



**TOGETHER**  
*for a sustainable future*

## OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



**TOGETHER**  
*for a sustainable future*

## DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as “developed”, “industrialized” and “developing” are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.


## FAIR USE POLICY


Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

## CONTACT

Please contact [publications@unido.org](mailto:publications@unido.org) for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at [www.unido.org](http://www.unido.org)





3.2

3.6

4

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIAL 1010  
APR 1963 (REV. 12-13-62)



13634-E



Distr.  
LIMITED

ID/WG.421/4  
4 May 1984

ENGLISH

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

---

Plenipotentiary Meeting on the Establishment  
of the International Centre for Genetic  
Engineering and Biotechnology

Vienna, Austria, 3-4 April 1984

ICGEB.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE

AT ITS SESSION HELD ON 3 APRIL 1984\*

2152

---

\* This document has been reproduced without formal editing.

V.84-85689

41815  
1000

CONTENTS

	<u>Paragraph</u>	<u>Page</u>
CONCLUSIONS	1 - 7	1 - 2
- Agenda Item 5	2 - 3	1
- Agenda Item 6	4	1
- Agenda Item 7 and 8	5	2
- Agenda Item 9	6 - 7	2
ANNEX I: Letters to the Chairman of the Preparatory Committee from the Delegations of Egypt, Pakistan, Spain, Thailand and Tunisia		3 - 15
ANNEX II: Statement of the Delegation of Spain		16 - 17
ANNEX III: List of Participants		18 - 24
ANNEX IV: Agenda		25
ANNEX V: List of Documents		26

CONCLUSIONS

1. The Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB) continued, on 2 April 1984, the examination of the items on the agenda adopted by it at its first session and arrived at the following conclusions:

Agenda Item 7

Implementation of Madrid Resolution, Particularly Relating to the Decision to be Taken on the Location of the Centre

2. Further to its decision contained in paragraph 27 of its report (document ID/WG.421/2) the Preparatory Committee attempted to reach a consensus to accommodate the points of view of some countries. Those attempts were not successful.

3. In the light of the above, the recommendation on the location of the Centre contained in paragraph 27 of the report of the Preparatory Committee is transmitted for consideration and decision by the Plenipotentiary Meeting to be held on 3 April 1984.

Agenda Item 6

Matters Relating to the Establishment of Affiliated Centres and Networks

4. A representative of the Secretariat made a statement on this item. Delegations from Cuba, Venezuela and Yugoslavia indicated their intention to host affiliated centres and stated that they would transmit their offers to the Secretariat. They emphasized the importance attached to the affiliated centres as an integral part of the work of the ICGEB. The representative of Greece reiterated the importance attached by his Government to this matter and expressed readiness to provide the details of its offer within 6 weeks subject to a satisfactory final decision being taken by the Plenipotentiary Meeting. The delegation of Egypt indicated that it was premature to proceed with the discussion of the subject pending a decision on the structure of the Centre.

Agenda Items 7 and 8

Programme of Work of the Preparatory Committee and Financial Matters Concerning (a) Work Related to Arriving at a Decision on the Location of the Centre and (b) Further Programme of Work of the Preparatory Committee

---

5. It was decided to postpone the discussions of these items to a later session of the Preparatory Committee. The Secretariat reminded delegations of contributions requested to cover the cost of the sessions of the Preparatory Committee as well as the cost of the current meeting and requested them to arrange to transmit their share of the payments at the earliest possible opportunity.

Agenda Item 9

Other Matters

6. A request was made that the letters addressed to the Chairman of the Preparatory Committee by the delegations of Egypt, Pakistan, Spain, Thailand and Tunisia following the issuance of its report (document ID/WG.421/2), be annexed to the conclusions. Accordingly, those letters are contained in Annex I.

7. The representative of Spain made a statement on the position of his Government on the ICGB and the forthcoming Plenipotentiary Meeting and requested that his statement be attached as annex to the conclusions. Accordingly, his statement is contained in Annex II.

ANNEX I

LETTERS TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PREPARATORY COMMITTEE  
FROM THE DELEGATIONS OF:

- Egypt
- Pakistan
- Spain
- Thailand
- Tunisia



GENEVA  
28 February 1984

Mr. Chairman,

I have the honour to refer to the letter ID/452/62 dated 13th February 1984, attaching the report of the Preparatory Committee of 27th January 1984, (Doc. ICGEB/Prep.Comm./2/4), and in this regard as our delegation together with several other delegations were not present neither at the voting referred to in Paragraph 27, nor at the adoption of the report, I wish to make the following observations:

1) The Preparatory Committee at its First and Second Meeting was mandated by the Madrid Ministerial-level Plenipotentiary Meeting to "examine the location of the Centre in different locations and components ...", and in view of our delegation the Committee has not fulfilled this mandate at its Second Meeting, but reversed to the situation prevailing prior to the Madrid Decision.

2) The Secretariat in its report has exceeded its mandate, which was confined only to prepare a report "on the financial implications and the most economical means of the establishment of the Centre in multiple components", and has ventured to express opinions which were not within its mandate, and which influenced the deliberations of the Committee.

3) The informal meeting of Scientists has not, at any stage of its deliberations, fulfilled its mandate which was to apply the criteria agreed upon by consensus in the First Meeting of the Preparatory Committee to the offers made to host the components of the ICGEB, upon the request of the First Meeting of the Preparatory Committee.

EMBASSY  
OF THE  
ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

VIENNA

4) Although it was obvious that no "extreme necessity" existed, the Committee chose to proceed to vote on the matter under discussion, contrary to its prior consensus, and in contradiction with the text and spirit of paragraph E of Doc. ID/EG/397/6 and against the repeated appeals to it not resort to vote.

5) Furthermore, no criteria, scientific or otherwise, was applied to the choice of locations of the ICGEB which has been proposed and voted upon, as mentioned in para. 27 of the report.

For the above-mentioned considerations, the delegation of Egypt has not taken part in the voting nor in the adoption of the report.

Should the Egyptian delegation decide to participate in the Plenipotentiary Ministerial Meeting, it will consider itself bound only by the conclusions reached by consensus in the First Session of the Preparatory Committee.

May I ask you, Mr. Chairman, to kindly circulate this letter to all signatories of the Statute of the ICGEB as an official document of the Plenipotentiary Meeting.

I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the assurances of my highest consideration.

Mohamed El-Taher Shash

  
Ambassador

H.E.  
Dr. Adolfo Raul Taylhardat  
Ambassador of Venezuela  
Chairman of the Preparatory Committee on the  
Establishment of International Centre for  
Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology  
V i e n n a

IMMEDIATE

PERMANENT MISSION OF PAKISTAN  
TO THE INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS  
VIENNA (AUSTRIA)



No.07(10)/80-AE.

09 March 1984.

Mr. Chairman,

This Mission has received a copy of the report, ICGEB/Prep. Comm./2/4 dated 8 February 1984, sent by the UNIDO Secretariat alongwith its letter No. ID 452/62 of 13 February 1984, on the meetings of the Preparatory Committee on the establishment of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Bio-technology.

We have carefully considered the contents of this report and wish to convey to you the following comments:

1. We believe that the report of the Preparatory Committee has failed to reflect the views expressed in favour of a multi-component ICGEB on considerations of technical and financial aspects involved and the potential benefits which would accrue through enlargement of participation of countries interested in hosting components of this Centre.
2. The Preparatory Committee has failed to examine properly the location of the Centre in different locations and components which it was required to do under the mandate given by the Madrid Ministerial Level Plenipotentiary Meeting. This fact was brought to the notice of the Preparatory Committee by our delegation alongwith many other delegations during its second session from January 24-27, 1984.

( Contd. ....P/2 )


3. Contrary to the procedure of consensus for decision making as agreed upon in the first meeting of the Preparatory Committee, a divisive procedure of voting was resorted to without giving a fair chance to those proposals which were aimed at reaching a compromise. We believe, that this procedure was not only contrary to the agreed upon principle of consensus as a basis for taking decisions on this matter but would also have strong negative consequences for the establishment of the ICGEB itself. On these considerations, Pakistan and several other countries, who had made some commendable efforts for arriving at an agreed consensus, could not make themselves a party to the forced voting or to the results of such voting.

4. Pakistan delegation feels that under these circumstances no useful purpose will be served by holding the scheduled plenipotentiary meeting to discuss a decision which does not have the full backing of an already small house of 28 members. The house is split at this stage and any decision forced by the plenipotentiaries could prove to be counter-productive. It is our considered opinion that in the larger interest of international cooperation and promotion of this new and promising technology in the service of developing countries, it would be desirable to postpone the plenipotentiary meeting from the first week of April to a suitable later date. In the meantime a special meeting of the potential host countries or of the Prepcom may be convened to facilitate

consultations among interested signatory states with a view to finding a solution acceptable to all so that the spirit of collaboration can be insured from the very beginning of the establishment of the ICGEB.

May I request you, Mr. Chairman, to kindly circulate this letter to all signatories of the Statutes of the ICGEB, and to also issue this as an official document for the next meeting of the Preparatory Committee.

I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to your Excellency the assurances of my highest consideration.



( Syed Ahmad Pasha )  
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary,  
Permanent Representative of Pakistan to UNIDO.

His Excellency,  
Dr. Adolfo Raul Taylhardat,  
Ambassador of Venezuela,  
Chairman of the Preparatory Committee  
on the Establishment of International  
Centre for Genetic Engineering and  
Biotechnology,  
C/O. The Permanent Mission of Venezuela,  
Marokkanergasse 22,  
A-1030 Vienna.



REPRESENTACIÓN PERMANENTE DE ESPAÑA  
CERCA DE LAS ORGANIZACIONES INTERNACIONALES

VIENA

No. 16

Vienna, 2 March 1984

H.E.  
Mr. A.R. Taylhardat  
Chairman  
Preparatory Committee  
International Centre for  
Genetic Engineering and  
Biotechnology

Dear Mr. President,

With reference to the "Report of the Preparatory Committee to the Plenipotentiary Meeting" (ICGEB/ Prep.Comm/2/4), dated 8 February 1984, I have the honour to inform you of my Government's opinion.

2.- The competent Spanish authorities cannot accept the Report in question as a working document for the Meeting of Plenipotentiaries scheduled for 3 April 1984. The Delegation of Spain left the Preparatory Committee before the voting and did not participate in the vote, nor did it take part in the rest of the Second Meeting, as is recorded in paragraph 25 of the Report. Consequently, the Delegation of Spain did not adopt the Report.

3.- The Resolution (Doc.ID.WG.397/9, Annex A) on the Preparatory Committee, adopted by the Ministerial Plenipotentiary Meeting of Madrid, establishes that the "Committee shall be composed of one representative from each of the signatory States" and that "the representative shall preferably have a background in fields relevant to the planned activities of the Centre".

Unfortunately, not only did many delegations participating in the meetings of the Preparatory Committee not have a biotechnologist as Representative, but

some did not even include a single scientist. The result was that a discussion of generalities prevailed in the meetings, which made any systematic, scientific and economic analysis impossible, and, consequently, the Report fails to provide a rationale for the proposal put to the vote (paragraph 27 of the Report). Furthermore, the proposal did not correspond to the mandate within the framework of which the offers were submitted - a mandate that the Committee had agreed by consensus at its first meeting.

4.- In order to decide whether Spain will be able to participate in the Meeting of Plenipotentiaries the competent Spanish authorities wish to know whether it is possible, before holding that Meeting, for a Special Meeting of the Preparatory Committee to be convened in which each Signatory State would be represented by a scientist, as foreseen in the Madrid Resolution, or whether by any other procedure guaranteeing<sup>a</sup> competent scientific and economic analysis the Spanish offer for a component of the Centre - self-financed and, in the opinion of the Spanish authorities, scientifically viable - could be seriously evaluated.

5.- If it should prove impossible for the Spanish offer to be properly understood and fairly evaluated, so that Spain felt obligated not to participate in the Meeting of Plenipotentiaries, Spain would limit its financial contribution to the Centre to a symbolic amount, if any, and it would proceed with the development (already in progress) of a National Centre for Biotechnology with a pronounced international vocation, open to cooperation with any interested country.

6.- In order to facilitate a balanced consideration of their concerns in this matter, the Spanish authorities propose to the Signatory States that the Meeting for 3 April 1984 have the character of a Special Meeting of the Preparatory Committee, in which each Signatory State

Cont'd let.No.16/2.3.1984


would be represented by a scientist, to allow for thorough consultations among interested Signatory States, before holding the Meeting of Plenipotenciaries.

7.- I ask you, Mr. Chairman, to be so kind as to circulate this letter, as an official document of the Preparatory Committee, to all Signatory States.

Please, accept, Mr. Chairman, the assurances of my most distinguished consideration.



THE RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE

  
Enrique Suárez de Puga  
Ambassador





ROYAL THAI EMBASSY  
VIENNA

No. 436/2527

26 March 1984

Mr. Chairman,

I have the honour to refer to the letter No.ID/452/62 dated 13 February 1984, enclosing the Report of the Preparatory Committee adopted on 27 January 1984 (Doc.ICGEB/Prep.Comm.2/4) and to inform you that the said Report is not acceptable to Thailand.

1. The said Report takes no account of the Report of the selected Committee (ID/WG.397/1) which recommends Thailand as one of the three suitable locations for ICGEB.

2. The Second Preparatory Committee has not fulfilled the mandate of Madrid Ministerial-level Plenipotentiary Meeting which instructed the Preparatory Committee to "examine the location of the Centre in different locations and components ..."

3. The informal meeting of scientists during the Second Preparatory Committee did not fulfill the mandate to apply the criteria agreed upon by consensus in the First Preparatory Committee to the offers made to host the components of the ICGEB.

4. It must be added that no scientific criteria were applied to the choice of locations of the ICGEB which had been proposed and voted upon, as mentioned in para 27 of the report.

5. Contrary to its prior consensus and in contradiction to the text and spirit of para E of document ID/WG.397/6, the Committee chose to resort to voting as a means to resolve the issue on location of ICGEB. In objection to this hasty decision by voting, the delegation of Thailand walked out of the Second Preparatory Committee Meeting.

May I request you, Mr. Chairman, to be kind enough to circulate this letter to all signatories of the Statute of the ICGEB as an official document of the Third Preparatory Committee and of the Plenipotentiary Meetings.

I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the assurances of my highest consideration.

*Suchati Chuthasmit*

( Dr. Suchati Chuthasmit )  
Ambassador of Thailand

H.E. Dr. Adolfo Rual Taylhardat,  
Ambassador of Venezuela,  
Chairman of the Preparatory Committee on  
the Establishment of International Centre for  
Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology,  
Vienna

Embassy of Tunisia  
Vienna

Vienna, 16 March 1984

Sir,

The Permanent Mission of Tunisia in Vienna has, under cover of letter ID 452/62 of 13 February 1984 from the Secretariat of UNIDO, received the report ICGEB/Prep. Com. 2/4 by the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. In this connection the Permanent Mission wishes to offer the following observations.

The second session of the Preparatory Committee held at Vienna from 24 to 27 January 1984 followed neither the letter nor the spirit of the conclusions adopted by consensus at its first session held on 24 November 1983. For:

1. Paragraph 1 (agenda item 5) of the conclusions of the first session states that: "the Committee agreed that the establishment of a Centre with multiple locations should be pursued, within the framework of the Statutes signed at Madrid ...". This recommendation has been totally ignored.

2. Paragraph 4 of the same document ICGEB/Prep. Com. 1/5 invited the Governments of the seven countries to submit documentation analysing their offers with reference to the criteria described in paragraph 1 of the document. On that basis the Tunisian Government renewed its offer, raising it to approximately 27 million dollars. This offer has not been studied by the Committee.

3. The divergent points of view put forward during the informal meeting of scientists have not been faithfully reflected in the report, and the statements at the meeting were very often made by delegates who had no scientific qualifications; the work of the meeting therefore did not assume the technical character specified in its terms of reference.

H.E.  
Dr. Adolfo Raul Taylhardat  
Ambassador, Permanent Representative of Venezuela  
Chairman of the Preparatory Committee on the  
Establishment of the ICGEB  
Marokkanergasse 22  
1030 Vienna

4. The constructive proposal for a compromise put forward by the Executive Director of UNIDO in CRP/10, although it was supported by a number of delegations including that of Tunisia, has been simply passed over in silence in the report.

5. One group of countries unfortunately forced a vote on document CRP/13, the first paragraph of which originally referred erroneously to paragraph 51 of the Belgrade report and to paragraphs 44 and 81'4' of the Madrid report, and likewise to paragraph C of the Preparatory Committee's resolution - a state of affairs that was of course likely to mislead ill-informed delegates. Although it was subsequently amended, this document merely illustrates the turn taken by the discussions, and involves the responsibility of its authors.

6. The so-called vote and the choice resulting therefrom were in no way based on any kind of comparative study of the technical or financial merits of the seven offers put forward.

7. Following this chain of "aberrations", the Tunisian delegation reserved the right to reconsider its offer, after having drawn your attention to the importance of reaching decisions by consensus. The delegation preferred not to participate in the vote and not to assume any responsibility for the failure of a substantial project which was originally meant to be a serious, valuable and joint effort and to which Tunisia had wished to make a generous contribution.

In the light of the foregoing it would be prudent to defer the date of the meeting of the Plenipotentiaries, at present set for 3 April 1984, in order to permit fresh consultations.

I should be obliged if you would kindly proceed to distribute this letter to all signatories of the Statutes of the ICGEB as an official document for the meeting of the Plenipotentiaries or, as appropriate, for a forthcoming meeting of the Preparatory Committee.

Accept, Sir, the assurances of my highest consideration.

(Signed) Ahmed GHEZAL  
Ambassador, Permanent Representative  
of Tunisia to UNIDO

ANNEX II

Statement of the Delegation of Spain

Mr. Chairman,

I want to make a statement on the position of Spain with regard to the ICGEB.

Taking into consideration the aims of the foreign policy of Spain and also our permanent intentions and activities to encourage the transfer of technology and resources from developed to developing countries, my Government has been in favour of the initiative of UNIDO in order to establish a Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. That is why my Government agreed that the Ministerial-Level Plenipotentiary Meeting be held at Madrid. That was the case last September.

As a second proof of our goodwill for the idea, my Government made on that occasion a generous offer of US\$ 15 million in addition to other facilities to cover the expenses of the Centre in concessionary terms which substantially would be used to subsidize the Centre at Madrid. Later on our scientists participated continuously in the decisions of the Preparatory Committee during its two sessions held in the months of November 1983 and January 1984.

Our delegation has been trying to reach a compromise solution during both parts of the Preparatory Committee based on the following four points:

1. The Centre is politically of the utmost importance.
2. The Centre has to be a Centre of excellence and the host countries have to bear major financial burden of its functioning.
3. The multiple location is not the most expensive in terms of asking larger budget to be shared among the signatory countries of the Statutes. On the contrary, it has been demonstrated that the more countries that participate, the more resources you can put to work with less cost (per country).
4. The Centre should not be a controversial issue among the developing countries and the developed countries, but, on the contrary, it has to be a common project in favour of the global effort for biotechnology. The course of events has gone in a different direction.

The two component centre was a formula voted upon, i.e. abandoning the principle of consensus. That situation raised serious objections about the reasons why the offer made by my Government was not taken into consideration. The Spanish offer was

- (a) scientifically impeccable;
- (b) financially viable;
- (c) politically acceptable.

So we made a last attempt to reach a consensus presenting a draft accompanying four more countries in the informal meeting that was held today. We thank the 10 countries that considered our paper a basis from which to reach a consensus before the Plenipotentiary Meeting of tomorrow.

Under these conditions I have instructions that my delegation will not be present at the Plenipotentiary Meeting. This attitude does not mean that Spain withdraws from their continuous policy of co-operation with the developing countries. On the contrary, I am advised to announce that the Spanish Centre, which is already functioning, shall be available to co-operate in the field of genetic engineering and biotechnology.

Mr. Chairman, in this lengthy discussion we must say that at a certain point of time we almost had a consensus about the Centre, its locations and the division of the tasks, but the spirit of that time has not been maintained. Spain does not withdraw from the consensus achieved at that time.

I would like to state that my delegation will only be present in an observer capacity at the Plenipotentiary Meeting tomorrow.

ANNEX III

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

AFGHANISTAN

M. M. Akbar Kherad, Chargé d'Affaires de la Mission permanente de la République démocratique d'Afghanistan auprès de l'office Européen des Nations Unies, Genève

ALGERIA

S.E. M. Abdelaziz Benhassine, Ambassadeur extraordinaire et plénipotentiaire, Représentant permanent de l'Algérie auprès de l'ONUDI, Vienne

M. Ahmed Oucif, Conseiller, Mission permanente de l'Algérie, Vienne

ARGENTINA

S.E. Sr. Juan Carlos Beltramino, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente de la Argentina ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Luis Alcon Palus, Ministro Plenipotenciario, Misión Permanente de la Argentina ante la ONUDI, Viena

BULGARIA

Mr. Christo Popov, Minister Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Bulgaria to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. Ludmil Nikolov, Deputy Director, Research Centre of Biotechnology

Mr. Jivko Tchoubriev, Adviser to the President of the State Committee on Science and Technical Progress

CHILE

S.E. Sr. Carlos Derpsch Bartsch, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente de Chile ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Juan E. Aguirre Chateau, Consejero, Misión Permanente de Chile ante la ONUDI, Viena

CHINA

H.E. Mr. Wang Shu, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of China to Austria, Embassy of China, Vienna

Mr. Zhang Xianwu, Permanent Representative of China to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. Pan Baozheng, Division Head, State Commission of Science and Technology

Mr. Fu Xijie, Adviser to the Permanent Representative of China to UNIDO, Vienna

CUBA

S.E. Sr. Gustavo Mazorra Hernández, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente de Cuba ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Pedro A. Lopez Saura, Sub-Director, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas

Sr. Octavio Castilla, Representante Permanente Alterno, Misión Permanente de Cuba ante la ONUDI, Viena

EGYPT

Mr. Mostafa M. Elgabaly, President, Council for Food and Agricultural Research, Academy of Scientific Research and Technology

Mr. Mohab Mokbel, Minister Plenipotentiary, Embassy of Egypt, Vienna

GREECE

H.E. Mr. George Cladakis, Ambassador of Greece, Permanent Representative of Greece to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. Eleftherios Douvos, Second Secretary, Alternate Permanent Representative of Greece to UNIDO, Embassy of Greece, Vienna

Mr. George Tretzos, Scientific Adviser, Ministry of Research and Technology

INDIA

Mr. Srinivasan Varadarajan, Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India

H.E. Mr. S.K. Singh, Ambassador of India to Austria, Permanent Representative of India to the United Nations Organizations, Vienna

Mr. S. Ramachandran, Adviser, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India

Mr. Dilip Lahiri, Director, Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India

Mr. D.K. Jain, First Secretary, Alternate Permanent Representative of India to UNIDO, Vienna

INDONESIA

H.E. Mrs. Artati Sudirdjo, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of the Republic of Indonesia to the United Nations, Vienna

Mr. Is Isnaedi, Counsellor, Permanent Mission of the Republic of Indonesia to the United Nations, Vienna

Mr. Enny Soeprapto, Counsellor, Permanent Mission of the Republic of Indonesia, Vienna



IRAQ

H.E. Mr. Nisham Ibrahim Al-Shawi, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Iraq to UNIDO, Vienna

ITALY

H.E. Mr. Luigi Granelli, Minister for Scientific Research

Mr. Lorenzo Cortese de Bosis, Minister Plenipotentiary, Director General of Cultural Relations, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Mr. Carlo Calia, Counsellor, Department of Development Co-operation, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Mr. Lorenzo Mott, Counsellor, Head of Desk of Scientific Co-operation, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Mr. Guglielmo Castro, Scientific Consultant, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Mr. Italo Rocca, Secretary General of the Ministry of Scientific Research

Mr. Paolo Budinich, Deputy Director, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste

Mr. Domenico Romeo, Adviser to the "Area Research" Society

Mr. Manfredo Incisa di Camerana, First Counsellor, Embassy of Italy, Vienna

Mr. Michelangelo Pipan, Second Secretary, Embassy of Italy, Vienna

MAURITANIA

S.E. M. Nalla Oumar Kane, Ambassadeur de Mauritanie, Bonn, République Fédérale d'Allemagne

MEXICO

S.E. Sr. Roberto de Rosenzweig-Díaz, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente de México ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Leandro Arellano-Resend'z, Secretario, Representante Permanente Alterno de México ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Virgilio S. Blanco, Consejero Agrícola, FAO, Roma

NIGERIA

Mr. Olu Ogunye, Director, Medical and Natural Sciences Department, Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Lagos

NIGERIA

Mr. S.A. Owoeye, Counsellor, Permanent Mission of Nigeria to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. A.S. Ojerinola, Senior First Secretary, Permanent Mission of Nigeria to UNIDO, Vienna

PAKISTAN

H.E. Mr. Syed Ahmad Pasha, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Pakistan to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. Sheikh Riazuddin, Principal Scientific Officer, Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology, Faisalabad

PERU

Sr. Luis Felipe Galvez, Ministro - Consejero, Misión Permanente del Perú ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Enrique Belaúnde Vargas, Segundo Secretario, Misión Permanente del Perú ante la ONUDI, Viena

SPAIN

S.E. Don Enrique Suárez de Puga y Villegas, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente de España ante la ONUDI, Viena

Sr. Emilio-Manuel Lopez-Menchero y Ordoñez, Consejero Industrial, Misión Permanente de España ante la ONUDI, Viena

SUDAN

Mr. Mahmoud Yahya Abd El Galil, Second Secretary, Permanent Mission of Sudan to the United Nations, Geneva

THAILAND

Mr. Pornchai Matangkasombut, Professor, Director, Biotechnology Programme, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok

Mr. Songkram Thamagasorn, Industrial Attaché, Permanent Mission of Thailand to UNIDO, Vienna

Ms. Sirilak Srisukho, Second Secretary, Permanent Mission of Thailand to UNIDO, Vienna

Mr. Nat Chulkaratana, Second Secretary (Industrial), Permanent Mission of Thailand to UNIDO, Vienna

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

Mr. Harold H. Robertson, First Secretary, Permanent Mission of Trinidad and Tobago, Geneva

TUNISIA

M. Mohamed Hédi Ben Redjeb, Ministre plénipotentiaire, Mission permanente de Tunisie auprès de l'ONU, Vienne

VENEZUELA

S.E. Sr. Adolfo Raúl Taylhardat, Embajador de Venezuela en Austria, Representante Permanente ante las Naciones Unidas, Viena

Sra. Delma Miralles, Primer Secretario y Asesor del Representante Permanente de Venezuela ante las Naciones Unidas, Viena

YUGOSLAVIA

Mr. Miljenko Zrelec, Director of the Federal Administration for International Scientific, Cultural, Educational and Technical Co-operation, Belgrade

Mr. Stanko Nick, Counsellor, Alternate Permanent Representative of Yugoslavia to the International Organizations in Vienna

Mr. Vladimir Glišin, Professor of Molecular Embryology, University of Belgrade

ZAIRE

S.E. M. Bintou'a-Tshiabola, Ambassadeur, Représentant permanent du Zaïre auprès de l'ONU, Vienne

M. Mutombo Tshitambwe, Premier Conseiller, Mission permanente du Zaïre auprès de l'ONU, Vienne

OBSERVERS

AUSTRIA

Mr. Harald Miltner, Adviser to the Permanent Representative of Austria to the United Nations, Vienna

POLAND

Mr. Piotr Węgleński, Professor, Head of Biology Department, University of Warsaw

SAUDI ARABIA

Mr. Abdullah Al-Sheikh, Third Secretary, Permanent Mission of Saudi Arabia to UNIDO, Vienna

USSR

Mr. V.B. Tsyrulev, First Secretary Permanent Mission of the USSR to the International Organizations in Vienna

URUGUAY

H.E. Sr. Hector Di Biase, Embajador Extraordinario y Plenipotenciario, Representante Permanente del Uruguay ante la ONU, Viena

UNIDO SECRETARIAT

Dr. Abd-El Rahman Khane  
Executive Director

Mr. Gangadhar S. Gouri  
Director  
Division for Industrial Studies

Mr. Krishnaswamy Venkataraman  
Special Technical Adviser  
UNIDO Technology Programme

Mr. Wafa Kamel  
Senior Industrial Development Officer  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch

Mr. Rolf Kloepzig  
Senior Industrial Development Officer  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch

Mr. Raymond Zilinskas  
Industrial Development Officer  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch

Mr. José Costa-Lafarga  
Associate Industrial Development Officer  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch

Ms. Eileen Reilly  
Assistant Editor  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch

Mr. Robert Cox  
Public Information Officer

Ms. Monika Riedmann in Wachermayr  
Conference Assistant  
Conference Service

Ms. Heidi Neureiter  
Conference Assistant  
Conference Service

Ms. Edith Kathan  
Bilingual Secretary  
Development and Transfer of Technology Branch.

Ms. Maria Machege  
Secretary  
Division for Industrial Studies

ANNEX IV

AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting by the Executive Director
2. Election of officers
3. Adoption of the rules of procedure
4. Adoption of the provisional agenda
5. Implementation of Madria Resolutions, particularly relating to the decision to be taken on the location of the Centre
6. Matters relating to the establishment of affiliated centres and networks
7. Programme of work of the Preparatory Committee
8. Financial matters concerning
  - (a) work related to arriving at a decision on the location of the Centre
  - (b) further programme of work of the Preparatory Committee
9. Other matters

ANNEX V

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

	<u>Language</u>
ICGEB/Prep.Comm./1/1 Agenda	E F S
ICGEB/Prep.Comm./3/1 Note by the UNIDO Secretariat	E
CRP.1/Rev.. of First Session of Preparatory Committee (22-24 November 1984):	
Preliminary Estimate of Expenses Related to the Work of the Preparatory Committee for the ICGEB	E
ID/WG.421/2	
Report of the Preparatory Committee to the Plenipotentiary Meeting	E F S

