



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.

TOGETHER

for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

We repret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche. ŕ

j

UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL





E/CM.14/AS/III/2/1 28 September 1965 Original: ENGLISH

111533

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR AFRICA AND CENTRE FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT Symposium on Industrial Development in Africa Cairo, 27 January - 10 February 1966

D04651

cap.

Distr. GENERAL

PRIORITY CRITERIA IN PROJECT EVALUATION

(Presented by the Government of the United Arab Republic)

(1

sht



PRIORITY CRITERIA IN PROJECT EVALUATION

This paper is concerned with the investigation of factors and techniques proposed for establishing priorities of new industrial projects in planning and execution, with special emphasis on priority considerations for developing countries.

Priority factors are first classified, in accordance with their nature, and priority systems are thereafter examined.

It is concluded that, for industrially developing countries, straight ranking of benefits would be more suitable than ranking by benefit ratios, and that priority factors bearing on foreign relations for developing countries may well play a most significant role in project selection for execution.



E/CN.14/AS/III/2/1

INTIMUS COLON

An ambitions inducible plan would comprise quite a number of projects having varying flectores and more laters, a situation which calls for the development of more problem welled of celection, from the projects proposed for the location of some plant, of those projects most deserving priority for final evaluation and encution

An attempt to electricy priority factors is herein presented, with particular emphasic placed on those factors mainly pertaining to industrially developing countries as distinct from conventional priority criteria.

Determination of basic financial benefit factors must be based on net improvement, in the Light of entistipated conditions in relation to present or previous conditions to be implaced. In case a new industry is established (include an industry which did not exist before), the problem is that be constably studying of the new project and comparing it with previous amposts constitutions. Should, on the other hand, an extension of an introduction of new projections and machines be involved, the study must double the bosh new and substing conditions, in an endeavour to arrive at net constitute to hypervised in the plant under consideration.

Improved preders armitrait is deduced to develop and encourate competition between industrial concerns as regards allocation and utilization of which bld mixed work funds. It also provides those responsible for planning and creation with effective means, for rational priority rearry through a decide of the most promising and most timely projects then the variably of projects proposed.

Basio Economio Cottation

116.17

- I: Het capital investment
- R: Net rotur, co profit (financial benefit) per year
- N: Medirate al 1 cors (monship of from new product)

- F: Foreign exchange benefit (i.e. value of products consumed locally, which would otherwise be imported, plus **value** of products estimated for exportation)
- D: Annual depreciation
- **R/I:** Profitability ratio (i.e. ratio of net return to capital investment)
- N/I: Ratio of national income benefit to capital investment
- F/I: Ratio of foreign exchange benefit to capital investment
- I Number of years to repay

Co-efficients for usage of domestic resources:

- V: Total value of product
- M.: Value of imported raw materials, components and supplies
- M_A: Value of domestic (local) raw materials, components and supplies
 - W: Cost of local labour employed in production
- P: Cost of motive power consumed in production
- M. N: Imported material co-efficient
- M_A/V: Domestic material co-efficient
- W/V: Lubour co-officient
- P/V: Power co-efficient

Classification of Priority Factors

Priority factors may be classified, in accordance with their nature, into four main groups, namely:

(a) Strategical Factors:

These refer to considerations deemed of primary ' importance to the industry, e.g. production of basic engineering materials and standardized products. These strategical factors comprise:

- (1) Strategical importance to industrial set up.
- (2) Relative importance in olearing obstacles facing
- (3) Timing requirements for inter-related projects.

(b) <u>Economic Pactors</u>:

These place emphasis on the effective use of financial resources in producing benefits with a view to improving the economical status of the country. These priority factors include:

- (4) Profitchility or net return on capital investment, R.
- (5) Improvement in national income, N.
- (6) Savings in foreign exchange (i.e. improvement in balance of payments position in foreign exchange), F.
- (7) Relative size of individual projects, as measured by the amount of their benefits.
- (8) Immediacy (or otherwise deferment) of financial return (for fit into the economy).

(c) Availability Factors:

T

These factors, though intangible and subject to judgement, may have a decisive influence on project evaluation. They include:

- (9) Availability/Usage of local raw materials (N_d/V) such as iron ore, cotton, vegetables, etc., the degree of the availability assuming one of several forms, vis.:
 - (i) Materials available in both quantity and quality;
 - (ii) Meterials available in quantity, but not in quality;
 - (iii) Materials available in quality though quantity has to be increased;
 - (iv) Materials shall be available on completion of some other projects;
 - (v) Materials available, but quantity has to be supplemented by importation (M_i/V) .

- (10) Availability/Employment of manpover (W/V). The degree of availability of skilled and/or unskilled personnel varies in accordance with the nature of industry and technical capacity of the courry; it takes one of the following forms:
 (i) Manpower available in both number and skills needed;
 (ii) Hanpower available in number, though specific skills have to be developed,
 (iii) Number has to be increased by development of skills;
 - (iv) Local manpower has to be supported by experts brought in for specific lengths of time.
 - (11) Availability of Motive Power (P/V). This includes the availability of fuel such as petroleum products, coal and uranium, also the availability of water falls for power generation. (A striking example in the UAR of the influence of the availability of motive power on project evaluation is the rapid development of Aswan into an industrial town, on account of the availability of electric power on completion of the High Dam project),
 - (12) Availability of Transportation. Facilities, such as rail, road and river transport, also the existence of harbours.
 - (13) Availability of Domestic/Export Markets, whic factor is most significant in deciding on the initiation and extent of the particular industry.

 r_{1}

The assurance of existing and/or prospected markets is affected by various considerations which include:

For Domestic Markets:

- (i) Production of goods being so far imported;
- (11) Expansion of existing industries;
- (iii) Creation of new industries.

For Markets:

- (i) Expansion of volume of present exports;
- (ii) Improving and/or advancing quantity and utility of exported articles;
- (iii) Processing imported goods for re-exportation;
- (iv) Processing available materials not yet being exported.

(14) Availability of local faculties for design and creative work.

Priority may be given to certain industrial projects for which experiences and capacity for creative work are available. To name but a few of those industries calling for special creative talents such as toy industries, and those bearing on artistic abilities such as ornamental industries. A further example would be the watch-making industry which depends on specific experiences and abilities.

On execution of an industrial plan, factors other than those given above have to be taken into consideration, particularly in as far as industrially developing countries are concerned. These additional factors may be grouped as follows:

(d) Factors relating to Foreign Relations:

Those comprise three factors, namely:

(15) Availability of foreign exchange for investment and running of project (in case materials, supplies and experiences continue to be imported after execution and putting into operation of the project).

- (16) Availability of financial facilities. Facilities offered by governments, concerns and individuals would, no doubt, be a prime factor in the selection of project for execution.
- (17) Availability and interest of foreign concerns for co-operation. Particular interest in certain industrial projects as shown by co-operating concerns would be quite decisive in priority measures for project execution.

It is worthy to point out that this group of factors bearing on foreign relations may well impose serious deviations from priority ranking based on conventional priority factors. This is particularly true for the case of industrially-developing countries, for which decisions taken are mainly based on judgement, rather than on mathematical manifestations of priority criteria.

PRIORITY RATING SYSTEMS

For the ranking procedure, of priorities, two basic systems are available, namely:

I. Straight Ranking of Benefits:

This is the simpler of the two rating systems under consideration, in which benefits appear of equal weight in and have equal influence on the final rating of the project. The system, by virtue of its simple nature, is particularly suited for the analysis of projects with data imperfections, and may thus be convenient for adoption by developing countries.

II. Ranking by Benefit Ratios:

In this more complex system, benefits are formulated in terms of ratios which are then added into a single rating factor. This system is bound to be based on fairly accurate data of the project, a case favouring advanced industrial planning. Benefit ratios may be found quite effective in emphasizing differences between benefits and in carrying them directly into the final rating. Basic benefit ratios used in this system include.

- (i) Ratio of Profitability to capital investment, (R/I);
- (ii) Ratio of National Income benefit to capital investment, (N/I); and
- (iii) Ratio of Foreign Exchange benefit to capital investment, (FAI).

A final benefit rating factor, based on the above-mentioned benefit ratios, may be put into the integrated form (R + N + F)/I.)

This factor may further be adjusted to its present value, on the fourth priority factor of the number of years required for the complete execution of the project (at 10 per cent compounded annually). Adjusted values may then be used for priority ranking of projects within the same group of industries.

Should the influence of domestic raw materials usage (viz. M_d/I) be taken into consideration, the following effects would result:

- (a) Tendency to weaken comparison of final benefit rating factors between projects in different industries, owing to the fact that the factor (M_d/I) is rather of a characteristic nature, i.e. in as much as low- or high-cost material is involved in the industry concerned.
- (b) Distortion of the ratio of national income benefit to capital investment, as the inclusion of raw material factor would let the value of national income benefit approximate net sales instead.
- (c) Introduction of the factor (M_d/I) does not display a factor so statistically refined as the three basic economic factors given above.

Adjustment for size of project would nullify the purpose of ratio analysis, since it would upset the measure of relative effectiveness of projects in their use of capital in the attainment of desirable benefits (irrespective of project size).

It is worthy to point out that a wider range of priority factors for project evaluation would be desirable; this necessitates, however, rather refined project analysis data, which may not be readily available, especially in industrially developing countries.

For final evaluation of project priority, the relative value, per final unit of product, of materials and supplies $(M_1/V \text{ and } M_d/V)$, wages of local labour employed (W/V) and cost of motive power consumed (P/V)would furnish co-efficients and measures of only limited usefulness for comparison between projects. This is due to the lact that such coefficients may quite widely vary from industry to industry in accordance with the nature of product and processes involved.

DISCUSSION OF PRIORITY RATING SYSTEMS

In this paper, the two basic systems of priority ranking have been investigated and evaluated in the light of the extent of their applicability to developing countries.

As priority factors, however, are diversified in nature and measure, it seems that a relevant rigourous mathematical handling of the problem would hardly be of any significant practical feasibility. This lack of accurate and reliable priority rating would, as yet, leave the door open to expert judgement, estimation and arbitrary weighing.

In this respect, it is worthy to quote from the presidential address presenting the 1963-1967 Five-Year Socio-Economic Plan in the Philippines, concerning the declining importance of mathematical priority formulae:

"Reliance on mathematical formulae for priorities in industrial projects does not assure successful economic planning."

Developing countries may be recommended not to place much emphasis on mathematical formulation of priority criteria, but rather to have projects and planning integrated with over-all objectives, these may include:

- (a) Direction of available resources to most productive uses;
- (b) Conservation of foreign exchange;
- (c) Laximum utilization of domestic materials, man and motive power;
- (d) Promotion of national income and economic growth.

Of particular interest to developing countries, especially for project selection for execution, are priority factors connected with foreign relations, namely the availability of foreign exchange, financial facilities and interest of co-operating concerns. These factors may well prove most decisive in project evaluation. Second in importance to these priority factors, are the economic factors, viz. net return on capital investment, improvement in national income and savings in foreign expenditure.



SYMPOSIUM ON INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN AFFRICE

Cairo, 27 January - 10 February 1966

PRIORITY CRITERIA IN PROJECT SVALUATION

Paper Mo. E/CN.14/48/111/2/1

.

Appendices I, II & III



E/U.1.14/AS/III/2/1 F. ge 10

Appendix (I)

Basic Data of Projects (Nive Year Plan 1960-65)

(L) Inducaring Projects :

Froject	Capital * Investment	<u>Angual</u> Gross Frofit R	Bonefits o Fordign Exchange F	f Project National Income J	Tears to Execute
Postor Cars	6.400	944	1,179	1,990	4
Tracia	4.089	986	1,828	2.451	4
Diesel Ingines	1,937	220	422	614	4
Decol Engines Expension	2,188	425	535	705	3
Tractors	3,045	407	574	748	5
Reily, Jars	1,020	100	200	368	4
Electric Motors & Fans	442	107	312	177	2
Inthic System	57.5	119	374	218	2
Trop Compres Expansion	245	44	160	88	2
alevatic Cablea D quasion	241	21	90	44	2
Elopescent Lamps	314	40	95	61	2
Paraps	358	50	263	154	2
Soin ing & Meaving Spare Parts	s 800	125	700	215	2
Petroleum Spare Parts	1.10	14	140	26	1
R2117698 0 H	300	(0	340	100	2
Tradeus 🤊 🖻	2,500	250	1,700	570	2
Trio Unit in IRON & Star CO. Drawing Unit in D. L. CO.	4,600 562	293 131	4,086 1,835	1,060 435	21 11
	219	39	4'24	167	17
	150	21	3 64		<u> </u>
CROULDES C. L. D. D. A. L.	200	100	300	260	2
ar dell'i fonga ruja	200	700	300	41.1	4
Stoll Robes	200	21 45	200 T00	200	2
Dell'an Hait	1000	6	1 440	200	2 1
ROLLING UNIT	12,920	1 200	12,000	4,340	47 5
1004 a Sucor Laponsion	12,000	1,000	13,400	4,200	7
Doctoring and a Docal	2.000	216	1 200	700	
RING CANADA	2,000	176	1 000	800	44 1
Fleature () and the set	2 1)6K	1 25	700	900 1/00	4
ALGOUPIC ALE BLIADER ALE CALLUSIONE	2009	- 20 - 20	210	00,	48
D D. HUMBURD TA	101	50 65	132	100	14
DUILORD Locker - Anthen the total of the the	171 605	5/g	150 150	300	1
to, as mante musud	047	£40	49 0	500	~ <u>7</u>

a Expressed in Thousands of Egyptian Pounds.



E/C 1.11/1.5/111/2/1 Fage 11

Appendix (II)

Renking By adjusted complet Poties

(A) Engineering Projects

د هیزی که سعنده ود مسید باند سه اید می و در در در در در باری و بالاه که کرد.	Ratio To Investment			Factor			
Project		Foreign	Int) oual		for	1. justed	Final
- t. ·	Profit	Ezelynge	Incola	Total	Tora to	R.3.410	Rank
	<u>_2/I_</u>	F/1			<u></u>		
Passenger Cors	.147	.184	•211	·f-:2	• <i>(</i> * 30	•438	(15)
Trucha	.240	•400	<u>.603</u>	1.298	•6830	•836	(8)
Diesel Leines	.113	.217	•316	-646	. 68 30	•441	(14)
Diesal Luciaes Expussion	.194	.21.4	.322	.7 60	•7513	•570	(10)
Tractus	.133	.133	.245	•566	.6215	•351	(16)
Bailwa Gars	.098	.196	•3.51	. 655	. 6330	•1,4,7	(13)
Electric Johns & Fans	212	•705	.400	1.347	• ⁸²⁶⁵	1.113	(4)
Tomiticn av m	231	•726	.423	1.380	. 8265	1.140	(2)
Transformers Sympanaton	.179	.653	.359	1.191	- 8.265	•984	(7)
Riectric Colles Expansio	r.112	.273	.182	. 667	• 8265	•551	(11)
Morris & Lund	,115	2:8	.172	•555	. ೆ265	. 458	(12)
Pimpa	139	734	.450	1.303	.8265	1.078	(5)
Salasian & Vesting Saara	•=>>		- 12				
Pta.	-156	.875	.268	1.299	8 265	1.073	(6)
Poteniana Sama Parto	.100	1.000	.185	1.255	.9091	1.168	(3)
Puthene sparse Parts	265	1,133	.533	1.666	8265	1.576	(1)
$\mathbf{T}_{1,1} = \mathbf{L}_{1,1} + L$	100	.620	.228	1.008	.8265	.033	(9)
Tractora Spore Tares	•100	BUCU	•				
				-			
(B) Metral Proje	ots :						
Trio Unit in Little &							1.5
SPLUE CO.	.639	•876	•22 7	1.742	•7881	1.372	(4)
Drawines Usit in DELE. C	0.233	3.265	•774	4.272	•866 9	3.703	(1)
Drawings Unit in COPF IR							
	.178	2. 255	•762	3.195	. 866 9	2 .769	(3)
* * NUTORL CO.	.130	2.560	.865	3.606	.7391	2.341	(2)
Castings	240	<u></u>	.112	.472	•7831	•371	(15)
Steel cracions	.132	.660	.154	•946	•701.3	•710	(14)
Steel B ous	.]35	.840	.425	1.450	•3::65	1.198	(8)
Steel % Iron Bollers	192	828	•591	1.611	•\$26 5	1.331	(5)
Bollior Coit	.003	092	.242	.337	. 6513	•219	(16)
Trop & T. of Swansion	108	1.116	.350	1.574	. 6216	•97 8	(10)
Completing Inco & Steel			• • • •				
Double form? Thursday	.107	.600	.350	1.057	.7513	•794	(9)
	693	394	.315	1.402	.6830	•95 7	(11)
Elouden of thest and	653	338	338	1.329	6513	. 865	(13)
	_100	.700	300	13000	.7881	.866	(12)
VII. CONTRACTOR	3/0	601	570	1,601	.3265	1.323	(6)
boller;	304	• ♥ ♥ 20	2.80	1.596	7831	1.257	(7)
MENUICS STLIG LOONS	●)70	6120	e400		and the second second		· · · ·



E/CN.1//LS/111/2/1 Pege 12

and the second second second

in the second second

ppendix (III)

Ranking Based on T'e Ranking of Individual Ratios

~

(a) Engineering Projects :

Dto funt	RefKI	TO FCTO				
	ability Rutio <u>R/I</u>	Foreign Exochange Ratio F/I	natio Income Ratio N/I	Execute	Total of Rank s	Final
Pesson for Cars Tracts Distal Sigines Distal Sigines Distal Engines Expansion Tractors Rullogy - 's Wheekide meters & Fans Ighthich System Transfermers Expansion Education General Expansion Florescent Lamps Prop: Splinder C. Nearing Spore Pts, Petroleum Spros Parts Reliver Spare Parts	8 2 12 5 10 15 1 3 6 13 11 9 7 14 4 14	16 9 13 12 15 14 6 5 7 10 11 4 3 2 1 8	10 1 9 8 12 5 4 3 6 14 16 2 11 15 7 13	4 4 3 5 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	33 16 38 28 42 30 13 13 21 39 40 17 23 24 17 23 21 437	(11) (4) (11) (8) (15) (11) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (5) (7) (7) (7) (3) (10)
 (B) Head Hungle IL Projects : Trio Units In SICH & STELL CO, Drewley the 5 in DEFM. & STEEL CO. Drewley the 5 in COPIER CO. Drawley that in COPIER CO. Drawley that NetFourth CO. Cashings Steel forcings Steel forces 	3 7 11 10 6 12 9 8 16 13 14 1 2 15 5 4	5 1 3 2 15 11 6 7 16 4 2 13 14 9 10 8	13 2 3 14 15 7 4 12 8 8 10 9 11 5 6	3113542267456313	24 11 18 16 3 ³ 42 24 21 50 32 38 29 31 38 21 21	(7) (1) (3) (2) (12) (15) (7) (4) (16) (11) (12) (9) (10) (12) (4) (4)



