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## EXPLANATORY NOTES

| EGP | - Egyptian Pound $\quad$ US\$ $1.00=$ EGP $3.40(1$ February 2000$)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| US $\$$ | - Dollars of the United States of America |
|  | - square feet, equivalent to 0.929 square metres $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| mio. | - million |
| Kg | - kilogram |
| p.a. | - per annum |
| id | - indirect worker |
| FG | - Full Grain leather with the original top surface (grain) intact |
| CG | - Corrected Grain leather with the grain layer removed by buffing. |
| min | - minute |
| h | - hour |
| d | - day |
| $t$ | - metric tonne $($ ton $)=1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| pc | - piece |

## INTRODUCTION

The range of tannery designs shows different levels of mechanisation and different final products; some of which are only a part of the full leather-making process. All represent a modernisation of the tanning industry in Egypt and would bring consequent benefits to the National leather industry and the economy of the country.

Estimated conversion costs have been made for the operating performance of each production, calculating per piece processed. These will need to be revised according to the actual costs and yields. The cost of the actual leather produced is obtained by adding this conversion cost to the existing raw material price.

The designs show the equipment needed and assume that there will be experienced and capable technicians for the leather making and for the machinery maintenance. The financial estimates also assume that sales will be achieved, as required, so that all the production will be sold without delay. Leathers will not automatically sell themselves, and it is important for tanners to actively sell the products to the buyers rather than wait for the buyers to visit the leather producers. The marketing to achieve this will need to be done as professionally as possible - developing and promoting new leathers and colours - as is done in the co-ordinated European fashion schemes.

Mechanisation is used as a general rule to ensure that there is a quality of production at international standards, adding maximum value and providing a good raw material for footwear and other leather products. The scale and production volume is influenced by customer requirements, in particular minimum order sizes to qualify as a reliable supplier, and by machine utilisation; smaller scale machine working is not economically viable unless it is used as a common facility by a number of producers. Annex 6 considers the smaller scale units and their investments.

The first requirement for a successful tannery is to have a good quality and uniformity of production. If there is a tradition of low cost production, maintained by a conservative tradition and limited technical knowledge, the leather quality and the tannery profitability will be lower. Costs and productivity will be an important factor in the future once a good quality is established.

The equipment costs are indicative from the manufacturers (Annex $l$ ) and subject to confirmation for individual requirements. Chemical costs are considered to be a maximum and allow for further reduction (Annex 2). A hide of 22 kg is assumed to yield a minimum of $38 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}\left(3.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.$ ) finished leather and 10 sq.ft $\left(0.929 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ of split leather. The heavy leather yield is assumed at $67 \%$. No allowance is included in the costs for any local duties or taxes.

All of these design examples have effluent pre-treatment plants to protect the environment, and have chrome recovery units where applicable. They do not include biological treatment. Clean technology drums and processes are recommended where possible.

The productions shown in the designs are:

1. Wet-blue starting from raw hides, with a daily production of 640 wet-blue hides and 640 whole butt splits, available in limed, pickled or wet-blue condition. Wet-blue is a semiprocessed leather and an international commodity. The cost of equipment needed is US\$ 1.5
million, excluding buildings and any local duties and taxes. 29 employees are needed and an area of $2100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment.
2. Finished leather from 320 wet-blue hides/day, producing about $12,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. This needs an investment of US $\$ 1.4$ million for equipment, excluding buildings and any local duties and taxes. 59 employees are needed and an area of $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment.
3. Finished leather, including splits, from 300 raw hides/day. The input, estimated at 6.6 t /day, produces about $12,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ grain leather and $3,600 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ split leather. The investment needed is US $\$ 2.4$ million for equipment, excluding buildings and any local duties or taxes. 88 employees are needed and an area of $2500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment.
4. Finished leather from 2,000 raw skins/day to produce $10,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. This needs an investment of US\$ 1.4 million for equipment, excluding buildings, and any local duties or taxes. 77 employees are needed and an area of $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment.
5. Split leather from 1000 whole butt splits/day to produce $10,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} /$ day leather, considered as $80 \%$ finished and $20 \%$ suede. The investment needed is US $\$ 1.2$ million, excluding buildings, and any local duties or taxes. 57 employees are needed and an area of $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment. Split leathers are not available at present in Fustat; using a splitting machine provides the opportunity to add value to the hide and to provide a new leather type. The split is the lower layer of the hide, which remains after the upper, grain layer has been removed by the splitting machine. These are economic leathers having a good sale in a number of different articles, in either chrome or vegetable tannage. The chrome leathers may be produced as finished, or suede, for shoe uppers and linings, or as suede for garments and industrial gloves. Vegetable tanned leathers can be used in leather goods, soles and insoles, but the processing is not included here.
6. Heavy vegetable tanned leather from 150-200 raw hides (4.5 t) per day to make 3.0 t of sole, or similar type, leather. The hides are segmented; the whole Shoulder is tanned separately from the remainder of the hide, which is called a Culatta. The investment needed is US $\$ 1.05$ million for equipment, excluding buildings, and any local duties or taxes. 36 employees are needed and an area of $2750 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, excluding effluent treatment.

A comparative table of the conversion costs in the different tanneries is shown in Annex 3.

## TANNERY DESIGNS

## 1. Wet-blue production from raw hides

This design is for a large-scale mechanised tannery, working in whole hides to produce wet blue hides as a marketing commodity for home use, or for export.

The input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and the chemicals required, to convert the raw cattle hides into wet-blue leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment.

The final products is wet-blue, whole hide grain leather, which has been split in the limed condition and a whole hide flesh split, available in the limed, pickled or wet-blue condition. It is reasonable to value the split at $10 \%$ of the hide value. Limed fleshings and trimmings can also be a source of income. Buffalo hides will yield 2, or more, flesh splits of which the middle split can be further processed for dog chews.

Production quantity is based on 640 hides/day and a reasonable use of 3000 mm working width machines. The input is 14 t day to yield 192,000 hides/year wet-blue hides and a similar number of whole hide. General split yield is estimated at $25 \%$ of input weight.

Capital required is US $\$ 1.5$ million for equipment, without allowances for bank costs, buildings and any local duty or tax. Full production needs a working capital of US $\$ 177,000$ plus the cost of the raw hides in store and for 7 days work in progress. A conversion cost of USS 5.29 per hide (about US $\$ 0.14 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ) is estimated, excluding the raw material.

Area required is $2100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}: 1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for production and $500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for non-production.
Personnel required are 29, of whom 9 are indirect. These have to include at least 1 leather technician (liming and tanning), 1 engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent plant) and 1 laboratory technician (control laboratory, chrome recovery and effluent standards).
The costs are calculated at two different rates, US\$ 4/day (EGP 13/day) and US\$ 9/day (EGP $30 /$ day), with 30 days/month. These rates represent the range of pay for calculations and it should be understood that it does not assume that all indirect workers are paid at the higher rate.

Production schedule, showing the Work in Progress, is as follows, for hides and for splits:

| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Soak | Overnight |
| 2 | Lime | Overnight |
| 3 | Fleshing, split, delime, pickle, tan | Overnight |
| 4 | Unload | Horse overnight |
| 5 | Rest |  |
| 6 | Sammy, sort |  |
| 7 | Pack |  |

Estimates in work days - Wet-blue 6, Pack 1: total of 7 workdays.
Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and hours per day.

| Operation | Worker | Equipment | $\frac{\operatorname{cost}}{\text { US }}$ | Power | Time | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | 4/1 id | Handcarts |  |  |  | Check and sort |
| Handling | 1 | Forklift; electric 4 t loads | 50 |  | 16 |  |
| Soak | 2 | 2: $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ drums | 163 | 60 | 24 | Fitted for hair-save |
| Lime | 1 id | 2: $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ drums | 163 | 60 | 24 |  |
| Flesh | 2 | $1: 3100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 123 | 75 | 6 | + cleaning |
| Split | 6 | $1: 3000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 119 | 25 | 6 | + cleaning |
| Tan | $2 / 1$ id | 4: $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ drums | 140 | 120 | 24 | $10 \mathrm{thides}, 4 \mathrm{t}$ split |
| Handling |  | Hand pallet trucks | 3 |  |  | 2 t loads |
| Sammy | 2 | 1:3000 mm through feed | 75 | 25 | 5 |  |
| Sort/pack | $1 / 1 \mathrm{id}$ | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Office | 2 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laboratory | 1 id | Basic control tests | 20 |  |  | Production/effluent |
| Batch water |  | Flow at $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | 17 | 15 | 16 | for 5 drums |
| Scales |  | 5 t | 12 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 2 t | 8 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 300 kg | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 30 kg | 1 |  |  |  |
| Boiler | 1 id | 3 t steam $/ \mathrm{h} 2$ or 25 bar | 40 | 15 | 16 |  |
| Effluent |  | $400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | 300 | 250 | 24 | Pre-treatment |
| Chrome | 1 id | Recovery for 14 t input | 90 | 15 | 8 |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 20/9 id |  | 1,326 |  |  |  |
| Add 15\% |  | 7\% spares, $8 \%$ shipping | 199 |  |  | and installation |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1,525 |  |  |  |

Technology is fully mechanised to allow for a production to international market standards. The unhairing and liming system (hair-saving) in the drum is designed for clean technology and to reduce the cost of treating the effluent by $30-40 \%$. Splitting introduces a new process (Annex 4) and is done in the lime. This allows a more efficient and separate chrome tannage for sides and splits, yielding a larger and more valuable split, with chrome-free waste, and easier disposal. An allowance is made for the daily input of 14 t to be $15-16 \mathrm{t}$ fleshed weight. This is split to 10 t grain hides, 3-4 t flesh splits and 2 t waste. (Basis is of a 22 kg cattle hide to be 25 kg pelt weight
yielding a 16 kg grain, 5 kg flesh split and 3 kg waste. A 35 kg buffalo hide is $38-40 \mathrm{~kg}$ limed and yields 10 kg grain split at $2.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 15 \mathrm{~kg}$ middle split, 7 kg flesh split and 8 kg waste. The middle split has a good sales outlet as dog chews). A chrome recovery unit and a full effluent pretreatment plant are included. The chrome recovery can reduce the chrome costs by $25 \%$ with a payback period of 3 years. The estimated total tannery effluent volume of $400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(14 \mathrm{t} \times 28 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ is treated to remove sulphide by aeration and to reduce solids by precipitation and centrifuge.

## Costings

CHEMICALS are estimated to cost US $\$ 0.08$ /, or US $\$ 3.04 /$ hide, to the wet-blue state (see Annex 1).

## LABOUR COSTING

From above 20 direct workers at US $\$ 120 /$ month $=$ US $\$ 28,800 /$ year
9 indirect workers at US\$270/month $=$ US $\$ 29,160 /$ year
Total
US\$ 57,960/year

## FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING

Depreciation of machinery (estimated cost of US $\$ 1.5$ mio.) over 10 years is US $\$ 150,000 /$ year
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

## Total

US \$ 45,000/year
US\$ 195,000/year

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. The cost of power input at $240 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and taken for 300 days/year. This is a total of US\$ $53,000 /$ year (EGP 181,000/year).
2. Water usage is $20-27 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of rawhide input. The cost is EGP $0.60 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The 300 days annual charge is calculated from $350 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day $\left(14 \mathrm{t} \times 25 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$. This is US $\$ 18,500 /$ year (EGP 63,000/year).
3. Effluent charges for complete tannery operation are at 4\% of operating costs in Italy, which is $10 \%$ excluding the raw material. As the wet blue operations require $75 \%$ of the pollution control, the costs here are estimated at $7.5 \%$.

Conversion costs for the TOTAL annual production of 192,000 hides and splits (all figures in US\$).

| Item | cost per <br> year | Costs <br> per hide | \% cost | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals | 614,000 | 3.20 | 60.6 |  |
| Labour | 57,960 | 0.30 | 5.7 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 195,000 | 1.02 | 19.2 |  |
| Overhead 2 | 71,500 | 0.37 | 7.0 |  |
| Overhead 3 | 76,090 | 0.40 | 7.5 | Variable - power and water |
| Total | $1,014,550$ | 5.29 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Working capital is needed for

1. Raw hides store for 2 weeks.
2. Hides in work for 7 days.
3. Chemicals for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.) US $\$ 153,500$
4. Conversion costs of work in progress for 7 days

## Suggested layout for wet-blue tannery from raw hides

Production area of $1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(25 \mathrm{~m} \times 64 \mathrm{~m})$
Approximate scale 1:500


## 2. Finished leather production from wet-blue hides

This model takes a wet-blue hide, already split in the lime, from a wet-blue producer, as the raw material. It has $75 \%$ less pollution than a complete tannery operation and has the possibility of adding much more value, and profit, by optimising quality and having a flexible production.

The input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and the chemicals, which are required to convert the wet-blue cattle hides into finished leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment.

The final product is finished cattle hide for footwear or leather goods, processed as sides, with an estimate of $90 \%$ corrected grain (CG). The thickness is generally between 1.2 and 1.8 mm . There is no split production.

Production quantity is based on 320 hides/day ( 640 sides/day), or 96,000 hides/year. This has optimum machine use, normally 1800 mm working width for 8 hour/day, to produce about 12,000 sq.ft/day. The raw input is taken at $7 \mathrm{t} /$ day, calculated as 3.2 t shaved weight for retanning.

Capital required is US\$ 1.37 million for equipment without allowances for bank costs, buildings and any local duty or tax. Full production needs a working capital of US\$ 560,000 plus the cost of raw hides in store and for 16 days Work in Progress. A conversion cost of US $\$ 22.74$ /hide (about US $\$ 0.60 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ) is estimated, excluding the raw material.

Area required is $2,400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}: 1,700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for production and $700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for non-production. The production area is $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 34 \mathrm{~m}$, with the wet end section, up to the vacuum drier, being separated from the crust and finishing areas by a 15 m long wall.

Personnel required are 59, of whom 12 are indirect. These have to include at least 2 leather technicians (wet work and finishing), an engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent plant) and a laboratory technician.

The costs are calculated at two different rates, US\$ 4/day (EGP 13/day) and US\$ 9/day (EGP $30 /$ day), with 30 days/month. These rates represent the range of pay for calculations and it should be understood that it does not assume that all indirect workers are paid at the higher rate.

Production schedule, showing the Work in Progress, is as follows:

| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sort, shave, weigh |  |
| 2 | Retan, horse |  |
| 3 | Set out, vacuum dry |  |
| 4 | Hang dry | Over night |
| 5 | Condition | Wrapped overnight |
| 6 | Stake, toggle |  |
| 7 | Crust sort |  |
| $8-11$ | Finishing FG |  |
| $8-14$ | Finishing CG |  |


| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Sort and measure FG |  |
| 13 | Pack FG |  |
| 15 | Sort and measure CG |  |
| 16 | Pack CG |  |

## Estimates in work days - Crust 7, Finished CG 7, Sort and pack 2: total of 16 work days.

Basic pattern for finishing:-
FG: Polish, 2 coats pigment; print and I coat pigment; spray 2 coats; plate; spray. $=4$ days
CG: Buff \& dedust; impregnate; dry; rebuff \& dedust; finish as above (no polish) $=7$ days
Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and hours per day.

| Operation | Worker | Equipment | Cost | kW | H/d | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | $4+1$ id | Handcarts |  |  |  | check and sort |
| Sammy | 1 | 1:1800 st. thro | 61 | 25 | 6 |  |
| Shave | 1 | 1:1800 mm | 76 | 55 | 6 |  |
| Retan/dye | $4+1$ id | 2: $2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 68 | 30 | 16 | 180 sides ( 900 kg ) $2 \times /$ day |
| Retan/dye |  | $1: 2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 27 | 10 | 17 | 100 sides ( 500 kg ) $2 \times /$ day |
| Water feed |  | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | 17 | 15 | 16 | Serves 5 drums |
| Trial drum |  | $1: 1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 12 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Control lab | 1 id | Lastometer, pH | 10 | 10 | 8 | Basic tests |
| Setting out | 2 | $1: 2,400 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 80 | 45 | 8 | Wider machine better |
| Vacuum | 4 | 1:2 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 50 | 35 | 8 |  |
|  |  | Chiller for vac. | 18 | 10 | 8 | Essential in hot climates |
| Hang dry | 2 | $1: 100 \mathrm{~m}$ cony. | 35 | 2 | 8 | Capacity 1000 sides/day |
| Condition | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 14 | 2 | 4 | Wrapped overnight |
| Stake |  | 1:2000 mm | 58 | 17 | 4 |  |
| Toggle | 4 | 1: 1800 mm con. | 94 | 25 | 16 |  |
| Crust sort | $1+1$ id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffing+dedust | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \text { +dedust } \end{aligned}$ | 82 | 40 | 16 | Only CG. Normally 3× |
| Polish | 1 | 1: 1800 mm | 40 | 25 | 4 |  |
| Coater/drier | $2+1$ id | 1: 1800 mm | 55 | 15 | 10 |  |
| Spraying | 4 | 1:1800 mm | 61 | 25 | 16 |  |
| Hand spray | 1 | Ventilated cabin | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Press print | 4 | 1: $1370 \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 97 | 40 | 16 |  |
| Rotary Iron | 2 | $1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 90 | 28 | 4 |  |
| Measuring | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 25 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Sorting | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Pack | 2 | Table |  |  |  |  |
| Stores | 1 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $1: 300 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $2: 30 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Compressor |  | 1:5 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | 23 | 15 | 16 | 7 bar |
| Boiler | 1 id | 1:3t steam/h | 40 | 15 | 16 | 2 or 15 bar |
| Effluent | 1 id | $80 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | 50 | 10 | 16 | Pre-treatment |


| Operation | Worker | Equipment | Cost | kW | H/d | Detail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Office | 3 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUB-TOTAL | $47 / 12$ id |  | 1,191 | 508 |  |  |
| Add $15 \%$ |  | $7 \%$ spares + <br> $8 \%$ shipment | 179 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 0}$ |  |  |  |

Technology allows for a mechanised production, to international market standards. The retanning drums have filters to clean the drum effluent. The effluent is treated to remove chromium and solids by precipitation and centrifuge.

## Costings

CHEMICALS are estimated at US\$ $0.49 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. (See Annex 2, showing wet-blue to crust cost of US $\$ 0.21 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ and finishing cost of US $\$ 0.28 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ).

|  | Total here | $=$ | US\$ 0.49/sq.ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LABOUR COSTING |  |  |  |
| From above | 47 direct workers at US \$ $120 /$ month | = | US\$ 67,680/year |
|  | 12 indirect workers at US\$ $270 /$ month | = | US\$ 38,880/year |
|  | Total |  | US\$ 106,560 year |

## FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING

Depreciation of machinery (estimated cost of US\$ 1.37 mio.)
over 10 years is
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

US $\$ 137,000 /$ year
US\$ 41,000/year
US $\$ 178,000$ /year

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. The cost of power input at $460 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and taken for 300 days/year. This is a total of US $\$ 51,000 /$ year (EGP 174,000/year).
2. Water usage is $10-13 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of wet-blue hide input: the cost is EGP $0.60 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The 300 days annual charge is calculated from $80 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day ( $7 \times{ }^{\prime} 10-13^{\prime}$ ). This is US $\$ 4,200$ (EGP 14,400).
3. Effluent charges for complete tannery operation are at $4 \%$ of operating costs in Italy, which is $10 \%$ excluding the raw material. A retanning effluent is estimated to have a cost at a quarter of this full level so that a charge here is made of $2.5 \%$, excluding raw material.

Conversion costs for the TOTAL annual production of 96,000 hides ( 192,000 sides) - all figures in US\$.

| Item | Cost/year. | Costhide | \% costs | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals | $1,788,500$ | 18.63 | 81.9 | Average from above: retan and <br> finish |
| Labour | 106,500 | 1.11 | 4.9 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 178,000 | 1.85 | 8.1 |  |
| Overhead | 55,200 | 0.58 | 2.6 | Fixed - equipment. No buildings. |
| Overhead 3 | 55,000 | 0.57 | 2.5 | Variable - power and water |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Working Capital is needed for

1. Wet blue hides in store for 2 weeks.
2. Hides in work for 16 days.
3. Chemicals store for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.)

US\$ 446,500
4. Conversion costs of Work in Progress for 16 days

US\$ 116,500
TOTAL
US\$ 563,000

## Suggested layout for finished leather tannery from wet-blue hides

Production area of $1700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(50 \mathrm{~m} \times 34 \mathrm{~m})$ with the wet end section, up to the vacuum drier, being separated from the crust and finishing areas by a 15 m long wall.
Approximate scale 1:500

Key to plan

| Operation | Operation |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Wet-blue hide store | 15 | Staking 2000 mm machine |
| 2 | Chemical stores | 16 | Toggling conveyor 1800 mm |
| 3 | Services - boiler 3 t steam | 17 | Crust sort |
| 4 | Personnel | 18 | Buffing/dedusting 1800 mm |
| 5 | Offices | 19 | Polishing 1800 mm machine |
| 6 | Wet-blue sort area machine | 20 | Finishing office, colour mix |
| 7 | Sammying 1800 mm | 21 | Roller coater 1800 mm |
| 8 | Shaving 1800 machine | 22 | Rotary press 1800 mm |
| 9 | Dye and retan drums: 2 of $2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ of $2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 23 | Spraying 1800 mm |
| 10 | Dye house office and laboratory | 24 | Hydraulic press |
| 11 | Setting out $2,400 \mathrm{~mm}$ machine | 25 | Measuring 1800 mm |
| 12 | Vacuum drier $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}, 2$ table, + chiller | 26 | Sorting and despatch |
| 13 | Dried leather | 27 | Effluent pre-treatment |
| 14 | Conditioning $1,800 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |

## 3. Finished leather production from raw hides

This design is a medium scale mechanised tannery working with whole hides, split in the lime, to produce finished leather. The retanning and finishing is in side leathers, with the splits being finished in the tannery to maximise earnings.

The Input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and chemicals, which are required to convert the raw cattle hides into finished leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment and chrome recovery.

The Final Products are finished side leather and a whole hide flesh split, as finished or suede. The products are for footwear or leather goods. Limed fleshings and trimmings can be a source of income; dog chews are produced from buffalo splits.

Production quantity is based on 300 hides/day, assumed to be an input of 6.6 t to yield $11,400 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ finished upper leather and a $3,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of whole butt splits each day. This is a total of 4.3 million sq.ft/year: 3.4 million sq.ft/year of upper and 0.9 million sq.ftyear of splits. The daily quantity of 600 sides and 300 whole butt splits gives a good machine utilisation - 120 pieces $/ \mathrm{h}$ - with some double shifts.

Capital required is US $\$ 2.34$ million for equipment, without allowances for bank costs, buildings, and any type local duty or tax. Full production needs a working capital of US $\$ 856,000$ plus the costs of the raw hides in store and for 22 days Work in Progress. A conversion cost is estimated at US\$ 25.32 hide and US $\$ 6.69$ /split, excluding raw material.

Area required is $3,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}: 2,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for production and $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for non-production.
Personnel required are 88, including 15 indirect. These must include at least 2 leather technicians (liming/tanning and finishing), 1 engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent) and 1 laboratory technician (control laboratory, chrome recovery and effluent standards) and more supervision.
The costs are calculated at two different rates, US\$ 4/day (EGP 13/day) and US\$ 9/day (EGP $30 /$ day), with 30 days/month. These rates represent the range of pay for calculations and it should be understood that it does not assume that all indirect workers are paid at the higher rate.

Production schedule, showing the Work in Progress, for sides and for splits is as follows:

| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Soak | Overnight |
| 2 | Lime | Overnight |
| 3 | Fleshing, split, delime, pickle, tan | Overnight |
| 4 | Unload | Horse overnight |
| 5 | Rest |  |
| 6 | Cut into sides, Sammy, sort |  |
| 7 | Shave, weigh |  |
| 8 | Retan, horse |  |
| 9 | Set out, vacuum dry |  |
| 10 | Hang dry | Overnight |
| 11 | Condition | Wrapped overnight |


| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Stake, toggle |  |
| 13 | Crust sort |  |
| $14-17$ | Finishing FG |  |
| $14-20$ | Finishing CG |  |
| 18 | Sort and measure FG |  |
| 19 | Pack FG |  |
| 21 | Sort and measure CG |  |
| 22 | Pack CG |  |

Estimates in work days - Wet blue 6, Crust 7, Finished CG 7, Sort and pack 2: total of 22 workdays.
Basic pattern for finishing:
FG: Polish, 2 coats pigment; print and I coat pigment; spray 2 coats; plate; spray. $=4$ days
CG: Buff \& dedust; impregnate; dry; rebuff \& dedust; finish as above (no polish) $=7$ days
Splits: Coater (or hand padding) - 2 coats, smooth plate, coat/pad, haircell print, top spray.
Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and hours per day.

| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | KW | H/d | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | 4+1 id | Handcarts |  |  |  | check and sort |
| Handling | 1 | Forklift; electric | 30 |  | 16 | $2 t$ capacity |
| Soak/lime | $2+1$ id | 2: $4 \times 4$ drums | 163 | 60 | 24 | Fitted for hair-save |
| Flesh | 2 | 1: 3100 mm | 123 | 75 | 3 | + clean |
| Lime split | 6 | $1: 3000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 119 | 25 | 3 | + clean |
| Tan | $2+1 \mathrm{id}$ | $1: 3.5 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ drum | 45 | 25 | 24 | Grain split (4.8 t) |
| Tan |  | $1: 2.5 \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ drum | 26 | 25 | 24 | Flesh split (1.5t) |
| Handling |  | 4: Hand pallet trucks | 3 |  |  | 2 t loads |
| Sammy | 2 | 1: 1800 mm thro' | 61 | 25 | 3 |  |
| Shave | 1 | $1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 76 | 55 | 5 | Includes split |
| Water feed |  | Batch $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | 17 | 15 | 16 | Serves 5 drums |
| Retan/dye | $4+1 \mathrm{id}$ | 2: $2.5 \times 1.8$ m drum | 68 | 30 | 16 | 180 sides: 900 kg |
| Retan/dye |  | $1: 2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ drum | 27 | 10 | 16 | 100 splits: 500 kg |
| Trial drum |  | $1: 1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ drum | 12 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Control lab | 1 id | Lastometer, pH etc. | 10 |  | 8 | Basic tests |
| Setting out | 2 | 1:2400 mm | 80 | 45 | 8 | Wide machine |
| Vacuum | 8 | 1:2 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 50 | 35 | 16 | With splits |
|  |  | Chiller for vacuum | 18 | 10 | 16 | Essential if hot |
| Hang dry | 2 | 1: 100 m conveyor | 35 | 2 | 16 | 1000 sides/day |
| Condition | 2 | $1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 14 | 2 | 4 | Wrapped overnight |
| Stake | 4 | $1: 2000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 58 | 17 | 8 | With splits |
| Toggle | 4 | 1:1800 mm conveyor | 94 | 25 | 8 |  |
| Crust sort | $1+1 \mathrm{id}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffing | 6 | 2: $1800 \mathrm{~mm}+$ dedust | 140 | 80 | 16 | 3x: CG and splits |
| Polish | 1 | 1: 1800 mm | 40 | 25 | 3 | \% FG |
| Coater/drier | $4+1$ id | 1:1800 mm + tunnel | 55 | 15 | 16 |  |
| Spraying | 4 | $1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm} 1$ tunnel | 61 | 25 | 16 | 1 cabin |


| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | KW | H/d | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hand spray | 1 | Ventilated cabin | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Press print | 4 | 1: $1370 \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 97 | 40 |  | Up to 16 hours |
| Rotary press | 2 | 1: 1800 mm | 124 | 28 | 4 |  |
| Measuring | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 25 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Sorting | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Pack | 2 | Table |  |  | 8 |  |
| Stores | 1 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:2t | 8 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:300 kg | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $2: 30 \mathrm{~kg}$. | 1 |  |  |  |
| Compressor |  | $1: 5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}, 7$ bar | 23 | 15 | 16 |  |
| Boiler | 2 id | 1:3t steam/h: $2-15$ bar | 40 | 15 | 16 | 1 extra id for shift |
| Cr recovery |  | $9 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ batch | 60 | 15 | 8 | For 6-10 tinput |
| Effluent | 1 id | $260 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ capacity | 220 | 170 | 16 | Pre-treatment |
| Office | 3 id |  |  |  |  | 1 extra id for shift |
| SUBTOTAL | 73/15 id |  | 2,030 | 923 |  |  |
| Add 15\% |  | $7 \%$ spares $+8 \%$ shipm. | 180 |  |  | + installation |
| TOTAL |  |  | 2,335 |  |  |  |

Technology is fully mechanised to allow for a production to international market standards.. Retanning drums have filters to clean the drum effluent.
Splitting introduces a new process (Annex 4) and is done in the lime. This allows a more efficient and separate chrome tannage for sides and splits, yielding a larger and more valuable split, with chrome-free waste, and easier disposal.
An allowance is made for the daily input of $6-7 \mathrm{t}$ to be $7-8 \mathrm{t}$ fleshed weight. This is split to 5 t grain hides, 1.5 t flesh splits and 1 t waste (basis is of a 22 kg cattle hide to be 25 kg pelt weight yielding a 16 kg grain, 5 kg flesh split and 3 kg waste).
A chrome recovery unit and a full effluent pre-treatment plant are included. The chrome recovery can reduce the chrome costs by $25 \%$ with a payback period of 3 years. The estimated total tannery effluent volume of $260 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(6.6 \mathrm{t} \times 40 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ is treated to remove sulphide by aeration and to reduce solids by precipitation and centrifuge.

## Costing

CHEMICAL COSTING is estimated at US $\$ 21.66 /$ hide of 22 kg and including the processed split (see Annex 2).
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LABOUR COSTING
From above 73 direct workers at US\$ 120/month $=$ US $\$ 105,120 /$ year 15 indirect workers at US\$ 270 /month Total
$=\frac{\text { USS } \$ 48,600 / \text { year }}{\text { US\$ } 153,720 / \text { year }}$
FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING
Depreciation of machinery (cost estimate US $\$ 2.34$ mio.)
over 10 years
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

US\$ $234,000 /$ year
US $\$ 70,200 /$ year
US\$ 304,200/year

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. The cost of power input at $700 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$, and taken for 300 days/year. This is a total of US $\$ 74,000$ (EGP 250,000 ).
2. Water usage is $40 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of raw hide input. The cost for $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ is EGP 0.60 . The 300 days annual charge is calculated from $264 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day $(6.6 \times 40)$. This is US $\$ 14,000$ (EGP 47,520).
3. Effluent charges for complete tannery operation are set at $4 \%$ of operating costs in Italy, equivalent to $10 \%$ excluding the raw material. A charge here is made on the basis of $10 \%$, excluding the raw material.

Overall Costs for the total annual production of 90,000 hides and splits - approximately 4.3 mio. sq.ft. ( 3.4 mio. sq.ft upper with 0.9 mio. sq.ft splits). All figures are in US\$.

| Item | Cost p.a. | Per hide | \% costs | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals: | $2,172,600$ | 24.14 | 75.4 | 18.07 upper hide; 6.07 flesh split |
| Labour | 154,000 | 1.71 | 5.3 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 304,200 | 3.38 | 10.1 | Fixed (only machinery - no bildings). |
| Overhead 2 | 88,000 | 0.98 | 2.9 | Variable - power and water |
| Overhead 3 | 302,100 | 3.34 | 10.0 | Variable - effluent |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 0 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 26.80 upper, 6.70 split |

Working Capital is needed for

1. Raw hides in store for 2 weeks.
2. Hides in work for 22 days.
3. Chemicals for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.) US $\$ 644,500$
4. Conversion costs of Work in Progress for 22 days

US\$ 211,400
Total
US\$ 855,900

## Suggested layout for finished leather tannery from raw hides

Production area of $2500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with the wet end section, up to the vacuum drier, being separated from the crust and finishing areas by a 40 m long wall.
Approximate scale 1:500


Key to plan

|  | Operation |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Raw hide store | Operation |  |
| 2 | Chemical stores | 17 | Dried leather |
| 3 | Services - boiler 3 t steam/ h | 18 | Conditioning 1800 mm |
| 4 | Personnel | 19 | Staking 1800 mm machine |
| 5 | Offices | 20 | Toggling 1800 conveyor |
| 6 | Soak/lime 2 drums $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | 21 | Buffing/dedusting 1800 mm |
| 7 | Fleshing 3100 mm machine | 22 | Polishing 1800 mm machine |
| 8 | Splitting 3000 mm machine | 23 | Crust sort |
| 9 | Tanning 2 drums: $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 24 | Roller coater 1800 mm |
| 10 | Horsed overnight | 25 | Rotary press 1800 mm |
| 11 | Sammying 1800 mm machine | 26 | Spraying 1800 mm |
| 12 | Shaving 1800 machine | 27 | Finishing office, colour mix |
| 13 | Dye and retan drums: 2 of $2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ of $2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 28 | Hydraulic press $1370 \times 1000$ |
| 14 | Dye house office and laboratory | 29 | Measuring 1800 mm |
| 15 | Setting out 2400 mm machine | 30 | Sorting and despatch |
| 16 | Vacuum drier $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}, 2$ table | 31 | Chrome recovery for 9 m 3 |

## 4. Finished leather production from raw skins

This model is a complete tannery for processing grain sheep, or goatskins, from raw to finished state. It does not allow for any suede production, which is more specialised and more expensive. Allowance has been made for a part of the production to be dried without the vacuum drier.

The Input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and chemicals, which are required to convert the raw skins into finished leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment and chrome recovery.

The Final Product is a top finished sheep, or goat, skin suitable for footwear, leather goods and clothing. Lower grades will be for lining leathers and may be sold in the dyed state without further finishing. Chrome tannage is the normal process but a vegetable tannage could be given.

Production quantity is based on 2000 sheepskins/day (2-2.5 t/day) for optimum machine use; these are normally 1500 or 1600 mm working width and some of these are used for a $16 \mathrm{~h} /$ day. The output is about $10,000 \mathrm{sq.ff} /$ day, yielding 3 million sq.ft/year.

Capital required is US\$ 1.4 mio. for equipment, without allowances for bank costs, buildings, local duty or tax. Full production needs a working capital of US $\$ 240,000$ plus the cost of the raw skins in store and for 18 days work in progress.

Area required is $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of which $1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for production and $800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for non-production.
Personnel required are 77, of whom 14 are indirect. These have to include at least 2 leather technicians (wet work and finishing), 1 engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent plant) and 1 laboratory technician (control laboratory, chrome recovery and effluent standards).

Production schedule, showing the Work in Progress, is as follows:

| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Soak | In paddle overnight |
| 2 | Drain, Paint, Unhair, Lime | 170 skins in each painted pile. In paddle overnight |
| 3 | Lime | In paddle overnight |
| 4 | Flesh, Bate, Tan | In drum overnight |
| 5 | Rest | Horsed overnight |
| 6 | Sammy, sort, shave, weigh |  |
| 7 | Retan and dye, horse |  |
| 8 | Set out, vacuum, hang dry | Hung overnight |
| 9 | Rest |  |
| 10 | Condition | Wrapped overnight |
| 11 | Stake, toggle |  |
| 12 | Crust sort |  |
| $13-16$ | Finishing FG |  |
| 17 | Sort and measure FG |  |
| 18 | Pack FG |  |

Estimates in work days - Wet blue 5, Crust 7, Finished FG 4, Sort and Pack 2: total of 18 workdays.
Basic pattern for finishing as all Full Grain:
FG: Spray ground, 2 coats pigment, plate, spray, plate. Options to polish, dry shave and mill as required $=4$ days.

Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and $\mathrm{h} /$ day.

| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | kW | H/d | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | $4+1$ id | Handcarts |  |  |  | Check and sort |
| Soak |  | 1 paddle: $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 20 | 10 | 20 |  |
| Lime paint | 12 for | Manual |  |  | 2 | Or machine: cost 38 |
| Unhair | Area | Manual |  |  | 2 | Or machine: cost 53 |
| Liming | 1 id | 2 paddles: $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ea. | 40 | 20 | 24 |  |
| Flesh | 2 | 2: 1600 mm | 100 | 36 | 4 | Limited time for transfer |
| Tanning | $2+1$ id | $1: 2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 26 | 20 | 20 | 2.5 t load |
| Water feed |  | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per min. | 17 |  | 16 | Serves 5 drums |
| Sammy | 1 | 1:1800 mm | 52 | 15 | 10 |  |
| Shave | 1 | 1:1300 mm | 58 | 40 | 10 |  |
| Retan/dye | $4+1$ id | $1: 2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 34 | 15 | 8 | 1000 skins ( 900 kg ) |
| Retan/dye |  | $1: 2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 27 | 10 | 16 | 600 skins ( 500 kg )/2x/day |
| Trial drum |  | $1: 1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 11 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Setting out | 1 | 1:1600 mm. | 48 | 15 | 10 |  |
| Vacuum | 4 | 1:1 table $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 34 | 20 | 8 | 8 skins/plate: $240 / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Chiller |  | For vacuum water | 14 | 10 | 8 | Essential for hot climate |
| Hang dry | 2 | 1: conveyor | 35 | 2 | 10 | Capacity 3000 skins/day |
| Condition | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 14 | 2 | 6 | Wrapped overnight |
| Stake | 2 | 1:1600 mm | 53 | 17 | 8 | Through feed |
| Toggle | 8 | 1:1800 mm | 94 | 25 | 16 | Conveyor |
| Crust sort | $1+1$ id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coater/drier | $4+1$ id | 1: 1800 mm | 65 | 15 | 16 | For soft leathers |
| Spraying | 4 | 1:1800/2 cabins | 101 | 50 | 8 | May need up to 16 hours |
| Polish | 1 | 1:1800 mm | 40 | 25 | 8 | Includes other roller |
| Milling | 2 | $1: 2.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$ | 30 | 8 | 16 |  |
| Rotary press | 2 | 1: 1800 mm | 90 | 28 | 16 |  |
| Measuring | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 25 | 4 | 8 |  |
| Sorting | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  | 8 |  |
| Pack | 2 | Table |  |  | 8 |  |
| Control lab | 1 id | Basic tests | 10 |  | 8 |  |
| Stores | 1 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:2000kg | 8 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:300 kg | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $2: 30 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Compressor |  | $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | 23 | 15 | 16 | 7 bar |
| Boiler | 1 id | 1:3 t steam $/ \mathrm{h}$ | 40 | 15 | 16 | 2 or 15 bar |
| Cr recovery |  | $4 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ batch | 30 | 15 | 8 | 2-3 t input of skins |
| Effluent | 1 id | For $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | 100 | 120 | 16 | Pre-treatment |
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| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | $k W$ | $H / d$ | Detail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | 3 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $63 / 13 i d$ |  | 1,222 | 557 |  |  |
| ADD 15\% |  | $7 \%$ spares + <br> $8 \%$ | 183 |  |  | + installation |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 5}$ |  |  |  |

Technology is modern and mechanised except for the manual painting and unhairing of the skins. Although this is an unpleasant job requiring protective clothing, it is retained here because it will produce a finer quality grain than drum unhairing and a less polluted effluent, as well as giving more employment with a worker taking 1.5 h for painting a pile of 170 skins. The pile is left to stand for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ and the unhairing by hand will take another 1.5 hours. The manual operation is also cheaper; a cost comparison is shown in Annex 5. No hand padding has been included in finishing although this could replace the roller coater for the initial coats; however the machines provide more opportunity for raising quality and reaching international standards.
A chrome recovery unit and a full effluent pre-treatment plant are included. The chrome recovery can reduce the chrome costs by $25 \%$ with a payback period of 3 years. The estimated total tannery effluent volume of $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(2.5 \mathrm{t} \times 60 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ is treated to remove sulphide by aeration and to reduce solids by precipitation and centrifuge.

## Costings

CHEMICALS are estimated at US\$ $0.23 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ (see Annex 2). It is assumed that all leathers are full grain, and that possibly a third of the production may be lower grade and sold as unfinished leather (linings or similar). This will mean that the average finishing cost is calculated as US\$ $0.11 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$, and the overall average is US $\$ 0.23 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.

LABOUR COSTING
$\begin{array}{rlrl}\text { From above } & 63 \text { direct workers at US } \$ 120 / \text { month } & = & \text { USS 90,720/year } \\ & 14 \text { indirect workers at US } \$ 270 / \text { month } \\ & & = & \begin{array}{l}\text { US } \$ 45,360 / \text { year }\end{array} \\ & & \text { US } \$ 136,080 / \text { year }\end{array}$

## FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING

Depreciation of machinery (estimated cost US\$ 1.4 mio.) over 10 years is
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

## Total

US\$ 140,000/year
US\$ 42,000/year
US\$ 182,000/year

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. The cost of power input at $700 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and taken for 300 days/year. Taking input as $2.25 \mathrm{t} /$ day, this is a total of US $\$ 25,014$ (EGP 85,050).
2. Water usage for skins, and using paddles, is calculated at $60 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of input. The cost for $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water is EGP 0.60 . The 300 days annual charge is calculated from $135 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{day}(60 \times 2.25)$. This is US\$ 7,150 (EGP 24,300).
3. Effluent charges for complete tannery operation are at $4 \%$ of operating costs in Italy, equivalent to $10 \%$, excluding raw material. The charge here is calculated on that $10 \%$ basis.

Overall Costs for the total annual production of 600,000 skins (about 3 mio. sq.ft). All figures in US\$.

SF/EGY/97/167
M. Woodley

| Item | cost p.a. | per skin | \% costs | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals | 690,000 | 1.15 | 59.7 | A third has no finish |
| Labour | 136,080 | 0.23 | 11.8 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 182,000 | 0.31 | 15.7 |  |
| Overhead 2 | 32,160 | 0.05 | 2.8 | Fixed (only machinery, no buildings) |
| Overhead 3 | 115,200 | 0.19 | 10.0 | Variable - power - effluent |
| Total | $1,155,840$ | 1.93 | 100.0 |  |

Working Capital is needed for
Raw skin store for 2 weeks.
Skins in work for 18 days.
Chemicals store for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.)
US\$ 172,500
Conversion costs of Work in Progress, excluding raw material, for 18 days US\$ 69,500
Total
US\$ $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 0 0 0}$

## Suggested layout for finished leather tannery from raw skins

Production area of $1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m})$ with the wet end section, up to the vacuum drier, being separated from the crust and finishing areas by a 29 m long wall.
Approximate scale 1:500


Key to plan

|  | Operation |  | Operation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Raw hide store | 17 | Vacuum drier $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ I table |
| 2 | Chemical stores | 18 | Dried leather |
| 3 | Services - boiler 3 t steam/h | 19 | Conditioning 1800 mm st thto' |
| 4 | Personnel | 20 | Staking 1600 mm through feed |
| 5 | Offices | 21 | Crust sort |
| 6 | Soak paddle $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 22 | Finishing office, colour mix |
| 7 | Lime painting and unhairing | 23 | Toggling 1800 mm conveyor |
| 8 | 2 lime paddles $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 24 | Milling drum $2.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 9 | 2 fleshing machines 1600 mm | 25 | Polishing 1800 mm |
| 10 | 1 tanning drum $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 26 | Roller coater 1800 mm |
| 11 | Horsed overnight | 27 | Rotary press 1800 mm |
| 12 | Sammying 1800 mm | 28 | Spraying $1800 \mathrm{~mm}, 2$ cabins |
| 13 | Shaving 1300 mm | 29 | Measuring 1800 mm |
| 14 | Dye and retan drums: 1 of $2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 30 | Sort and despatch |
| 15 | Dye house office and laboratory | 31 | Chrome recovery $4 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| 16 | Setting out 1600 mm | 32 | Effluent pre-treatment $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

## 5. Production of finished and suede leathers from limed splits

This type of specialised leather manufacture will use the flesh splits resulting, as a by-product, from other tannery productions. The raw material may be in limed, pickled or wet-blue condition and allows the tanner a lot of flexibility to produce a wide range of profitable leathers at competitive prices. It can perform very efficiently as an independent unit if there is a sufficient supply of raw material.

The tannery design is at a medium production level and allows for a production of finished and suede splits. Dyed suede is a more demanding production, with regard to expertise and raw material, but it can provide an increased profit margin.

The Input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and chemicals, which are required to convert the flesh splits into finished leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment.

The Final Products can include chrome tanned and vegetable tanned splits. The chrome leathers may be either finished or suede for shoe uppers or linings, or as suede for garments or industrial gloves. Suede splits can have a good market for training shoes and the vegetable tanned leathers have another outlet in leather goods, soles and insoles. The present plans do not allow for any pure vegetable tanned split leathers.

Production quantity is based on processing 1,000 whole hide splits per day, about 5.0 t limed weight, or equivalent, producing about $10,000 \mathrm{sq.ft} /$ day. This would be 300,000 pieces/year. It is assumed that the pelt weight of a 10 sq.ft hide flesh split is 5.0 kg , with a wet-blue shaved weight of 2.5 kg (yields of 2.0 and 4.0 respectively). Dry crust weight is 1.5 kg .

Capital required is US\$ 1.2 million for equipment without any allowances for bank costs, buildings and any type local duty or tax. Full production needs a working capital of US $\$ 520,000$ plus the costs of the splits in the raw material store and for 14 days work in progress. A conversion cost of US\$7 per whole butt split is estimated, which excludes the raw material.

Area required is $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}: 1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production and $800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for non-production.
Personnel required are 57, of whom 12 are indirect. These have to include at least 2 leather technicians (wet work, finishing and suede production) and an engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent plant).
Their costs are calculated at two different rates, US\$ 4/day (EGP 13/day) and US\$ 9/day (EGP 30/day), with 30 days/month. These rates represent the range of pay for calculations and it should be understood that it does not assume that all indirect workers are paid at the higher rate.

Production schedule showing the Work in Progress is as follows:

| Workday | Process | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Delime, pickle, tan | Overnight in drum |
| $2-3$ (veg.) | Vegetable tan piled | Well covered |
| 2 | Chrome piled |  |
| 3 | Sammy, sort, shave |  |
| 4 | Retannage | As required |
| 4 | Horsed | Overnight |
| 5 | Set out well, dry | Vacuum, then hang dry? or only hang? |
| 6 | Dry and pile |  |
| 7 | Stake, buff, dedust | Now as crust for suede, see below |
| $8-10$ | Finishing as required | Can be all by hand except press work |
| 8 | Sorting suede crust | Prepare dye loads |
| 9 | Suede dyeing |  |
| 10 | Set out suede and dry | Vacuum, then hang dry? or only hang? |
| 11 | Stake dyed suede, mill, toggle |  |
| 11 | Sort + measure finished |  |
| 12 | Top buff suede, dedust |  |
| 12 | Pack finished |  |
| 13 | Sort + measure suede |  |
| 14 | Pack suede |  |

Estimates in work days - Crust 7, Finished 3, Suede 5, Pack 2, total of 12-14 workdays
Basic pattern for finishing: Coater (or hand padding) - 2 coats, smooth plate, coat/pad, haircell print, spray $2^{\times}$.
Suede is dyed from special retanned crust as above.
Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and hours per day.

| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | KW | Hd | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | 2 | Handcarts |  |  |  | Check and sort |
| Relime option |  | Pits in store | ? |  |  | For limed splits only |
| Delime/tan | $3+1$ id | 2: $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 93 | 25 | 20 | $2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{drum}$ |
| Sammy | 2 | 1:1800 through | 61 | 25 | 8 |  |
| Sort | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Shave | 2 | 1: 1800 mm | 58 | 55 | 8 |  |
| Retan | $4+1$ id | $1: 2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 24 | 15 | 16 | 900 kg shaved $2 \mathrm{x} /$ day |
| Retan |  | $1: 2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 15 | 10 | 8 | 500 kg shaved |
| Set out | 2 | 1:2400 mm | 80 | 45 | 8 |  |
| Vacuum dry | 4 | 1:1 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 50 | 40 | 8 | With chiller. Suede: $8+\mathrm{h}$ |
| Hang dry | 2 | 100 m with drive | 35 | 2 | 8 | Could be all sticks. |
| Stake | 2 | 1:1600 mm thro' | 53 | 17 | 4 |  |
| Buff/dedust | 4 | 1:1800 mm | 56 | 40 | 12 | 830 splits 3x. Suede: $12+$ |
| Buff-suede | 1 | 1:800 mm | 22 | 10 | 8 | Extra needed |
| Sort crust | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Coater/drier | 4 | $1: 1800 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 54 | 15 | 12 | $3 \times$ or +10 workers? |
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| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | KW | Hd | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Press | 4 | $1: 880 \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 97 | 40 | 16 |  |
| Spray | $2+1 \mathrm{id}$ | 1:1800 mm | 61 | 25 | 8 | 1 cabin + tunnel |
| Measure | 2 | 1:1800 mm | 25 | 4 | 4 | Electronic |
| Sort | 1 id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Pack | 1 | Table |  |  |  |  |
| Dye-suede | $2+1 \mathrm{id}$ | $1: 2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$. OD | 24 | 15 | 16 | $500 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{dry} 2 \times /$ day |
| Dye - suede |  | $1: 2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 15 | 10 | 16 | 250 kg dry $1-2 \times /$ day |
| Trial - suede |  | $1: 1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 12 | 10 |  | Trial dyeing |
| Mill-suede | 2 | $1: 2.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | 30 | 8 | 16 |  |
| Toggle-suede | As team | 1: machine 3618 | 48 | 27 | 8 | Compact type |
| Control lab | 1 id | Basic | 10 |  | 8 | Control |
| Scales |  | $1: 300 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $2: 30 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Compressor |  | $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | 23 | 15 | 16 | 7 bar |
| Boiler | 1 id | 1:3 t steam $/ \mathrm{h}$ | 40 | 15 | 16 | 2 or 15 bar |
| Cr recovery |  | $2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | 25 | 10 | 16 | If tanning, small scale |
| Effluent | 1 id | $30 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}$ | 50 | 35 | 6 | According to scale |
| Office | 2 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 45/12 id |  | 1,064 | 513 |  | Extras: suede production |
| Add 15\% |  | $7 \%$ spares + $8 \%$ shipment | 160 |  |  | + installation |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1,224 |  |  |  |

Technology covers a wide range of tanning and retanning methods to cover the different raw materials and wide variety of final leathers. The daily input is divided between 2 tanning drums to allow flexibility in production.
If the splits arrive in the limed state, ideally they will go into production without delay. However, it is also practical to store them for a short time in weak lime liquor. Productions from the lime have the advantage of a known and uniform tannage.
In contrast, wet-blue leathers may be stored for longer periods. As they are usually from a number of different sources, a re-chroming process is normal to reduce the variations.
Other possibilities could include the slower vegetable tannage or the special retanned crust for better quality suede dyeing. The majority is expected to be chrome tanned with a suitable retannage to assist finishing. Here also there is a lot of flexibility from basic hand padding to special foam finishes from the roller coater. The high performance finishes have increased the added value of splits. Suede is prepared from a special crust with extra buffing. This dry crust is then wet back, dyed and dried before a final buffing. The special dyestuffs are a significant extra cost, but there are no finishing chemical costs.
The effluent requires less pre-treatment than a full tannery operation but still needs attention, depending on actual production mix and scale. A small chrome recovery plant is included. Solids in the main effluent will be reduced by precipitation and centrifuge.

## Costings

CHEMICALS are estimated at US\$ $0.56 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$, using the proportion of $80 \%$ finished $/ 20 \%$ suede for the basic costs of US $\$ 0.60 / \mathrm{sq} / \mathrm{ft}$ for finished and US $\$ 0.40 / \mathrm{sq}$.ft for suede splits (see Annex 2).

## LABOUR COSTING

| From above | 45 direct workers at US\$ 120/month | $=$ | US $\$ 64,800$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 12 indirect workers at US\$ 270/month | $=$ | US\$ 38,880 |
|  |  |  | Total |

## FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING

Depreciation of machinery (estimated cost of US\$ 1.21 mio.) over 10 years

US\$ 122,400
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

US\$ 36,700
US\$ 159,100

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. The cost of power input at $460 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and taken for 300 days/year. This is a total of US\$ 36,500/year (EGP 124,200/year).
2. Water usage is $30 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of input. The cost of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ is EGP 0.60 . The 300 days annual charge is calculated from $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~m})$. This is US $\$ 7,900$ (EGP 27,000).
3. Effluent charges for complete tannery operation are at $4 \%$ of the operating costs in Italy, equivalent to $10 \%$ excluding the raw material. As the split processing is after the liming and unhairing, the costs are estimated at half the full cost ( $5 \%$ of costs excluding the raw material).

Overall Costs for the total annual production of 300,000 pieces. All figures are in US\$.

| Item | Cost p.a. | per split | \% costs | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals | $1,680,000$ | 5.60 | 80.7 | Average from above as $80 / 20$ |
| Labour | 103,680 | 0.34 | 4.9 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 159,100 | 0.50 | 7.2 | Fixed: machinery. No buildings |
| Overhead 2 | 44,400 | 0.15 | 2.2 | Variable - power and water |
| Overhead 3 | 104,590 | 0.35 | 5.0 | Variable - effluent |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 1 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## Working Capital is needed for

Limed/ wet-blue split store for 2 weeks.
Splits in work for 14 days.
Chemicals store for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.)
US\$ 420,000
Conversion costs of Work in Progress for 14 days, with a value of
US\$ 98,000
Total
US\$ $\mathbf{5 1 8 , 0 0 0}$

## Suggested layout for finished and suede split leather tannery

Production area of $1600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m})$ with the wet end section, up to the vacuum drier, being separated from the crust and finishing areas by a 29 m long wall.
Approximate scale 1:500


Key to plan

|  | Operation |  | Operation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Split store:limed/ wet-blue/ pickle | 16 | Staking machine 1600 mm |
| 2 | 2 limed splits storage pits | 17 | Split crust sort |
| 3 | Chemical stores | 18 | Buffing and dedusting 1800 mm |
| 4 | Services - boiler 3 t steam/h | 19 | Buffing 800 mm |
| 5 | Personnel | 20 | Milling drum $2.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 6 | Offices | 21 | Toggling 3618 compact |
| 7 | 2 tanning drums $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 22 | Roller coater 1800 mm |
| 8 | Tanned splits piled | 23 | Spraying 1800 mm |
| 9 | Sammying machine 1800 mm | 24 | Finishing office, colour mix |
| 10 | Shaving 1800 mm | 25 | Hydraulic press $1370 \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| 11 | 2 retanning drums: $2.5 \times 1.8,2 \times 1.5$ | 26 | Measuring 1800 mm |
| 12 | 2 suede dye drums: $2.5 \times 1.8,2 \times 1.5$ and trial drum $1.2 \times 0.8$ | 27 | Sorting and despatch |
| 13 | Dye house office and laboratory | 28 | Chrome recovery for 2.5 m 3 |
| 14 | Setting out 2400 mm | 29 | Effluent pre-treatment 30 m 3 |
| 15 | vacuum drier 1 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |

## 6. Heavy vegetable tanned leather production from raw hides

This design is for a mechanised tannery working with a mixture of pits and drums to produce a well tanned sole leather from Culattas (whole hides without the shoulders). Shoulders are cropped (cut) after fleshing for a separate, and faster, drum tannage for insoles, or similar. A lighter vegetable tannage of the shoulders and bellies could make such leather for bags, small leather goods, or sandals. The extra investment required is not viable at the present production level.

The Input consists of the processing equipment, personnel and chemicals, which are required to convert the raw cattle hides into finished leather for individual customers. The buildings need to have specific construction for supporting the process drums and for the drainage channels, as planned for effluent pre-treatment.

The Final Products are in a range of thick and firmer materials, for example, the heavy vegetable tanned leather for soles ( $3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), insoles ( $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and belts ( 2 mm ). These may be sold as Culattas (the whole hide minus the shoulder), whole Butts, Bends (half butts), Shoulders and Bellies.

Production quantity is based on processing 4.5 t /day ( 200 hides of 22 kg , or 150 hides of 30 kg ). This is estimated to produce $3.0 \mathrm{t} /$ day of finished heavy leather, or $900 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{year}$.

Capital required is US\$ 1.0 mio. for equipment, without allowances for bank costs, buildings and any local duty or tax. It is difficult to obtain new equipment prices for some specialised operations and the reconditioned machinery market can be more rewarding. Full production needs a working capital of US $\$ 348,000$ plus the costs of the hides in store and for 26 days Work in Progress. A conversion cost to heavy leather (Culatta and shoulder) of US $\$ 21.60 /$ whole hide - or US $\$ 0.96 / \mathrm{kg}$. of input - is estimated, excluding the raw material.

Area required is $2750 \mathrm{~m}^{2}: 2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for production and $750 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are for non-production. Extra area is needed for the pits and the longer process time.

Personnel required are 36, of whom 6 are indirect. These have to include at least 1 leather technician (tanner) and an engineer (machinery maintenance and effluent plant).
The costs are calculated at two different rates, US\$4/day (EGP 13/day) and US\$ 9/day (EGP 30/day), with 30 days/month. These rates represent the range of pay for calculations and it should be understood that it does not assume that all indirect workers are paid at the higher rate.

Production schedule, showing the Work in Progress is as follows:

| Workday | Process - Hide/Culatta | Process - Shoulder | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Soak drum. 2-3 rpm |  | Over night. |
| 2 | Lime drum - first stage |  | Hair-save system |
| 3 | Lime drum - second stage |  | White lime |
| 4 | Fleshing and cut into Culatta and <br> Shoulder | Split shoulder only | Now separate process; <br> could include bellies |
| $4-5$ | Delime and pretan | Delime, pickle, pretan | $2-3$ rpm |
| $5-13$ | Pit tanning - 3 stages |  |  |
| $5-6$ |  |  |  |
| $6-8$ |  | Drum tannage 2-3 rpm |  |
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| Workday | Process - Hide/Culafta | Process -Shoulder | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 |  | Sammy, shave | Finish as Culattas? |
| 10 |  | Bleach and oil, retan? |  |
| 12 |  | Set, hang, slow dry |  |
| $14-16$ | Drum tannage 2-3 rpm | Slow dry and set out |  |
| 17 |  | Dry and heavy roll |  |
| $16-18$ | Culatta: piled/well covered |  |  |
| 18 |  | Weigh and sort |  |
| 19 | Sammy, shave | Pack |  |
| 20 | Bleach and oil. 10-12 rpm |  |  |
| 21 | Set out, hang for slow dry |  |  |
| $21-24$ | Slow dry and setting out |  | Adjust dry for locality |
| $25-26$ | Drying and heavy rolling |  |  |
| 27 | Weigh and sort |  |  |
| 28 | Pack |  |  |

Estimated production time for the sole leather is 28 workdays, others as 19 workdays; average of 26.

Equipment costs are in US\$ thousands, 'id' refers to indirect worker. The power and working time required are shown in kW and hours per day.

| Operation | Workers | Equipment | Cost | kW | H/d | Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Store | $4+1$ id | Handcarts |  |  |  | Check and sort |
| Soak/lime |  | 3: $3.5 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ drums, for hair-save and recycle | 180 | 90 | 24 | 2-3 rpm |
| Flesh | 2 | 1:2700 mm | 109 | 75 | 2 | + cleaning |
| Cutting | Team | Manual |  |  |  |  |
| Splitting | 4 | 1: 1800 mm | 106 | 18 | 2 | Limed shoulders |
| Delime etc. | $2+1 \mathrm{id}$ | 1:3×3 m drum 4-5 rpm | 35 | 30 | 20 | 3.4 t of Culattas |
| Delime tan |  | 2: $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ drum 5 rpm | 42 | 20 | 24 | 1.0 t of Shoulders |
| Tanning | 6 | 18 pits: $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10 ? | 25 ? | 24 | Pumps and rockers? |
| Tanning | $2+1$ id | 2:3×3m drum $2-3 \mathrm{rpm}$ | 70 | 60 | 24 | 3.4 t of Culattas |
| Sammying | 2 | 1: 1800 mm thro ${ }^{\circ}$ | 61 | 25 | 3 |  |
| Shaving | 1 | 1: 1800 mm | 76 | 55 | 5 |  |
| Bleach/oil | 2 | $1: 3.0 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ drum | 27 | 16 | 16 | $10-12 \mathrm{rpm}$ |
| Drum set | 1 | 1: heavy drum setting | 30 | 10 | $8+$ | $4 \times$ |
| Rolling | 1 | 1: heavy leather | 60 | 18 | 8 | $2 \times$ |
| Hang dry | $2+$ team | Sticks and fans | 5 ? | 15 | 24 | Separate |
| Sort, pack | $1+1$ id | Table and lights |  |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:2t | 8 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | 1:300 kg | 2 |  |  |  |
| Scales |  | $1: 30 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Effluent | 1 id | $180 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day | 100 | 100 | 24 | Pre-treatment |
| Office | 1 id |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | $30+6 \mathrm{id}$ |  | 922 | 557 |  |  |
| Add 15\% |  | $7 \%$ spares + 8\% shipping | 138 |  |  | + installation |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1,060 |  |  |  |

Workers are spread through different operations as a team.
Technology of vegetable tanning here is for a system of pits and drums, which is faster than the traditional "all pit" system and produces better quality leather than the rapid 'all drum' system. It has a daily input of raw hides, and shoulders are cropped (cut) after fleshing for a separate drum tannage.
This can produce insole leather, but also provides for some flexibility of production.
The use of drums allows a more uniform, and therefore better, quality of production compared with pits. It is also faster.
Soaking and liming is in drums. The unhairing and liming system (hair-save) in the drum is designed for clean technology and to reduce the cost of treating the effluent by $30-40 \%$. After fleshing, the shoulders are cropped of to follow the different process. It is estimated that 1.0 t of shoulders will be removed ( $23 \%$ of the hide), leaving about 3.4 t of Culattas for the pit/drum tannage. It is also an option to cut the bellies at this time, if they are more profitable in another tannage. The shoulders are split as required. Each type is given a complete deliming and a particular pre-tannage, before the shoulders have a rapid drum tannage of 2 days.
The main vegetable tannage is for the Culattas and given as a pit tannage of 9 days, followed by 2 days in a drum to achieve a solid, good quality product. Tan strength increases through 3 stages of pits to the drum and the liquors are recycled between them, adjusting Beaume from 4, 7-9, 12 and 27 respectively. 2 Culattas are hung on each stick, with the butt end down, and it is estimated that
there are 50 sticks in 2.5 m length. 6 pits correspond to each stage. There has to be a daily movement here, which is either a rocker system or manually hauled out and in. A counter current circulation system ensures an efficient use of tanning materials.
The finishing is conventional with bleaching and oiling in a drum and slow drying with setting out. Lighter weight leathers would involve much more finishing equipment.
The design for the liming drums includes the recycling and filters to allow for the hair saving method, and reduces the solids in the effluent.
The drying and shedding procedure will always have to be modified for the local conditions of humidity and temperature. It is assumed that the ambient temperature is high enough for the drying and that no extra heating is needed. A slow dry is needed to prevent migration of loose tannin to the surface.
The estimated total tannery effluent volume of $180 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(4.5 \mathrm{t} \times 40 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ is pre-treated to remove sulphide by aeration and to reduce solids by precipitation and centrifuge. Although there is no chromium problem in the effluent from pure vegetable tanning, the Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) is much higher than a chrome tannery effluent. A suitable secondary treatment system (biological) is needed in the receiving domestic scheme.

## Costings

CHEMICAL COSTS are estimated at US $\$ 0.70 / \mathrm{kg}$ raw hide, or USS $1.05 / \mathrm{kg}$ finished leather (yield of 67\%) - see Annex 2.

## LABOUR COSTING

From above 30 direct workers at US\$ $120 /$ month $\quad=$ US $\$ 43,200 /$ year
6 indirect workers at US $\$ 270$ month $\quad=\underline{\text { US } \$ 19,440 / \text { year }}$
Total US\$ 62,640/year

## FIXED OVERHEAD COSTING

Depreciation of machinery (estimated at US $\$ 1.06$ mio.)
over 10 years
US $\$ 106,000 /$ year
Maintenance, budgeted at $3 \%$ of cost is

USS 31,800/year
US\$ 137,800/year

## VARIABLE OVERHEAD

1. Cost of power input is estimated at $460 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{t}$ of daily input is calculated at EGP $0.18 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and taken for 300 days/year. This is a total of US $\$ 10,960$ (EGP 37,300).
2. Water usage is $40 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{t}$ of raw input. The cost for $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ is EGP 0.60 . The 300 -day charge is calculated from $180 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day. This is US $\$ 9,530$ (EGP 32,400).
3. Effluent charges in Italy are $4 \%$ of operating costs, equivalent to $10 \%$ of costs excluding raw material. The estimates here are made on that $10 \%$ basis.

Overall Costs for the total annual production of $1,350 \mathrm{t}$ of hide input (about 900 t of heavy leather output) is related to 200 hides at 22.5 kg average. All figures in US\$.
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| Item | Cost p.a. | Per hide | \% costs | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Chemicals | 945,000 | 15.75 | 73.0 |  |
| Labour | 62,640 | 1.04 | 4.8 |  |
| Overhead 1 | 137,800 | 2.30 | 10.6 | Fixed -only machinery. No buildings |
| Overhead 2 | 20,490 | 0.34 | 1.6 | Variable - power and water |
| Overhead 3 | 129,500 | 2.16 | 10.0 | Variable-effluent |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 5 , 4 3 0}$ | 21.59 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Working Capital is needed for

1. Raw hide store for 2 weeks.
2. Hides in work for 26 days.
3. Chemicals store for 3 months (including order, delivery etc.) US\$ 236,250
4. Conversion costs of Work in Progress for 26 days.

US\$ 112,270
Total
US\$ 348,520

## Suggested layout for heavy leather tannery from raw hides

Production area of $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(50 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m})$ with the wet end section, up to drum setting, being separated from the drying and finishing areas by a 31 m long wall.
Approximate scale 1:500

Key to plan

| Operation |  | Operation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Raw hide store | 14 | Sammying 1800 mm |
| 2 | Chemical stores | 15 | Bleach and oil: 1 drum $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 3 | Services | 16 | Drum setting out machine |
| 4 | Personnel | 17 | Culattas hung to dry -5 days |
| 5 | Office | 18 | Heavy rolling machine |
| 6 | 3 soak/lime drums $3.5 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 19 | Sort and pack |
| 7 | Fleshing machine 2700 mm | 20 | Spliting machine 1800 mm |
| 8 | Delime/pretan Culattas: drum $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 21 | Delime/tan shoulders: 2 drums $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 9 | 6 tanning pits - first stage Culattas | 22 | Shoulders piled after tannage -2 days |
| 10 | 6 tanning pits - second stage Culattas | 23 | Shaving machine 1800 mm |
| 11 | 6 tanning pits - third stage Culattas | 24 | Shoulders hung to dry -5 days |
| 12 | 2 tanning drums $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}-$ Culattas | 25 | Effluent pre-treatment -180 m |
| 13 | Culattas piled after tannage -2 days |  |  |

M. Woodley

Annex 1

## Equipment

This list is only indicative and there are other suppliers, in addition to those listed below. All of these prices are also only an INDICATION of the FOB costs because - the local commission will vary, the exchange rate changes, there is no allowance for any type of local duty/tax and there are always special requirements for equipment and extras. They are not related to any specific country. Discounts are certainly possible for large orders etc.

Always allow an extra $15 \%: 7 \%$ for Spares to be ordered with the equipment and $8 \%$ for Shipping and Installation.
Comparative costs are US\$ thousands. Drum capacity is volume, only $40 \%$ is used. Hides are 20 $22 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{pc}$.

| Item | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity (h) } \\ k W \end{gathered}$ | Cost-a | Cost - b | Cost-c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water batching | Feeds 5 drums | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min} \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 17 PAJ | 17 ITPR |  |
| Water batching | 6 to 12 drums | ? | 22-24 VAL |  |  |
| Lime drum | $2.0 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 0.75 \mathrm{t}$ | $18^{*} \mathrm{VAL}$ | 20 ITPR | $21^{*} \mathrm{PAJ}$ |
| Lime drum | $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{mOD}$ | $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 1.6 \mathrm{t}$ | $26^{*} \mathrm{PAJ}$ | $26^{*} \mathrm{VAL}$ | 30 ITPR |
| Lime drum | $3.0 \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 2.7 \mathrm{t} \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 33* PAJ | $38^{*}$ VAL | 40 ITPR |
| Lime drum | 4.0 x 4.0 m OD | $43 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 7 \mathrm{t}$ 30 | 60 ITPR | $61^{*} \mathrm{PAJ}$ | 64* VAL |
| Lime paddle | $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.25 \mathrm{t} \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20 ITPR | $26^{*} \mathrm{PAJ}$ |  |
| Lime paddle | $8.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 2.55 t | 28 VAL |  |  |
| Lime paddle | $15 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 4.5 t | 30 ITPR | 36 VAL | 37 PAJ |
| Sulphide applicat. | 1800 mm | 400 skins | $38 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |  |
| De-wooling | 1600 mm | 200 skins | $53 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Fleshing | 1600 mm | 200 skins 18 | 46 CM | 48 AL | $53 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |
| Fleshing | 2200 mm | 100 sides/hides | 111 RIZ |  |  |
| Fleshing | 2700 mm | 100 hides 75 | 109 POL | 115 RLZ |  |
| Fleshing | 3100 mm | 120 hides 75 | $123 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ | 117 RIZ |  |
| Lime splitting | 1800 mm | 120 sides 18 | 106 MSC | 137 riz |  |
| Lime splitting | 2400 mm | 120 sides/hides | 139 RIZ |  |  |
| Lime splitting | 3000 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \text { hides } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 119 MSC | $139 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ | 143 RIZ |
| Tanning | $2.0 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $4.5 \mathrm{~m} 3: 1.1 \mathrm{t}$ | $21 \mathrm{PAJ}^{*}$ | 19* VAL |  |


| Item | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity }(/ h) \\ k W \end{gathered}$ | Cost - a | Cost -b | Cost-c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tanning | $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{mOD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 2.5 \mathrm{t} \\ 25 \end{array}$ | 26 PAJ* | $28^{*}$ VAL |  |
| Tanning | $3.0 \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 4.3 \mathrm{t} \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 35 PAJ* | $41 \mathrm{VAL} *$ |  |
| Tanning | $3.5 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r}28 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 7 \mathrm{t} \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 45 PAJ* | $46 \mathrm{VAL}{ }^{*}$ | 50 ITPR |
| Samm st/thro' | 1800 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 250 \text { skins } \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 61 3p | $102 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |
| Samm st/thro' | 1800 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \text { sides } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | 61 3p | $102 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |
| Samm st/thro' | 2100 mm | 120 sides | 65 3p | 109 RIZ |  |
| Samm st/thro' | 2400 mm | 120 sides | 683 p | 74 BAU | 110 RIZ |
| Samm st/thro | 2700 mm | 120 hides | 723 p | 92 cm | 109 BAU |
| Samm st/thro' | 3000 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \text { hides } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | 75 3p | 114 RIZ | $122 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |
| Samm st/thro' | 3200 mm | 150 hides | 793 p |  |  |
| Reciproc. Sammy | 1600 mm | 200 skins | 52 cm |  |  |
| Reciproc. Sammy | 1850 mm | 100 sides | 88 CM |  |  |
| Reciproc. Sammy | 2250 mm | 100 sides | 89 CM |  |  |
| WB splitting | 1800 mm | 150 sides | 100 MSC | 132 RIZ |  |
| WB splitting | 2400 mm | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \text { sides } \\ & \text { or } 120 \text { hides } \end{aligned}$ | 136 RIZ |  |  |
| Dry shaving | 1500 mm | 200 skins | $80 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Shaving | 1300 mm | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 200 \text { skins } \\ 40 \end{array}$ | 58 AL |  |  |
| Shaving | 1500 mm | 200 skins | 66 RIZ |  |  |
| Shaving | 1700 mm | 90-120 sides | 68 RIZ |  |  |
| Shaving | 1800 mm . | $\begin{array}{r} 90-120 \text { sides } \\ 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58 AL | $76 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ | 85 POL |
| Shaving | 1900 mm | 90-120 sides | 106 RIZ |  |  |
| Retan/Dyeing | $3.0 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 1.5 \mathrm{t} \\ 16 \end{array}$ | 27 PAJ* | $30 \mathrm{VAL} *$ | 35 ITPR |
| Retan/Dyeing | $2.5 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 0.9 \mathrm{t} \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 24 PAJ* | $24 \mathrm{VAL}^{*}$ | 25 ITPR |
| Retan/Dyeing | $2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{mOD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}: 0.5 \mathrm{t} \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $22 \mathrm{PAJ}{ }^{*}$ | $22 \mathrm{VAL} *$ | 18 ITPR |
| Sammy/set | 1600 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \text { skins } \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 48 AL | $52 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{T}$ | $52 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |
| Setting out | 2750 mm | 100 sides | 85 CM |  |  |
| Setting out | 2700 mm | 100 sides | 99 POL | 108 CTG | 111 BAU |
| Sammy/set | 2400 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \text { sides } \\ 45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 80 AL | 113 RIZ |  |
| Sammy/set | 3000 mm |  | $105 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Vacuum | 2 tables $4 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 360skins (6 workers) | 40 FIN |  |  |
| Vacuum | 3 tables $4 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 540 skins | 50 FIN |  |  |


| Item | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity }(/ h) \\ k W \end{gathered}$ | Cost - a | Cost -b | Cost-c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacuum + chiller | 1 table $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \text { side } \\ \text { or } 240 \text { skin } \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 54 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum + chiller | 2 tables $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 60 sides/480 skins | 127 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum + chiller | 3 tables $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \text { sides } \\ \text { or } 480 \text { ? skins } \end{array}$ | 157 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum + chiller | 4 tables $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 180 sides | 195 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum + chiller | 5 tables $4 \times 2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 180 ? sides | 230 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum | 1 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 45 sides or 360 skin 35 | 34 FIN | 47 CTG |  |
| Chiller for | 1 table $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10 | 14 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum | 2 tables $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \text { sides } \\ \text { or } 720 \text { skins } \end{array}$ | 47 FIN | 114 CTG |  |
| Chiller for | 2 tables $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | 18 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum | 3 tables $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \text { sides } \\ \text { or } 720 \text { ? skins } \end{array}$ | 63 FIN | 140 CTG |  |
| Chiller for | 3 tables $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | 22 CTG |  |  |
| Vacuum | 2 tables $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 480 skins | 44 FIN |  |  |
| Vacuum | 3 tables $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 720 \text { skin? } \\ \text { (8workers) } \end{array}$ | 56 FIN |  |  |
| Rotovac GT | $6 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | 100 sides | $122 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Overhead chain | 100 m | 10 sides or $30 \mathrm{skins} / \mathrm{m}$ | 15 ITPR | $28 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ | 29 POL |
| Chain drive | Up to 250 m | - 2 | 5 ITPR | $7 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Conditioner | 1800 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \text { sides } \\ \text { or } 800 \text { skin } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 14 POL | $15 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |
| Conditioner | 2200 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \text { sides } \\ \text { or } 1000 \text { skins } \end{array}$ | $17 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |  |
| Conditioner | 3000 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 180 \text { hides } \\ \text { or } 240 \text { sides } \end{array}$ | $19 \mathrm{F-V}$ |  |  |
| Rotary staker | 1700 mm | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \text { skins } \\ & \text { or splits } \end{aligned}$ | 48 AL |  |  |
| Stake st./through | 1600 mm | 240 sides 17 | 53 CTG |  |  |
| Stake sttthrough | 2000 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \text { sides } \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58 CTG |  |  |
| Toggle conveyor | $1800 \mathrm{~mm} 5 \times 19 \mathrm{~m}$ | 120 side or 140 skin 25 | $94 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |  |
| Toggle machine | 1512: $15 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \text { skins only } \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $39 \mathrm{F-V}$ |  |  |


| Item | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity }(/ h) \\ k W \end{gathered}$ | Cost - a | Cost-b | Cost-c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toggle machine | 3618: $20 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 40 side or 120 skin 27 | $48 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V}$ |  |  |
| Buffing | 800 mm | 200 skins 10 | 21 AL |  |  |
| Buffing | 1300 mm | 200 skins | 36 AL |  |  |
| Dedusting | 1300 mm |  | 24 AL |  |  |
| Buffing | 1500 mm | 200 skins | $78 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Dedusting | 1500 mm | 1400 skins | SETM-T |  |  |
| Wet buffing | 1500 mm | 200 skins | 54 AL |  |  |
| Buffing | 1800 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \text { sides } \\ 40 \text { for } \end{array}$ | 35 AL | 71 FOR | 82 FOR |
| Dedusting | 1800 mm | $600 \text { sides }$ set | 21 AL | SET POL | Set M-T |
| Milling | $2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $3.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 15 PAJ |  |  |
| Milling | $2.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{OD}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 VAL |  |  |
| Polishing | 600 mm | 150 skins? | 22 AL |  |  |
| Polishing | 1800 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \text { sides? } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 39 AL |  |  |
| Roller coater | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \mathrm{~mm} / 3 \text { for } \\ & \text { firm } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180 \text { sides } \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 27 GEM |  |  |
| Tunnel dry | For coater | 180 sides | 27 GEM | 31 POL |  |
| Roller coater | $1800 \mathrm{~mm} / 3$ softer |  | 65 GEM |  |  |
| Spray - transverse | 1800 mm 1 cabin | 160 sides | 48 CARL |  |  |
| Spray - transverse | 1800 mm 2 cabin | 160 sides | 81 CARL |  |  |
| Spray - transverse | 2200 mm 1 cabin | 160 sides | 50 CARL |  |  |
| Spray-transverse | 2200 mm 2 cabin | 160 sides | 82 CARL |  |  |
| Spray - rotary | 1800 mm 1 cabin | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \text { side } \\ \text { or } 360 \text { skin } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 61 CARL | $75 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |
| Spray -rotary | 1800 mm 2 cabin | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \text { sides } \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 101 CARL |  |  |
| Spray-rotary | 2200 mm 1 cabin | 240 sides | 63 CARL |  |  |
| Spray-rotary | 2200 mm 2 cabin | 240 sides | 105 CARL |  |  |
| Spray-rotary | 2600 mm 1 cabin | 200 hides | 72 CARL |  |  |
| Spray-rotary | 2600 mm 2 cabin | 200 hides | 118 CARL | 149 POL |  |
| Rotary press | 1800 mm iron | 300 sides | 89 ROT |  |  |
| Rotary press | 1800 mm 3 rolls | $300 \text { sides }$ $28$ | 124 ROT |  |  |
| Rotary press | 3000 mm 3 rolls | 300 hides | 158 ROT |  |  |
| Rotary iron | 1520 mm | 140 skins | 50 AL |  |  |
| Finiflex iron | 1600 mm | 180 skins | $60 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{T}$ |  |  |
| Glazing machine |  | 60 skins? | 13 AL |  |  |
| Hydraulic press | $880 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 80 sides | 80 MST |  |  |
| Hydraulic press | $1370 \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 120 \text { sides } \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 97 MST |  |  |

SF/EGY/97/167
M. Woodley

| Item | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity }(/ h) \\ \mathrm{kW} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cost - a | Cost - b | Cost - c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measuring | Electr. 2200 mm | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \text { sides } \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 24 GER |  |  |
| Measuring | 2200 mm | 100 sides |  |  |  |
| Control lab. |  |  | $10 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Lab. drum | $1.5 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 11 PaJ | 14VAL | 22 ITPR |
| Lab. drum | $1.2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $0.36 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 12 VAL |  |  |
| Lab. drum | $1.2 \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | 15 ITPR |  |  |
| Lab. drum | $1.0 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | 13 ITPR |  |  |
| Lab. drum | $1.0 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $0.25 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 11 PAJ |  |  |
| Hand spray | Cabin |  | 5 ? |  |  |
| Scales | 5 t |  | $12 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Scales | 2 t |  | $8 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Scales | 300 kg |  | $2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Scales | 30 kg |  | $0.5 \mathrm{C-P}$ |  |  |
| F/lift-electric | 4 t |  | 50 C -P |  |  |
| F/ lift-electric | 2 t |  | $30 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Elec. pallet truck | 2 t |  | $10 \mathrm{C-P}$ |  |  |
| Hand pallet truck | 2 t |  | $0.75 \mathrm{C-P}$ |  |  |
| Horse |  | 150 sides | 0.1 |  |  |
| Pallet |  | 100 hides | 0.033 |  |  |
| Charger | For accumulators | For pallet truck | $2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| Air compressor | $2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \mathrm{bar}$ | 8 guns: 1 cabin | 15 CARL |  |  |
| Air compressor | $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}, 7$ bar | 16 guns: 2 cabin 15 | 23 CARL | 25 CEC |  |
| Air compressor | $75 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \mathrm{bar}$ | General | 220 CEC |  |  |
| Boiler | 2 or 15 bar | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \mathrm{t} \text { steam } / \mathrm{h} \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 40 BIA |  |  |
| Chrome recovery | $\begin{aligned} & 6-10 \mathrm{t} \tan \text { load } \\ & \text { input } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { batch } \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 60 UN | 70 ITPR |  |
| Effluent plant | Primary, solids: centrifuge | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day} \\ 250 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $300 \mathrm{C-P}$ |  |  |
| Effluent | Primary |  | 70 ITPR |  |  |
| Effluent | Biological |  | 60 ITPR |  |  |
| Effluent | Sludge: press |  | 70 ITPR |  |  |

AISI 316 (drums) is OK for formic acid and salt but NOT for sulphuric, oxalic or hydrochloric.
AISI 304 (vacuum) is NOT OK for the formic and salt.
$10 \mathrm{HP}=7.5 \mathrm{~kW} ; \mathrm{KVA} \times 0.8=\mathrm{kW}$

- Drums are basic prices but all have extras for recycling and filter - PaJ is US $\$ 41$ for 2 lime drums; Val US\$ 19/drum and less (smaller drum 11). Recycling on Val tanning is US\$ 5 and US\$3 for other extras with temperature control US\$ 2. VAL retanning drum has cyclone and filters for US\$ 10/drum and US\$ 4 other extras. All are useful and clean technology. Prices are not included in the above table, except for milling. PaJ only offers lime filters but has dust control in milling and soft starters everywhere. The Val milling drum has all extras added to give equivalent comparison. Needs detailed check on specification.
- Chrome recovery is based on simple approach to precipitate with Magnesium Oxide, decant supernatant and re-dissolve in concentrated Sulphuric acid. Needs laboratory Control. Can reduce tanning cost by $25 \%$ i.e. 0.9 US cents/, for unsplit hides if chrome cost is 3.6 US cents/. Pay back period calculated at 3 years (UNIDO). Cost for $6 t$ of tannery input. *Vacuum driers have net are 0.2 m less. All Finvac are flexible for sizes (custom made).
- Effluent system has separate sulphide aeration, equalisation (balancing) tank, dosing and separation of solids by centrifuge. No secondary (biological) treatment.
- "Just in time" drying unit from Cartigliano produces 90-100 hides/h (6 table vacuum) for US $\$ 670,000,2,000$ hides $/ 24 \mathrm{~h}$.


## Italian producers

| Code | Name | Address | Fax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | AlETTI S.R.L. | via Tiepolo 14-2100 Varese | 0332-331917 |
| BAU | BaUCE Tri.MA S.R.L. | via del lavoro 27-36070 Trissino (VI) | 0445-490068 |
| BIA | BIASI S.P.A. | Via Leopoldo Biasi 1, 37135 Verona | 045-8090333 |
| Ctg | CARTIGLIANO S.P.A. | Via San Giuseppe 2-36050 Cartigliano (VI) | 0424-598035 |
| Carl | Carlessi | Via Sprirana- 24059 Urgamano (BG) | 035-891067 |
| CEC | Ceccato | Alte ceccato di montecchio maggiore (Vicza) | 0444-695544 |
| CM | CM S.P.A. | v. Cristof. Colombo 17-56029 S.Croce (PI) | 0571-34785 |
| F-M | Forni Varese | v. enrico fermi $25-21027$ ispra | 0332-780854 |
| GEM | GEmATA S.P.A. | v.Rampa dell'Agno 6,36070 Trissino ( VI) | 0445-490111 |
| GER | GER Elettronica s.r.L | v.dell'Artig. 26-36075 Montecchio Mg. (VI) | 0444-499083 |
| ITPR | ITALPROGETTI | Lung. Pacin 59/A-56020 San Romano (Pisa) | 0571-450301 |
| Msc | MOSCONI \& C S.P.A. | v.del commercio 7-37135 Verona | 045-509855 |
| Mst | Mostardinl S.P.A | v. Piovola 138-Empoli (Fi) | 0571-592995 |
| PAJ | Pajusco Tecnologie | v. G.Marconi 1-36050 Zermeghedo (VI) | 0444-686166 |
| POL | Poletto | v. Vicenza 50-1-36071 Arzignano (VI) | 0444-451846 |
| RIz | Rızzi | v. M.Fanti $88-41100$ Modena | 059-315461 |
| Rot | Rotopress Emmezeta | v. Isola Corso2 -36054 Montebello Vic.(VI) | 0444-648900 |
| VAL | Vallero International | v. Bordonnato 4-10080 Oglianico (To) | 0124-348953 |

## Other producers

| Code | Name | Address | Fax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FIN | FINVAC | PO Box 94, FIN-34801 Virrat, Finland | 3-34728040 |
| M-T | MERCIER TURNER | BP 128, 07104 Annonay Cedex, France | $04-75321022$ |
| OLC | OLCINA | Ctra.de caravaca,56-30814 Lorca, <br> Spain | $068-443155$ |

Project Engineers

| C-P | CHEM-PRO ENGINEERING | v.Torino 201-10040 Leini (Torino) | 011 -9973239 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PLANNING DATA

## Input of 1 t W/S cattle hides per day needs

$500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of area: $350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production, $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ non-production. Production divides into equal thirds for wet-blue, crust and finishing. 1 t of hides for heavy leather (pits/drums) needs $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ total and 1 $t$ of skins needs 1000 m 2 total. Foundation strength of drums and machines is to be reinforced concrete of not less than $250 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ after 28 days. Process platform strength is not less than 200 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ after 28 days.
$40-60 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ water (reducing to $25-40$ at extremes). This is two thirds for wet-blue production. Probably only $70 \%$ of intake becomes effluent after evaporation. Water usage as $\%$ for raw: $40 \%$ soak/lime, $20 \%$ delime/bate, $10 \%$ pickle/tan, $20 \%$ retan/fatliquor, $10 \%$ other.

## 2,000 kg steam.

700 kWh electricity, in three equal parts - wet-blue, crust, finishing.
$1-1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ compressed air/min (confirm with machines).
$14.02 .00 / \mathrm{mw}$

## Basis for Chemical Costs

All light leather, is processed in the wet stages (tanning, retanning and dyeing) on the basis of weight although the final dry leather is sold by area. The chemical consumption, and cost, differs widely according to the processes.

The chemical costs in this report are estimated at a consumption rate of $25 \%$ on the input weight of cattle for light leathers, $30 \%$ for skins $/$ splits and $35 \%$ for heavy leathers. A special usage of $40 \%$ is included for suede production, due to the high cost of dyestuffs. A cost of US $\$ 2 / \mathrm{kg}$ of chemicals is used. These figures tend to overestimate actual costs, so that some cost reduction should be possible.
The estimated yield figure shows the ratio of the dry output area to the input weight and is required to relate the chemical costs of production to the sales price.

Finishing costs are US\$ $0.12 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ to US\$ $0.30 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$, according to quality of full grain/ corrected grain (FG/CG). Splits have a higher finishing cost estimate at US $\$ 0.30 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.

All costs in US\$.

| Hem | Cattle | Skins | Finished <br> split | Suede <br> split | Heavy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Cost total wet work/kg input | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.70 |
| Chrome cost/kg | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | Nil |
| Costs to wet blue/kg | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| Yield in . dry output/kg input | 1.70 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | $67 \% \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Cost total wet work/dry | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.40 | $1.05 / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Cost to wet blue/sq.ft | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |
| Retannage costs/sq.ft | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.35 |  |
| Finishing | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.00 | Nil |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Notes | $90 \% \mathrm{CG}$ | All FG |  |  | Sold by weight |

Comparative table of production costs in the tanneries

| Type | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw hide to wetblue | Wet-blue hide to finish | Raw hide to finished | Raw skin to finish | $\begin{gathered} \text { Split } \\ \text { suede and } \\ \text { finish } \end{gathered}$ | Raw hide heavy vegetable |
|  | Whole hides \& splits out | Whole hides in, sides out | Whole hides in, sides out | Skins | Whole butt splits | Culattas \& shoulders |
| Input: pc/day | 640 | 320 | 300 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 150-200 |
| t/day | 14.0 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Output: pc/year | 192,000 | 96,000 | 90,000 | 600,000 | 300,000 | 45-60,000 |
| t/year | 4,200 | 960 | 2,000 | 750 | 1,500 | 1.350 |
| Equipment, US\$ mio | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.34 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Employees | 29 | 59 | 88 | 77 | 57 | 36 |
| Conversion, US\$/pc | 5.29 | 22.74 | $\begin{array}{r} 26.80 \\ \text { split: } 6.70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.93 | 6.94 | 21.59 |
| \% chemicals | 60.6 | 81.9 | 71.9 | 59.7 | 80.7 | 73.0 |
| \% labour | 5.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| \% equipment | 19.2 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 10.6 |
| \% power | 7.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| \% effluent | 7.5 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Conversion, US\$/sq.ft | 0.14 | 0.60 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.70 \\ \text { split: } 0.67 \end{array}$ | 0.38 | 0.69 | 1.44/kg |
| Time, days | 7 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 26 |
| Working capital, US\$ | 177,000 | 563,000 | 856,000 | 242,000 | 518,000 | 348,000 |

Notes: In the Conversion costs

Working capital required
$\%$ equipment is Overhead $1-$ fixed $\%$ power is Overhead 2 - variable for power and water \% effluent is Overhead 3
excluding raw material

## Introduction of new equipment

The hide tannery process includes machines for fleshing and for lime splitting, which are not generally used in Fustat. They are needed to improve quality and to increase the added value for each hide; proper machine fleshing allows an improved penetration of process chemicals, and the splitting allows the production of split leathers. These are economic leathers having a good sale in a number of different articles, in either chrome or vegetable tannage. The chrome leathers may be either finished or suede for shoe uppers or linings, or as suede for garments or industrial gloves. Vegetable leathers may be for use in leather goods, soles and insoles.

Toggling machines and conveyors are included to replace the nailing out on boards and improve quality and yield.

In relation to the environment, splitting in the lime means that the trimming and scrap produced does not have the problem of chrome content. It can be sold more easily than chrome-tanned waste, which is becoming more difficult and expensive for disposal.

## Comparison of Manual and Machine Work

The traditional Artisan tanners use a minimum of equipment and generally basic chemicals in their processing to produce a low cost production. This limits the quality of the product and the manual work will soon become uneconomic as production costs, and the quality of competition, rise. Manual work may give superior quality, as in the finer grain from hand painting skins, but it will also be more variable in performance compared to a machine, such as fleshing. Tannery 4 design uses manual labour and shows a cost reduction of US $\$ 8,000 /$ year and a better working timetable.

The Painting and Unhairing of 2000 skins per day can be done in 3-4 hours by a team of 12 workers manually (from a tannery study in Eritrea) or by machinery in 6-7 hours, and a total of 3 workers.

MANUAL daily unhairing programme is

1. Soaked skins piled ( $170 /$ pile) to drain for 2 hours.
2. Paint 1.5 hours
3. Stand 4 hours
4. Unhair 1.5 hours
5. Load into lime paddle.

Total time is 7 hours after draining.
As the workers are available for other work with soak/lime paddles, the TOTAL COST for painting and unhairing is US\$ 24 ( 6 man days).

MACHINE daily programme is

1. Soaked skins piled to drain
2. Paint on applicator machine in $7 \mathrm{~h}(300 \mathrm{skins} / \mathrm{h}$ and costs US $\$ 38,000)$
3. (Standing time is 7 hours following these machines)
4. Unhair by machine in 7 h ( $300 \mathrm{skins} / \mathrm{h}$ and costs US $\$ 53,000$ )

Total time to complete load is 14 h .
Machine cost is US $\$ 91,000$ with depreciation over 10 years of US $\$ 9,100 /$ year and a maintenance cost of US $\$ 2,730=$ US $\$ 11,830$ for machine costs p.a. (US\$ 39.43300/days).

| Method | Man-days | Costday | Labour cost | Machine costs | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hand | 6.00 | 4.00 | 24.00 | Nil | 24.00 |
| Machine | 3.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 39.43 | 51.43 |

The machine option is an extra US\$ 27/day at a full capacity; quality is lower and there is a longer time to complete the work.

# The Economics of Small Scale Producers <br> (using new equipment costs) 

All manufacturing business begins as small-scale production. Mechanisation is important for leather quality but the equipment costs are prohibitive unless the machine can be used for a full working day. It is possible to develop a working pattern in which leather, owned by the tanner, is processed partly in his own factory and partly on equipment owned jointly with other tanners. This is different to job-work in a Common Facility, where all the equipment is owned by a third party. The costs for the individual tanners are still significant but below US $\$ 300,000$, if the leather production is divided into the three main stages. (Further sub-division is possible.)

The critical factor is to have a sufficient number of similar units willing and able to form a joint company to own the equipment; the alternative is the Common Facility Service Centre under the ownership of a third party.

Each stage can have various calculations and some minimum size has to be used. This could be 150 hides/day for Wet Blue, 100 hides/day ( 200 sides/day) for Crust and 200 sides/day for Finishing as an attempt to balance the sales value against the difficulty of production for roller coater and spraying machines. Hand padding and spraying would have a minimum of 100 sides (with a final sales value of US $\$ 3,000-4,000)$.

For example, the leather production could be divided into 3 stages.

1. Raw to Wet blue, with the drums owned by the tanner and with joint ownership of the fleshing and splitting machines. 120 hides/day will mean a machine usage for $8 \mathrm{~h} /$ day.

Individual tanner:

| 120 hides/ day and owns | 4 drums: 2 for soak/lime, 2.7 t | US\$ 66,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 to tan grains, 2.5 t | US\$ 26,000 |
|  | 1 to $\tan$ split, 1.1 t | US\$ 21,000 |
|  | Subtotal | US\$ 113,000 |
| Add 15\% to cover spares, | pment, installation | US\$ 16,950 |
| Total machine cost in ow | mpany | US\$ 119,950 |

## Joint company:

Joint machinery
(in a group of 8 similar tanners means a total output of 960 hides/day):
Fleshing machine for 120 hides $/ \mathrm{h}$, deals with 960 in $8 \mathrm{~h} /$ day
US\$ 123,000
Splitting machine for 120 hides $/ \mathrm{h}$, also deals with 960 in 8 h
US\$ 119,000
Subtotal
US\$ 242,000
Add $15 \%$ to cover spares, shipment, installation
Total investment in joint company
US\$ 36,300
US\$ 278,300
An individual share in joint company is US $\$ 34,750$.
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Each small-scale unit has a total investment of about US\$ 155,000 (including US $\$ 35,000$ in the joint facility).

There will also be a cost for the effluent treatment if this is not provided by the local authority; in any case, it would have to be paid for over a period of time. A closer estimate could be made for clean technology etc. but an estimated figure of US $\$ 300,000$ to treat the $500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ from 22 t /day, means an extra individual charge of US $\$ 37,500$, to make a new total of US $\$ 193,000$. The charge for effluent pre-treatment is, of course much heavier in this section.

There are all the usual costs for the raw hides and the work in progress.
2. Wet Blue to Crust, with the drums owned by tanner and joint ownership of sammying, shaving, setting out, and probably drying. However, it may be that each tannery would have one of the machines itself and hire them out to their associates. 120 sides/day will mean machine usage for 8 h (except for vacuum at 16 h ).

## Individual tanner:

120 sides/day and owns 2 drums for retanning and dyeing
US $\$ 54,000$
Add $15 \%$ to cover spares, shipment, install.
US $\$ 8,100$
Total machine cost in own company
US\$ 62.100

## Joint company:

Joint machinery (in a group of 5 similar tanners means
a total output of 500 hides/day, processed as 1,000 sides):
Sammying machine for 120 sides $/ \mathrm{h}$, deals with $1000 / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ day US $\$ 61,000$
Shaving machine for 120 sides $/ \mathrm{h}$, also deals with $1000 / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ US\$ 58,000
Setting machine for 100 sides $/ \mathrm{h}$, works for 10 h
Vacuum drier and chiller for 60 sides $/ \mathrm{h}$, works for 16 h
Boiler
Subtotal
Add $15 \%$ to cover spares, shipment, installation
Total investment in joint company
An individual share in joint company is US $\$ 82,000$.
Each small-scale unit has a total investment of about US\$ 144,000 (including US\$ 82,000 in the joint facility). However, other combinations of ownership are feasible with an individual tanner owning one more of the other machines and hiring them out for the job work.
There will also be a cost for the effluent treatment if this is not provided by the local authority; in any case, it would have to be paid for over a period of time. A closer estimate could be made for clean technology etc., but an estimated figure of US $\$ 75,000$ to treat the $120 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per day, means an extra individual charge of US $\$ 9,500$, to make a new total of US $\$$ 153,500 . The charge for effluent pre-treatment is, of course much lighter in this section.
There are all the usual costs for the work in progress.
3. Crust to Finishing. More machines are needed here for flexibility and it may be that a Common Facility is more likely for some or all of the machines. The total machinery costs are higher and there will need to be a greater number of the smaller producers, who may
finish by hand padding and spray. There is a practical minimum of 200 sides in a single colour for machine colouring.
There could be joint ownership for staking, toggling, pressing, measuring; with a Common Facility for dry drums, buffing, polishing. It may be preferable for each small unit to own at least 1 machine as a commitment, and a source of income.

A joint unit with a daily capacity of 1000 sides, could have the following equipment, which would work for at least $8 \mathrm{~h} /$ day:

|  | Machine |
| :--- | ---: |
| Conditioning | US\$ |
| Staking | 14,000 |
| Toggling | 58,000 |
| Buffing and dedust - 2 or 3 times | 94,000 |
| Polishing - according to product | 56,000 |
| Roller coater and tunnel - used for 2-3 coats for minimum lots of 200 sides | 39,000 |
| Spraying and tunnel - used for 2-3 coats for minimum lots of 200 sides | 54,000 |
| 2 Milling drums | 61,000 |
| Hydraulic press | 30,000 |
| Rotary press | 97,000 |
| Measuring | 89,000 |
| Boiler | 24,000 |
|  |  |
| Add 15\% to cover spares, shipment, installation | 40,000 |
| Total investment for joint finishing company | 656,000 |

Tanners would have an initial investment of US $\$ 150,000$ each but it would be practically difficult to have the 5 different productions working in the one unit. It is the actual finish applications, with colour mixing, which would need to be done in the individual units.

There are all the usual costs for the raw hides and the work in progress.

