr. Jorge de Moya
- Chemical Eng. Favio A. Cruz
- Lic. Pharmacist Rosario
Blanco de Fermín

Pesticide Residue Analysis
Laboratory Manager

- Pharmaceutical Dr. Mireya
Charles de Rodríguez

Dominican Phytosanitary Soc.

- Agr. Eng. Rosendo Angeles R.

Secretary of State for Public
Health and Social Assistance
- Assistant Department of
Food Control

- Mr. Sergio Michel

Dominican Institute of Industrial
Technology. Analytical Services
Division Manager

- Dr. Gilberto Concepción

General Office of Quality Systems
and Standards - DIGENOR -
Assistant Director General of
Quality Systems and Standards

- Chemical Eng. Rosario Herrera

Director, Department of
Standardization

- Eng. Bernardino Pérez Peña

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

Ministry of Health & Environment
Division of Chemicals/Foods
and Drugs. Environment Unit

Ministry of Agriculture

URUGUAY, EASTERN REPUBLIC OF

Ministry of Agriculture and Fish.
Director General for Agronomic
Services

- Eng. M. Boroukhovitch

URUGUAY (continued)

Ministry of Industry and Energy.
Office of Industries

Ministry of Public Health.
Division of Environmental Hygiene

University of the Eastern Republic
of Uruguay. Centre for Toxicological
Information and Counseling.

VENEZUELA

Ministry of Agriculture and Breeding
Office of Vegetal Health - Sahara Ingrid Dupatrocínio

TABLE 1

CONSULTANTS/EXPERTS REQUIRED FOR THE TOTAL NETWORK OPERATION
(5 years)

| | <u>m/m</u> | <u>US \$</u> |
|---|------------------|--------------|
| Formulation Technology | 48 | |
| Industrial policy & pesticide production technology | 36 | |
| Natural Pesticides | To be determined | |
| Harmonization of requirements for registration, labeling, packaging and disposal of containers and unused pesticides | 14 | |
| Harmonization of criteria and methodology for setting tolerance levels | 14 | |
| Standardization of analytical methods for quality control and residues | 80 | |
| Documentation and information | 50 | |
| Market and commercialization analysis | 36 | |
| Biological efficacy evaluation | 14 | |
| Legal constraints on trade and production | 25 | |
| Toxicological evaluation | 12 | |
| Industrial policy | 18 | |
| Determination of economical damage levels. Cost/benefit analysis | 6 | |
| Education and extension | 14 | |
| Integrated pest management and application technology | 6 | |

#####

TABLE II

INDIVIDUAL TRAINING PROGRAMME COMPONENT
FOR FULL OPERATION OF THE NETWORK
(5 years)

| | <u>Priority</u> | <u>No.</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Host</u> | <u>US \$</u> |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| <u>ARGENTINA</u> | | | | | |
| Industrial Policy (Pesticide manufacturing & formulation techniques) | 1 | 1 | 6 | India Israel Italy | |
| Equipment and application Techniques | 2 | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. Brazil Israel | |
| Marketing | 2 | 2 | 6 | Brazil India Italy Spain | |
| Toxicology | 1 | 1 | 6 | U.S.A. Germany (East) | |
| Environmental Monitoring and Hazard Assessment | 1 | 2 | 6 | Italy India Israel | |
| Quality Control | 2 | 1 | 6 | India Israel Italy | |
| Agricultural economy - Cost/benefit analysis Determination of economical damage levels | 2 | 1 | 6 | U.S.A. | |
| <u>EL SALVADOR</u> | | | | | |
| Pesticide disposal | 1 | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. | |
| Pesticide application techniques | 1 | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. | |
| Safe use of pesticides | | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. | |
| Environmental Monitoring and Hazard Assessment | 1 | 1 | 3 | Spain U.S.A. | |
| New pesticides evaluation | | 1 | 12 | " | |
| Residue analysis/monitoring | 1 | 2 | 6 | " | |

| | <u>Priority</u> | <u>No.</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Host</u> | <u>US \$</u> |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Clinical Toxicology | | 1 | 6 | U.S.A. Europe | |
| Formulation technology | 2 | 1 | 6 | " | |
| Manufacturing technology | | 1 | 6 | " | |
| Industrial Safety | 2 | 1 | 6 | " | |
| Marketing & Trade | 2 | 1 | 3 | U.S.A. | |
| Computer application | 1 | 1 | 12 | U.S.A. | |
| <u>ECUADOR</u> | | | | | |
| Regional Registration Harmonization | 2 | 2 | 1 | U.S.A. | |
| Marketing and Trade | 2 | 2 | 12 | U.S.A. U.K. | |
| Quality Control and Residue Analysis | 1 | 3 | 24 | U.S.A. U.K. | |
| Regional system for quality control and residue analysis | 2 | 2 | 24 | U.S.A. U.K. | |
| <u>PARAGUAY</u> | | | | | |
| Industrial Toxicology | | 3 | 12 | To be determined | |
| Quality Control | 1 | 2 | 12 | To be determined | |
| Clinical Toxicology | | 4 | 6 | To be determined | |
| Marketing & Trade | 1 | 2 | 12 | To be determined | |
| <u>CUBA</u> | | | | | |
| Toxicology | | | | -----To be determined----- | |
| Formulation technology | | | | " | |
| Pesticide manufacturing technology | | | | " | |
| Effluent treatment | | | | " | |

| | <u>Priority</u> | <u>No.</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Host</u> | <u>US \$</u> |
|--|----------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| <u>COSTA RICA</u> | | | | | |
| Residue analysis | 1 | 2 | 3 | Germany | |
| Pesticide manufacturing | 1 | 1 | 6 | U.S.A. Caribbean Peru | |
| Marketing | 1 | 2 | 3 | Sub-region C/A | |
| Quality Control | 1 | 2 | 2 | Sub-region C/A | |
| Formulation and packing | 1 | 1 | 4 | Sub-region C/A | |
| Computer operation | 2 | 1 | 2 | Costa Rica | |
| Legal aspects of pesticide registration & production | 1 | 2 | 6 | Argentina Colombia | |
| <u>PERU</u> | | | | | |
| Formulation techniques | -----To be determined----- | | | | |
| Residue analysis | | | | " | |
| Quality control | | | | " | |
| Pesticide manufacturing | | | | " | |
| Education and extension | | | | " | |
| Information | | | | " | |
| Marketing | | | | " | |
| <u>VENEZUELA</u> | | | | | |
| Quality control | 2 | 8 | To be determined | U.S.A. | |
| Biological efficacy | 1 | 6 | " | Mexico | |
| Residue analysis | 2 | 4 | " | U.S.A. | |
| <u>URUGUAY</u> | | | | | |
| Residue analysis | 1 | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. Central America | |
| Biological efficacy | 1 | 3 | 6 | U.S.A. Europe | |

| | <u>Priority</u> | <u>No.</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Host</u> | <u>US \$</u> |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Quality control | 1 | 3 | 5 | Regional | |
| Clinical Toxicology | 1 | 2 | 6 | U.S.A. | |
| <u>PANAMA</u> | | | | | |
| Formulation and manufactur- ing technology | 1 | 2 | 2 | Germany Argentina Mexico | |
| Quality control and residue analysis | 2 | 3 | 2 | Germany Colombia El Salvador | |
| Toxicology | 4 | 1 | 1 | Germany Peru | |
| Registration requirements | 3 | 2 | 3 | Colombia Mexico Guatemala | |

TRINIDAD & TOBAGO

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Residue analysis | -----To be determined----- | | | | |
| Biological efficacy | | | | | " |
| Quality control | | | | | " |
| Toxicological evaluation | | | | | " |

#####

-22-

TABLE IIIGROUP TRAINING COMPONENT FOR
FULL OPERATION OF REGIONAL NETWORK

| WORKSHOPS | NUMBER | DURATION | |
|---|-------------|------------------|----------|
| Pesticides regulations and control | 2/country | 10 weeks (total) | Regional |
| Documentation and information | 2/country | 4 weeks | Regional |
| Marketing | 1/country | 3 weeks | Regional |
| Setting of tolerance levels | 2/country | 2 weeks | Regional |
| Harmonization of requirements for registration, packaging and disposal of unused pesticides | 2/country | 2 weeks | Regional |
| Trade and tariff considerations | 2/country | 1 week | |
| Quality control | 2/country | 2 weeks | |
| Toxicology | 2/country | 4 weeks | |
| Residue considerations | 2/country | 4 weeks | Regional |
| Education Techniques | 2/country | 2 weeks | Regional |
| Legal aspects of production and marketing | 2/country | 3 weeks | Regional |
| Integrated pest control and application techniques | 3/country | 3 weeks | Regional |
| Pesticide formulation and manufacturing technology | 2/country | 4 weeks | Regional |
| Detection and follow-up of pests | (1/country) | (4 weeks) | Regional |
| Selection and rationalization of the number of pesticides used in the region | 2/country | 4 weeks | Regional |

#####

CONSULTANT/EXPERT COMPONENT (FIVE YEARS)

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Country</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Frequency</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Participants</u> |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1. Formulation Technology | El Salvador | 12 | 2 | 24 | |
| | Venezuela | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | Not determined | | | |
| | Panama | 6 | 2 | 2 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | |
| | Jamaica, Santo Domingo and Honduras, whose reports have not yet arrived, have expressed their need for consultants'/experts' technical assistance in order to improve and initiate their activities concerning formulation. | | | | |
| 2. Feasibility analysis of pesticides synthesis (Natural and/or chemical) | El Salvador | 3 | 2 | 6 | |
| | Cuba | To be determined | | | |
| | Argentina | 4 | 3 | 12 | 2 |
| | Panama | 6 | 2 | 12 | |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | To be determined | | | |
| | Costa Rica | 6 | 1 | 6 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | |
| Mexico and Colombia, whose reports have not yet arrived, have expressed their interest in having this kind of assistance. | | | | | |
| 3. Harmonization of requirements for registration, labeling, packing and disposal of unused pesticides | Costa Rica | 7 | 7 | 1 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | |
| | Uruguay | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | Argentina | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 |
| (regional) | | | | | |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Country</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Frequency</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Participants</u> |
|---|-------------------|------------|--|--------------|---------------------|
| | Paraguay | | To be determined | | |
| | Cuba | | To be determined | | |
| | Ecuador | 12 | (regional) | 12 | 2 |
| | Panama | 3 | (sub-reg.) | 3 | 1 |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | | To be determined | | |
| | El Salvador | | To be determined | | |
| | Venezuela | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 4. Harmonization of criteria and methodology for the setting of tolerance levels | Argentina | 3 | 3 (regional) | 9 | 4 |
| | El Salvador | 6 | 2 | 12 | |
| | Uruguay | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | Peru | | To be determined | | |
| | Costa Rica | 11 | (regional) | 11 | 1 |
| | Paraguay | | To be determined | | |
| | Ecuador | | To be determined | | |
| | Panama | 3 | | 3 | 8 |
| 5. Harmonization of requirements and analysis techniques for residues and quality control | Argentina | 2 | 2 m/m 1st year 2 m/m 2nd year 2 m/m 3rd year | 6 | 3 |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | | To be determined | | |
| | Panama | 6 | 3 m/m 1st year 3 m/m 2nd year | 6 | 5 |
| | Ecuador | 12 | 6 m/m 1st year 2 m/m 2nd year 2 m/m 3rd year 2 m/m 4th year | 12 | 2 |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Country</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Frequency</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Participants</u> |
|--|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Paraguay | | To be determined | | |
| | Costa Rica | 13 | 7 m/m 1st year 6 m/m 2nd year | 13 | 3 |
| | Peru | | To be determined | | |
| | Venezuela | 20 | - | 20 | 2 |
| | Uruguay | 18 | | 18 | 6 |
| | El Salvador | 12 | | 12 | |
| 6. Establishment of a statistical information processing and data bank | El Salvador | 6 | | 6 | |
| | Uruguay | 18 | | 18 | 4 |
| | Peru | | To be determined | | |
| | Costa Rica | 2 | | 2 | 1 |
| | Cuba | | To be determined | | |
| | Paraguay | | To be determined | | |
| | Ecuador | 12 | | 12 | 2 |
| 7. Market analysis - trends and commercialization | Panama | 12 | | 12 | 1 |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | | To be determined | | |
| | Argentina | 6 | 2 | 12 | 4 |
| | Panama | 3 | | 3 | 2 |
| | Ecuador | 12 | | 12 | 2 |
| | Paraguay | | To be determined | | |
| | Costa Rica | 3 | | 3 | 1 |
| | Peru | | To be determined | | |
| | El Salvador | 6 | | 6 | |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Country</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Frequency</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Participants</u> | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| 8. Evaluation of biological efficacy | Uruguay | 6 | | 6 | 3 | |
| | Venezuela | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 | |
| | Trinidad & Tobago | To be determined | | | | |
| 9. Commercial restrictions (legal) | Argentina | 4 | 3 | 12 | 4 | |
| | Costa Rica | 1 | (regional) | 1 | 1 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | | |
| | El Salvador | 12 | | 12 | | |
| 10. Industrial Policy | El Salvador | 3 | 2 | 6 | | |
| | Argentina | 12 | | 12 | 2 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | | |
| 11. Agricultural Economy: Determination of economical damage levels. Cost/benefit analysis | Argentina | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | |
| 12. Toxicological evaluation | Trinidad & Tobago | To be determined | | | | |
| | Uruguay | 12 | 1 | 12 | 2 | |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | | |
| | Paraguay | To be determined | | | | |

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Country</u> | <u>m/m</u> | <u>Frequency</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Participants</u> |
|---|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 13. Education and extension | Venezuela | 7 | 2 | 14 | 2 |
| | Peru | To be determined | | | |
| 14. Integrated control and application techniques | Costa Rica | 3 | | 6 | 2 |

#####