



### **OCCASION**

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



### **DISCLAIMER**

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

### FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

### **CONTACT**

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

7.EN-3--

21813

# REPORT

# ENUGU, NIGERIA

UNIDO Project No
DG/NIG/95/035
Technical Assistance to SON

Carole M L Atkinson

Come to accom

**UKAS Training Manager** 

**SUBJECT:** 

**UKAS Assessor Training Course** 

DATES:

18 - 22 November 1996

## **CONTENTS**

| 1.0  | INTRODUCT | ION                                  |
|------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| 2.0  | COURSE PR | OGRAMME                              |
| 3.0  | COURSE DE | ELEGATES                             |
| 4.0  | APPRAISAL | OF DELEGATES                         |
| 5.0  | CONCLUSIO | ON AND RECOMMENDATIONS               |
| APPE | NDIX 1    | Course Programme                     |
| APPE | NDIX 2    | Course Appraisal                     |
| APPE | ENDIX 3   | Course Delegates                     |
| APPE | NDIX 4    | Appraisal of Delegates               |
| APPE | NDIX 5    | <b>Examination Marks</b>             |
| APPE | NDIX 6    | <b>Example of Course Certificate</b> |

# UKAS/NAMAS ASSESSOR TRAINING COURSE Prepared and Conducted By United Kingdom Accreditation Service Enugu, Nigeria 18 - 22 November 1996

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 A residential assessor training course was provided and conducted by the United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) to fulfil UNIDO Project NO DG/NIG/95/035, Contract No 96/185P. The course was held at the Nike Lake Hotel in Enugu during the week 18 22 November 1996. The course tutors were Carole M L Atkinson and Gordon McGregor from the United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS). The delegates for the course had been selected by the Standards Office of Nigeria (SON) in conjunction with UNIDO representatives. The venue had been selected to enable a visit to the SON laboratory at Enugu,
- 1.2 All course material, including overhead viewfoils, was in English. Lectures and other training sessions were given in English which was also the language used for group work.
- 1.3 Delegates were given copies of all viewfoils used and copies of the following NAMAS documents were given to each delegate:
  - P8 Agreements and Cooperations EAL Brochure EAL Publications
  - M51 Quality Audit and Quality System Review in Calibration and Testing Laboratories

In addition each delegate was given a handout detailing the differences between ISO9000 and ISO/IEC Guide 25 and a copy of the UKAS update dealing with the position of UKAS in the UK with regard to Government recognition as the national accreditation body.

#### 2.0 COURSE PROGRAMME

- 2.1 The assessor training course was based on that run in the UK, but with the Standard forming the basis of the course being ISO/IEC Guide 25 and not NAMAS document M10. (These two standards are essentially the same). With the advent of a new edition of ISO Guide 25, probably sometime next year, areas of difference between the old and the proposed new standard were brought to the attention of the delegates. A copy of the latest draft of the new standard was left with the UNIDO/UNDP representatives. The programme is to be found in Appendix 1.
- 2.2 The course comprised lectures, individual and group exercises, oral presentation sessions and role play. The course is very carefully programmed for four groups of five delegates each. Since there were twenty five delegates on this course, five groups were used to enable all delegates to have appropriate exposure to all exercises. However, this resulted in the course being more pressurised than usual.

- 2.3 The course was further modified by including a visit to the laboratory on the morning of the fourth day. This enabled delegates to take part in some 'real' assessment practice.
- 2.3 A course questionnaire was completed by each delegate. Copies of the replies are attached to the end of this report and a precis of their content is to be found in Appendix 2.

### 3.0 COURSE DELEGATES

- 3.1 The delegates for the assessor training course were grouped as in the UK, by mixing disciplines so that neighbouring delegates were of different expertise. However, this selection was modified to allow each group to have one delegate from the SON laboratory in Enugu and at least one delegate who had attended the course in Ibadan in 1995. As has already been mentioned there were twenty five delegates rather than the more manageable twenty for which the course was designed. A list of delegates for the course is to be found in Appendix 3.
- 3.2 There was just one change to the list of delegates provided before the course.

### 4.0 APPRAISAL OF DELEGATES

- 4.1 There was an open book examination towards the end of the course, the results of which are in Appendix 5. It can be seen that all delegates achieved acceptable marks showing good understanding of the ISO/IEC Guide 25. In addition, each course delegate was assessed continuously by the tutors for both knowledge of the accreditation standard and for human aspects of assessment. Individual written exercises were marked. During the UK course the role play of a final meeting between management and assessors provides a significant part of the overall assessment of the human aspects of the delegates. This was the case here, and two more role play exercises were introduced to give the delegates practice in dealing with management.
- 4.2 The marked exercises and the continuous assessment were used by the tutors to enable them to assess each participant. This judgement is based on just the one week of contact between participants and tutors and would, therefore, be expected to be a conservative appraisal. Final decisions on the future use of delegates as assessors must rest with the Nigerian Accreditation Body.
- 4.3 Each delegate attending the assessor training course has been appraised for suitability to be an assessor or lead assessor. (See Appendix 4). Although no individual details are given for the delegates, it must be said that all delegates were extremely conscientious and completed all exercises well. Despite the change in programme to allow for the laboratory visit, for three of the four evenings the delegates completed their work by dinner at 19.30 hours. Participation varied a little, but those participants identified as lead assessors were generally more interactive than other delegates.

4.4 Delegates at the course have been sent attendance certificates via Jiri Sorbola. An example is to be found in Appendix 6. However, it must be re-emphasised that delegates are not assessors until they have been contracted and demonstrated that they are competent through participation in actual assessments. The final decision on any delegate acting/continuing to act as an assessor must rest with the Accreditation Body.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 5.1 The course was well received by the delegates as is evidenced by the replies on the questionnaires. All participants worked enthusiastically and showed good understanding of the concepts of quality assurance as applied to laboratories. However, as with the last course, there was some suggestion that the course was too short and that there was no spare time.
- 5.2 Once again it is suggested that the trainee assessors produced by this course be used as soon as possible for assessment work. Since all delegates came from SON laboratories they should be able to set up their own quality systems in accordance with ISO/IEC Guide 25.

### APPENDIX 1: COURSE PROGRAMME

## ASSESSOR TRAINING COURSE

## provided for

## THE STANDARDS ORGANISATION

**OF** 

**NIGERIA** 

on

18 - 22 November 1996

at

Enugu, Nigeria

Course sponsored by

**UNIDO** 

Course prepared and conducted by
UNITED KINGDOM ACCREDITATION SERVICE

**Course tutors:** 

**Mrs Carole Atkinson** 

Mr G McGregor

### Monday

09.00 Introduction and briefing for course

Background to accreditation and the international scene

Route to accreditation

Introduction to ISO Guide 25

Exercise 1: ISO Guide 25 requirements

~19.30

Evening activity Familiarisation with ISO Guide 25

**Tuesday** 

08.30 Exercise 1: Report back

Review of quality system documentation

Exercise 2a: Documentation review

Preparation for, and the human aspects of, the preassessment visit

Exercise 2b: Preassessment visit

Exercise 2: Role play report back

~19.30

Evening activity Familiarisation with NAMAS document M51

Wednesday

08.30 Preparation for, and the human aspects of, the assessment visit

introductory meeting

Exercise 3: Introductory meeting

Exercise 3: Role play report back

Conduct and human aspects of the technical assessment

Quality audit and Quality system review

Exercise 4: Quality audit records

Exercise 4: Report back

Exercise 5: Calibration and Traceability

Exercise 5: Report back

~19.30

Evening activity Prepare for laboratory visit

Breaks/Meals 10.40 - 11.00 13.00 - 14.00 16.00 - 16.20 19.30

### Thursday

08.30

Laboratory visit for practice assessment

Non compliance reporting

Exercise 6: Observation/non-compliance forms

Preparation for, and human aspects of the assessment visit final

meeting

Exercise 7: ISO Guide 25 requirements - test

Exercise 8: Preparation for final meeting

~19.30

Friday

08.30

Final meeting presentations

Exercise 8: Report back on final meeting presentations

Exercise 6: Report back

Exercise 7: Report back

Open discussion

Close of course

~16.30

Breaks/Meals

10.40 - 11.00

13.00 - 14.00

16.00 - 16.20

19.30

### APPENDIX 2: COURSE APPRAISAL

### A2.0 ASSESSOR TRAINING COURSE

- A2.1 Twenty five delegates and the UNIDO officer, returned completed questionnaires on the course. Copies of these appraisals are attached to the back of this report.
- A2.2 Generally the speed and duration of the course was considered good or very good by most of the delegates. Those that considered it adequate implied that they would have preferred a longer course.
- A2.3 All but one of the delegates thought that the quality of the lecturers was very good. the exception thought they were good.
- A2.4 The course material was considered very good (17), good (8) or adequate (1).
- A2.5 Overall the course stated to be very good (12) or good (14).
- A2.6 The course venue satisfied all, with 8 delegates deeming it adequate, 8 good and the rest very good.
- A2.7 The comments on the questionnaires suggest that the roleplay sessions were beneficial as was the visit to the laboratory.

### **APPENDIX 3: COURSE DELEGATES**

### Assessor Training Course Enugu, Nigeria 18 - 22 November 1996

### List of Delegates

Mr Dalhatu

Mr John Achukwu

Mr Abubakar Adamu

Mr Nelson Adebiyi

Mr Richard Adewumi

Mrs Nonyelum Adinnu

Dr John Akanya

Mrs Yeside Akinlabi

Mr Ojo Akogun

Mr George Alechenu

Mr Achema Alewu

Mr Fidelis Azogu

Mr Adams Ekele

Mrs Talatu Ethan

Mr Adisa Kareem

Mr Chike Makwe

Mr Tajudeem Matti

Mr Stephen Niyi

Mr Paul Oke

Mr Charles Okoro

Mr Williams Opkeh

Mr Musibau Popoola

Mr Samson Salifu

Mrs Patricia Solarin

Miss Sarpiya Yoila

### APPENDIX 4: APPRAISAL OF DELEGATES

Mr Dalhatu Good Assessor Mr John Achukwu Lead Assessor? Mr Abubakar Adamu Lead Assessor? Mr Nelson Adebiyi Good Assessor Mr Richard Adewumi Lead Assessor? Mrs Nonyelum Adinnu Good Assessor Dr John Akanya Lead Assessor Mrs Yeside Akinlabi Lead assessor? Mr Ojo Akogun Good Assessor

Mr George Alechenu Assessor

Mr Achema Alewu Good Assessor
Mr Fidelis Azogu Lead Assessor?
Mr Adams Ekele Good Assessor
Mrs Talatu Ethan Good Assessor
Mr Adisa Kareem Good Assessor
Mr Chike Makwe Good Assessor

Mr Tajudeem Matti Assessor

Mr Stephen Niyi Good Assessor
Mr Paul Oke Good Assessor
Mr Charles Okoro Lead Assessor?
Mr Williams Opkeh Good Assessor
Mr Musibau Popoola Assessor? \*
Mr Samson Salifu Good Assessor

Mrs Patricia Solarin Lead Assessor?

Miss Sarpiya Yoila Assessor? \*\*

Delegate was not very active and tutors were unable to objectively assess potential. However, there were no indications that the delegate was unsuitable.

Delegate performed reasonably well in exercises, but the human aspects displayed

may cause problems in real assessments. Would need a strong lead assessor if

used.

Assessor: Showed qualities required of an assessor.

Good assessor: Showed all the qualities required of a good assessor with ability to interact well with

management.

Lead assessor?: As for good assessor, but also demonstrated some leadership skills.

Lead Assessor: Showed the qualities required of a lead assessor with ability to lead and interact

with a difficult management.

Assessor? \*\*:

Assessor? \*

## **APPENDIX 5: EXAMINATION MARKS (%)**

|                      | %  |
|----------------------|----|
| Mr Dalhatu           | 88 |
| Mr John Achukwu      | 90 |
| Mr Abubakar Adamu    | 88 |
| Mr Nelson Adebiyi    | 90 |
| Mr Richard Adewumi   | 93 |
| Mrs Nonyelum Adinnu  | 90 |
| Dr John Akanya       | 80 |
| Mrs Yeside Akinlabi  | 90 |
| Mr Ojo Akogun        | 80 |
| Mr George Alechenu   | 90 |
| Mr Achema Alewu      | 70 |
| Mr Fidelis Azogu     | 90 |
| Mr Adams Ekele       | 90 |
| Mrs Talatu Ethan     | 78 |
| Mr Adisa Kareem      | 78 |
| Mr Chike Makwe       | 90 |
| Mr Tajudeem Matti    | 73 |
| Mr Stephen Niyi      | 73 |
| Mr Paul Oke          | 60 |
| Mr Charles Okoro     | 78 |
| Mr Williams Opkeh    | 88 |
| Mr Musibau Popoola   | 85 |
| Mr Samson Salifu     | 95 |
| Mrs Patricia Solarin | 90 |
| Miss Sarpiva Yoila   | 63 |

# United Kingdom Accreditation Service

# ATTENDANCE CERTIFICATE



# ASSESSOR TRAINING COURSE

Enugu, Nigeria 18 - 22 November **1996** 

This is to certify that

EXAMPLE

Attended the above training course

Signed \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_

(on behalf of Chief Executive, UKAS)