



#### **OCCASION**

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

#### FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

#### **CONTACT**

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org



D64883



Distr. GENERAL

ID/B/SR.55 15 July 1968


ENGLISH

Original: FRENCH

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

# Industrial Development Board

Second Session



SUMMARY RECORD OF THE FIFTY-FIFTH MEETING

Held at the News Hefburg, Vienna, on Saturday, 11 May 1968, at 11.50 a.m.

President:

Mr. STANDENAT

(Austria)

Rapporteur:

Mr. ACHASSI

(Irem)

#### CONTENTS

Agenda item		Perserrenhe
6	Review of activities of the United Nations system of organisations in the field of industrial development	1 - 2
15	Adoption of the report of the second	
		3 - 56

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche.

REVIEW OF ACTIVITIES OF THE UNITED NATIONS SYSTEM OF ORGANIZATIONS IN THE FIELD OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT - Draft resolution (ID/B/L.30/Rev.2/Amond.1)

- 1. Kr. SALAMA (United Arab Republic), on a point of order, said that by rule 53, paragraph 2, of the Rules of Procedure, a motion was considered an amendment to a proposal if it added to, deleted from, or revised part of that proposal. The new version of the resolution relating to the training of national personnel for industrial development (ID/B/L.30/Rev.2/Amend.1) did not seem to correspond to that definition and would in fact constitute a new draft resolution. He therefore proposed that it should be withdrawn, recirculated under a new symbol, and subsequently considered by the Board at an appropriate time.
- 2. Mr. FORTHOITE (Belgium), explained that the sponsors of the document had simply wished to facilitate the Board's work by submitting a complete text showing the amendments they suggested. Nothing in the Rules seemed to prohibit that procedure. They were, however, prepared to submit their proposals separately.

ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE SECOND SESSION (DBR/1 and DBR/2).

3. Mr. ACHASSI (Iran), Rapporteur, proposed that the Board should consider the draft report on the work of its second session (DBR/1 and DBR/2).

Document DBR/1 (Chapter I - Organization of the Session)

4. Document DBR/1 was adopted as a whole.

# Document DBR/2 and Amend. 1 and 2 (Chapter II - General debate)

- Mr. AGHASSI (Iran), Rapporteur, reminded members of the Board that two groups of amondments (DBR/2/Amend.1 and Amend.2) to the draft report laid before them had already been brought to their notice.
- 6. The PRESIDENT referred to rule 44 of the Rules of Procedure and said that, if there were no objection, the Board would permit the discussion and consideration of amendments that certain delegations wished to submit to the meeting.
- 7. It was so decided.

## Paragraphs 1 to 8

8. Paragraphs 1 to 8 were adopted.

## Faragraph 9

- 9. Mr. STIBRAYY (United States of America), proposed that the following words should be added to the end of paragraph 9: "and to the importance of a careful review of UNIDO's proposed work programme'.
- 10. Paragraph 9, as amended, was adopted.

# Proposal to insert a new paragraph

11. Mr. ARKADIEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), proposed that the following new paragraph be inserted after paragraph 9:

"Some delegations emphasized that it was necessary to give UNIDO a universal character so that all countries in the world, independent of their social and economic systems, would be ensured the right to participate in the activities of UNIDO and to co-operate in the exchange of accumulated experience and knowledge".

12. The Soviet delegation wanted UNIDO to be provided with all the resources needed to secure the most effective performance of the function for which it had been established, and for that reason it considered that UNIDO should be a universal organization able to make use of the resources and possibilities of all countries which wished to participate in it and co-operate with it in the industrialization of the developing countries. It was most regrettable that, because they were objectionable to certain Powers, a whole group of highly developed countries were deliberately debarred from participating in the activities of UNIDO although they offered immense capacity for giving assistance to developing countries. That applied notably to the German Democratic Republic, an important industrial country, which was a major exporter of plant and equipment for various branches of industry. Trade exchanges between the German Democratic Republic and the developing countries continued constantly to increase, and the long-term trade agreements concluded by it with more than twenty developing countries would further the particularly

Republic was providing the developing countries with considerable economic and technical assistance. In industrial training that assistance took the form of consultant missions and the training of nationals of those countries in mediumand high-level special training institutes and in actual industrial enterprises in the German Democratic Republic. It was obvious that the German Democratic Republic possessed extensive resources which could substantially increase the effectiveness of UNIDO's work.

- 13. On a point of order raised by Mr. KURTH (Federal Republic of Germany), the PRESIDENT reminded members of the Board that they must speak to the part of the draft report dealing with the general debate, and not re-open that debate.
- 14. Mr. STIDRAVY (United States of America), suggested that the words "It was emphasized", at the beginning of the text proposed by the representative of the Soviet Union, should be replaced by the words "Several delegations emphasized".
- 15. Mr. ARKADIEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), accepted that amendment.
- 16. The new paragraph, as amended, was adopted.

#### Paragraph 10

17. Paragraph 10 was adopted.

#### Paragraph 11

- 18. Mr. AGHASSI (Iran), Rapportour, read out the amendment to that paragraph shown in document DBR/2/Amend.2.
- 19. Paragraph 11, as amended, was adopted.

#### Paragraph 12

- 20. Mr. ARKAPIEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), explained that the amendment proposed by his delegation (DBR/2/Amend.1) was based on the relevant provisions of General Assembly resolution 2152 (TXI).
- 21. Paragraph 12, as amended was adopted.

## Paragraph 13

The Mr. AJHACSI (Iran.), Capporteur, said that paragraph 13 was affected by two magnetic published respectively in documents DNA/2/Amend. 1 and DBR/2/Amend.2.

- 23. Mr. SITTENCOURT (Brazil), pointed out that, in error, the words "formulating and had been omitted before the word "proposing" in the second sentence of the text proposed by his delegation (DBR/2/Amend. 2).
- 24. <u>Mr. ASANTE</u> (Chana), said that document DBR/2/Amend. 1 did not contain any actual amendment to the paragraph under discussion.
- 25. <u>Hr. APKADIEV</u> (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), agreed with the representative of Ghana. His delegation had simply wished to draw the Board's attention to the need to bring the French text of the paragraph into line with the English translation of the Russion criginal.
- 26. Paragraph 13 was adopted with the changes proposed.

### Paragraph 14

- 27. After an exchange of views in which Mr. ASANTE (Ghana), Mr. BEECROFT (Nigeria) and Mr. PROBST (Swifzerland), took part, Mr. ARKADIEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), proposed that the words "One delegation" at the beginning of the fourth sentence should be replaced by the words "A certain number of delegations", and that in the next sentence the words "this delegation" should be amended to read "these delegations".
- 28. Mr. ROBERTS (Canada), proposed that the last two sentences should be combined and linked by the word "and" in the English text.
- 29. Fith these amendments, paragraph 14 was adopted.

#### Paragraph 15

30. Paragraph 15 was adopted.

## Paragraph 16

- 31. Hr. ACHASSI (Iran), Rapporteur, read out the amendment submitted by the Brazilian delegation (DBR/2/Amend. 2).
- 32. <u>Mr. ASANTE</u> (Ghana), proposed that the words "make more" should be inserted after the words "need to" in the Prazilian amendment.
- 33. Ir. STIBRAYY (United States of America), proposed that the following new sentence should be inserted after the first sentence of the paragraph: "Several delegations felt that the review of NUIDO's work programme would have been

been more comprehensive. The United States delegation would also like the words "thus facilitating the work of the Board" to be added at the end of the third sentence of the paragraph.

34. With these amendments, paragraph 16 was adopted.

#### Paragraph 17

35. <u>Hr. STIBRAVY</u> (United States of America), proposed the insertion of the following sentence between the second and third sentences: "Some delegations emphasized the competence and experience of some of the specialized agencies in the field of industrial development"; he also proposed the addition at the end of the paragraph of the following sentences:

"Some delegations suggested that the Executive Director submit the texts of any formal agreements which might be reached with the specialized agencies to the next session of the Board. Some delegations velcomed the steps being taken by the Executive Director looking to the harmonization of the work of UNIDO and the regional economic commissions in the field of industrial development".

- Mr. TELL (Jordan), observed, in connexion with the second amendment, that the agreements in question would not become formal until they had been adopted by the Board; he therefore suggested that the words "formal agreements" should be replaced by the words "tentative agreements".
- 37. Hr. STIBRAVY (United States of America), accepted that change.
- 38. With those anendments, paragraph 17 was adopted.

### Paragraphs 18, 19 and 20

39. Paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 were adopted.

#### Paragraph 21

40. Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America), proposed the addition of the following sentence after the second sentence of the paragraph: "Some delegations felt that the field advisers could work most effectively through the offices of the UNDP resident representatives".

# 41. With this amendment, paragraph 21 was adopted.

# Paragraph 22

- 42. <u>Mr. AGHASSI</u> (Iran), Rapporteur, said that the words "should make better use of" in the second sentence should be replaced by the words "could make more effective use of".
- 43. <u>Mr. TELL</u> (Jordan), proposed that the last part of the first sentence, after the words "developing countries", should be replaced by the words "hoped that governments of developing countries would consider increasing in their requests from UNDP the share of UNIDO-deministered projects".
- 44. <u>No. AMAN</u> (Pakistan), suggested that the words "Several delegations ... hoped" should be replaced by the words "One delegation from a developing country ... hoped".
- 45. IIr. BLAISE (Netherlands), said that he would prefer the words "Jone delegations".
- 46. Hr. THL (Jordan), agreed to change the first part of his amendment to read: "Some other delegations ...".
- 47. The Jordanian anendments, as revised, was adopted.
- 48. <u>Ifr. ARKADIS</u> (Union of S oviet Socialist Republies), asked the Rapportour to read out the USSR amiendment.
- 49. Mr. ACHASSI (Iran), Empportour, read out the USSR amendment to paragraph 22 (DBR/2/Amend.1).
- 50. <u>Mr. STIBRAVI</u> (United States of America), said that he would accept the insertion of the sentence proposed by the USSE deteration in paragraph 22 if the opening words were amended to read "Seme delegations expressed the opinion that ...".
- 51. If ARKADIN (Union of Soviet Cocialist Republics), accepted the change proposed by the United States representative.
- 52. The USSR energiest, as revised, was adopted.
- 53. Paragraph 22, as amended, was adopted.

## Paragraph 23

- 54. Mr. ACHASSI (Iran), Rapporteur, read out the amandment to paragraph 23 appearing in document DBR/2/Amend.2.
- 55. Mr. BITTENCOURT (Brazil), wished to revise that amendment, submitted by his delegation, to read as follows:

"Some delegations expressed the view that one of the means to improve
the structure of UNIDO would be the decentralisation of its activities
through the creation of regional offices."

56. With this smendment, paragraph 23 was adopted.

The meeting rose at 1.40 pame



ſ

8.74