



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.

TOGETHER

for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche



¢.

٩

2

08935



Distr. LIMITED ID/WG.293/24 19 March 1979

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

ENCLISH

Workshop on Fermentation Alcohol for Use as Fuel and Chemical Feedstock in Developing Countries

Vienna, Austria, 26 - 30 March 1979

NECESSARY CONDITIONS TO PROMOTE AND REALIZE A POLICY FOR ENERGY AND CHEMICALS BASED ON "GREEN PETROL"*

by

Pierre Mariotte**

****** President, Green Petrol Company, Paris, France id.79-1988

^{*} The views and opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the secretariat of UNIDO. This document has been reproduced without formal editing.

CAN GREEN PETROL BE A PERMANENT SOURCE OF ENERGY FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND FOR ALL THE WORLD ?

The lectures which have been delivered by highly qualified experts have indicated that alcohol produced from biomass can be utilized and that new technology can improve its utilization either for fuel or for chemical processing.

The conclusion of this seminar will be to show to the developing countries that the industrialized nations have at their disposal all the necessary technology to support a Green Petrol policy.

WHAT IS GREEN PETROL ?

This expression covers alcohol issued from the fermentation and the distillation of any vegetable sources. Those have to be chosen according to their yield in alcohol. The feasibility study necessary for each country determine the best. Most of these sources and the most adequate such as sugar cane are located within 30 degrees South and North of the equator.

But before starting any sale of this technology and the necessary equipment which follows, it is most important to open the door of the countries to this policy and to see whether these countries can dispose of the vegetable sources to produce alcohol in sufficient quanticy.

The purpose of this study is to answer the following questions:

- Which vegetable sources and where are they located ?
- How Green Petrol policy can be put into operation effectively ? In other words, what are the necessary approaches and studies to induce the countries to consider alcohol as permanent source of energy like the sun, wind and hydraulic power ?

-1--

CHAPTER I

WHICH ARE THE BASIC RAW MATERIAL FOR PRODUCING GREEN PETROL

We have indicated above that Green Petrol covers all vegetable sources which, by distillation, can produce alcohol through the process of photo-synthesis. In fact, any vegetable which contains some sugar or starch can yield alcohol through a distillation process. But the yields are different.

WOOD

Forest can be a source of supply for alcohol. Besides the distillation of wood pulp slops (yield 2% sugar), there are in temperate climate some possibilities of cultivating certain kinds of wood like willow and poplars which give a relatively good return in alcohol.

The Swedish institute said for instance that Sweden could, in theory, supply all its energy requirements from 93,000 square kilometers (57,790 square miles) of land devoted to short-rotation forestry.

Practical experiments at the College of Forestry show that the forced raising of selected strains of willow and poplar yield up to 30 tons of biomass per hectare per year. This is equivalent to a gross energy yield of about 135,000 kilowatts - 16 times more than in ordinary forestry. A recent survey disclosed that about one million hectares (2.47 million acres) of Swedish soil could be allocated to energy plantations. This would be enough to meet roughly a tenth of the nation's electricity needs. The production of wood in the world is very important. It appears from the following figures : 1.1.1.1

	COUNTRIES	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	PRODUCTION	
	••	1 .		
	World		1.178	
	Western Europe	,	31	
	Eastern Europe +	U.S.S.R	97	••••
	North America		18	, :.
•	The South Sea Is	lands	8	
11 - A	Latin America		224	
	Far East		350	·
	Near East		42	•
	Africa		253	•
	Others		155	• • •
· 1.	TOT	AL	1.178 milli	ons cubic ma

1.178 millions cubic motor

In the developing countries the fire wood represents 1/3 of the energetic resources. In certain countries it represents 3/4 of energy consumed. Half of the wood taken from the forest of the whole world is used as fire wood. This raise a very serious ecological problem which explains the erosion of the land, the change of climate and the drought which exists in different countries: Africa, Northern Thailand, etc.

We think that should it be possible to replace wood partially in developing countries by alcohol, this would be of great improvement for these countries.

We are not studying either the energy coming from grass biomass (1). The estimated grass land area of the developing

-3-

⁽¹⁾ Please refer to "Energy from Blomass from developing countries", a very thorough study made by Messrs. JS BENE, HW BEALE, HC MARSHALL from International Development Center, Ottawa.

world is about 1500 million hectares but no study has been made so far to see in what conditions, at what cost price such products could be converted into alcohol. We will make the same observation for aquatic plants. In fact, the production resulting from the distillation of above items is mostly Methanol.

It can of course be used for fuel purpose but with less advantages than Ethyl alcohol. Also the range of chemical products made out of Methanol is less large than the ones which can be produced with Ethyl alcohol. The yield is also very low: 40 liters of Methanol for 1 tonne of dry wood. I am mentioning this to indicate that we do not intend to ignore these potentialities. But I prefer to concentrate our discussion on Ethyl alcohol because its technology is very well known and it is easy to obtain. It must be understood that in any feasibility study related to the Organization of a production of alcohol for a specific country, all these sources of energy have to be studied, even if they may be considered as negligible.

Which are the vegetable products to be used on a large scale for producing Green Petrol without jeopardizing the quantity of any of these products already utilized for feeding the people? It is necessary first to feed the man, then to give him energy in order to facilitate his work and to increase his efficiency. Table (1) summarizes these products with their estimated yield in alcohol.

We have summarized in different tables the production of the main distillable products.

Purpose of this documentation is to give an idea on which country one could find supply for making Green Petrol, assuming that the quantities produced can be increased. This possibility concerns most countries in getting better yield and increased land cultivated in order to supply human food require ents and the p oduction of feed-stock for making Green Petrol.

These tables will also show for each production the quantity produced by developing country.

If the grain production is specially located in developed countries, the production of rice concerns the developing countries.

We have not included China which is a closed market, so far.

The quantities which are exported could eventually be released for domestic uses when the importing countries are self sufficient as it is happening now in India for cereals.

The production of the distillable products is potentially very great without jeopardizing the requirements for food.

CEREALS

The world produces 1,459 million of cereals, of which 168 million are exported (see tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). Among these, maize, wheat, millet, sorghum and rice are among the most produced. Their production is based on an average yield of 250 liters per metric ton, which is a good result and justifies an equipment of distilleries, because they can be assured of regular feed-stock. When we look at the map, we see that most of these cereals are produced in developing countries (170%). There is no surplus of production, except in wheat and corn, especially in the United States and in Canada. Corn can be considered as a source of energy for the Western world. The efforts made in the United States are noteworthy. They intend to produce 10 billion gallons of alcohol from corn equivalent to 400 million hectolitres of alcohol to mix with 100 billion of gasoline at the ratio of 10%. This programme will save 30,000,000 tons of petrol or 3.5 billion US \$. The United States have this capacity of production, with the idle land subsidized by the tax payers. Some other countries, important producers of cereals (Argentina, Brazil, Australia and South Africa $\binom{1}{2}$), could do it as well.

POTATOES AND SWEET POTATOES (Table 7)

The production is important but the big surplus are occasional. However, countries like Columbia, U.S.A and Central America could increase the production above the quantity necessary for feeding the population. The yield in alcohol is estimated according to the quality of the crop to 100 litres per metric ton for potatoes and 170 litres for sweet potatoes. The conversion into alcohol may be very useful for the wasted quantity which may appear when the crop is very important o which may exi t permanently in different countries. We have to appreciate whether the distillery equipment can be set with only this feed-stock. But it is interesting to consider that the potatoes wasted or in excess can be the supplementary feed-stock to the sugar cane. The distillation of the sugar cane lasts usually 120/150 days and the equipment remains idle the rest of the year.

AGRUMES/PINEAPPLE (Table 8)

The yield is very low. 25 litres per ton for pineapple, 35 litres per ton for Agrumes. Here also, the conversion into alcohol can be a solution for rejected fruit or excess

- (1) The U.S.A have edicted many dispositions to encourage the product of ethanol out of corn and to blend alcohol with gasoline up to 10 % to reduce the demand for oil.
- (2) South Africa has just decided to produce alcohol out of corn and sugar cane to meet the non delivery of Iranian oil

-6-

of production which cannot find the outlet in the world market.

BANANAS (Table 9)

The production is important: 56,000,000 Tons. The export amounts to 7,000,000 T. about. There is presently an excess of production in the world. Central America and Panama are organizing a joint action for finding an outlet for 1 million tons of bananas. The yield in alcohol is relatively good; it is estimated at 250 litres average (Green banana: 300/350 litres, Ripe banana: 100/110 litres). This return justifies the set-up of a distillery provided there is sufficient quantity to be used. 1 million tons of bananas for example would represent a production of 250 million litres of alcohol or 2.5 million hectolitres or more than 52 million gallons, necessitating at least 2 or 4 distilleries. The distillation could be made with a special preliminary equipment in using the distillation equipment for molasses and sugar cane. It could be treated after termination of the distillation of the sugar cane. This quantity refers to a part of the world (Central America) but could be increased world-wide by all the wasted bananas which exist in the various producing countries.

PAPAYA

The same thing can be said about Papaya which is also a tropical fruit which is produced in large quantity. Although no statistics appear in the report of FAO, the estimated yield is not very high (130 litres per metric ton), but is worth being considered because the price of the raw material is generally cheap.

DATES AND FIGS (Table 10)

The distillation of the first gives about 200 litres per metric ton and for the figs 260 litres. The production of

-7-

these fruit is located in limited countries: Iraq, Iran, Portugal ; in these countries the production can be increased. Table n°9 summarizes the quantities produced in the world for most of these products and the yield for each product.

MANIOC (Cassava) - (Tables 10 and 10 bis)

It is a big production and an easy one in tropical countries (Thailand, Philippines, Indonesia, West Africa, Brazil, Central America). The production being very often a natural one makes it an easy one. The yield is about 160/170 litres per metric ton. The processing of Manioc/Cassava into alcohol requires a lot of enzymes. Brazil has indicated a national programme of production of alcohol 25% based on Manioc. It is one of the Raw Material which can be considered as perpenent, regular source of energy (1). The only objection is that to produce one litre of cassava alcohol this necessitates 0.8 litre of fuel. But very important studies are made to reduce this consumption of fuel.

SUGAR CANE (Tables 12 and 12 bis)

Among the vegetable material which can be converted successfully into alcohol, sugar cane appears as the best.

WHY ?

- 1°/ Because its cultivation is spread all over the world. There are 110 sugar producing countries of which 69 grow sugar cane, 31 grow sugar beet, 10 grow cane and sugar beet.
- 2°/ The sugar production technology is well known and the equipment in sugar plants represents in the world 2496 sugar plants of which 1015 beet sugar plants, 1481 cane sugar plants, taking into account only the plants which have a capacity above 400 tons a day.

Special study of Mr. Emo Roque de Oliveira reproduced by Licht International Molasses Report (31.12.78): Ethanol renewable fuel for Brazil.

- 3°/ The production of sugar has increased in very big quantifies as it appears on Table n°12.D. For the past 10 years, the production has risen from 65 million tons to 93 million tons, reflecting the possibility of increased production, especially in the sugar cane producing countries.
- 4°/ Sugar cultivation is spread over 22 million hectares of which 9 million for sugar beet and 13 million for sugar cane. The cultivation of this vegetable is the means of living of millions of people and it is an important economical and social factor of the development of many countries. Furthermore, the export of sugar which represents about 31 million tons is the source of more than 6,000 million foreign currency earnings, which are badly needed by developing countries.
- 5°/ Possibility of having their surplus of sugar cane produced absorbed by the production of Green Petrol and to regulate their sugar market.
- 6°/ The possibility of increasing the production of sugar cane is tremendous. The countries which have this possibility of production, especially the tropical countries, are in a very favourable position as a world energy reserve provided they can find the financing for setting up distilleries. It is interesting to realize that the investments required are without comparison with the amount of money which is spent every year in researches of complementary energy: coal liquefaction, shale oil. The same romark can be made with the price of nuclear energy with a plant costing between $1\frac{1}{2}$ billion and $2\frac{1}{2}$ billion \$ and having a working life of 10/15 years.

The yield is between 65 and 70 litres per ton of sugar cane (Table nº13). In our calculation, we will retain 70 litres. For invert molasses we calculate that 6.5 tons of sugar cane are necessary to produce one ton of cane invert molasses.

If we take the average production of sugar cane per hectare $(60 \text{ tons})^{(1)}$ we can say that the production of alcohol is about 420 litres/ha. The process is well known and the possibility of distilling sugar cane will bring a new outlet to the cane growers in having the sugar juice going to the distillery to produce alcohol instead of going to sugar production.

In disposing of two outlets instead of one, the sugar producing countlies have the possibility of regulating their sugar market. I have explained how the Green Petrol policy can help the sugar market on two seminars which were held in December 1978:

- one in London before the consumption committee of the International committee of the International Sugar Organisation,
- the other one before the Sugar Commission of the Common Market.

WHAT ARE THE SUGAR PRODUCTS WHICH CAN PRODUCE ALCOHOL

We must avoid any misunderstanding and make a distinction between molasses, by-product of sugar and direct distillation of sugar beet and sugar cane.

a) MOLASSES

Any production of sugar leads to a production of juice which usually contains 50 % sugar and which is called Molasses. Normally, the production of molasses represents 1/3 of the production of sugar; but it varies considerably according to the equipment of the sugar plant and according to the quality of the crop.

Equipment of the plant:

The quality of the equipment of a sugar plant depends on the quantity of sugar which can be extracted from beet or cane, which varies also according to the crop. When the beet or cane are very high quality, it is possible to extract more sugar and consequently to reduce the quantity of molasses. But the molasses production taken as a whole (34/35 million tons all over the world, with about 6 million tons available for export) does not provide a sufficient quantity to organize an energy policy based on alcohol because the quantity would be too small. Practically all the molasses produced are already utilized by the producing countries, either for making alcohol for domestic requirements (human and industrial) or for cattle food. Furthermore, the quantities of molasses available for export will be decreasing every year on account of the increased consumption of alcohol for human consumption in the different countries in line with the increased standard of living ; also for feeding cattle.

b) DIRECT UTILIZATION OF BEET AN / SUGAR CANE FOR DISTILLATION

There is only one country, France, which distillates directly beet for producing alcohol. For a limited quantity: 1,400,000 hectolitres to protect some privileged producers ; the yield is about 100 litres per ton of beet and the price of this alcohol, which depends on the price of beet, is taxed by the French Government: Frs 266 per hectolitre, roughly US.\$ 62.

It appears that the only reliable source of alcohol in big quantities is the distillation of sugar cane converted mostly in inverted molasses.

11

Estimation of a Limited Programme

In a leaflet called "Green Petrol" I have examined what quantities of sugar cane would be necessary to produce a quantity of alcohol in order to blend it at a ratio of 15 % with gasoline in the developing countries, leaving aside Brazil which has organised completely its policy. The estimation which, of course, has to be checked country by country located 30 degrees North and South of the equator, represents 10 million tons of alcohol, or 120 million hectolitres.

1 - The area necessary to produce 10,000,000 N.T. of ethanol or 120 million hectolitres.

Based on the yield, 1 hectare of sugar cane gives 60 tons cano and, noting 1 ton of cane can produce 70 litres of ethanol, we arrive at a figure of 42 hectolitres per hectare. The area necessary to produce 120,000,000 hectolitres is about 3,000,000 hectares.

The cost of field equipment is estimated at 1,000 US.\$ per hectare.

The investment represents for that part: 2,857,000,000 US.\$.

2 - The equipment needed to distill 120,000,000 hectolitres would be around 240 distilleries, each one having a capacity of 500,000 hectolitres, the price for each plant estimated at 25,000,000 US. with all complementary equipment for anti-pollution, i.e. a total of:

 $240 \times 25,000,000 = 6,000,000,000 US, $$

The total investment would be:

2,857,000,000 US.\$ + 6,000,000,000 US.\$ = 8,857,000,000 US.\$ rounded off 9,000,000,000 US.\$ + 1,000,000,000 US.\$

= 10,000,000,000 US.\$

In order to replace 15 % of the petrol by 15 % ethanol in the developing nations (apart from Brazil), a national and/or international investment of 10,000 million US. \$ would be needed.

But this will enable the nations concerned to make an annual saving in foreign currency of whout US.\$ 1,000 million (1), and without outside expenditure except for fertilizers and the machines to cut the cane, subject to some equipment which could be produced domestically.

We repeat this is only an estimate of the amount of investments considered. The figures quoted above are only meant to give an idea of the magnitude of the project.

Such investment is not impossible to achieve. Firstly, it is made over a period of time (about 2 years is needed to build a distillery, and during this period the land must be planted with sugar cane or cassava). Some comparisons will help to appreciate the relative size of this investment.

It is almost the price of 5 nuclear energy installations.

(1) Today the saving would represent at least 1,500 million US.

It is effectively the price of 69,000,000 tons of petroleum, (about 3 % of world production), or 1/3 of the budget deficit of USA., 2.5 % of the total armament expenditures last year, 0.3 % of the oil receipts.

This is a safe source of energy, one which can be renewed each year; thanks to the sun, water and manpower, elements present in a large number of countries adapted to sugar cane or manioc production. Ethanol (Green Petrol) seems to be a sure source of energy which should be developed on account of its vegetable origin.

ALCOHOL PRICE

Is the utilization of alcohol as a source of energy economical

We must face immediately the objection raised by the high price of alcohol comparatively to some other sources of energy. We will make two preliminary remarks:

- Nobody knows the price of alternative sources of energy such as: solar, wind, nuclear, coal liquefaction, etc.
 Estimated cost of shale oil, for instance, is about the equivalent of 25 \$ per barrel. Coal liquefaction arrives at the same price.
- 2) Nobody knows what will be the future oil price within 10 years from now, but some studies made by reliable organizations such as Exxon, Shell and so on indicate for 1985 a price of 25 \$ per barrel (1). We must realize that we are already on a rising trend since

-11--

 ⁽¹⁾ The lranian crisis has created a shortage of oil or a fear of shortage and prices for Arabian light spot have reached US \$ 23 per barrel paid.

the OPEC posted price in force in 1978 (\$ 12.70 per barrel will be at the end of 1979 \$ 14.50).

Today the price of dehydrated alcohol based on the parity of the floor sugar price of 11 cents indicated by the International Sugar Organization comes around 400 \$ per ton. The price of gasoline must be quoted 200 US \$ like Naphta (the naphta price has raised from 140 to 190 during 1978). Consequently, the price of alcohol is about twice the price of gasoline but it is a wrong and short-sighted view of the problem, because :

- a) the comparison must be made also with the retail prices of gasoline and alcohol which very often equals out. It must be understood that in this case the difference is negligible, and governments as an encouragement for its use have been foregoing taxes on alcohol. It must also be noted that in paying for alcohol at \$ 400 you are in a position to pay the growers of sugar cane the parity of the sugar price at 11 cents per 1b. which is the floor price of the Unternational Sugar agreement.
- b) Furthermore, the countries are equipping themselves with an independent source of energy which is priceless.

All these reasons and others contribute to minimize the effect of the higher price for blended gasoline in favor of increased economic benefits for developing countries in the long run.

It appears from the above explanation that sugar cane is the best material for producing alcohol.

Consequently, the action for promoting Green Petrol must develop in the countries where sugar cane grows already and can be increased. These regions could become in the future a "new Middle East".

CHAPTER II

WHICH ARE THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHO CAN PRODUCE GREEN PETRO

We have examined in Chapter I the main agricultural and vegetable products which can be converted into alcohol and used as a renewable source of energy every year.

There are about 92 countries who can benefit namely of this possibility, thanks to their climate, their soil and their population. They are specially fit to produce tropical distillable products:

-	in Africa	37	countries
-	in Asia	22	12
-	in America (North & South and Caribbean).	27	11
-	in the South Sea Islands	6	11

TOTAL 92 countries

. 1

They should be interested by the policy of Green Petrol to have a permanent source of energy.

Among the above, there are three countries where Green Petrol is in force and who consider alcohol as their permanent source of energy. They have taken the necessary measures to carry out such policy to their best advantages.

There are 10 countries where the idea of Green Petrol has been accepted, however they have not yet fully organized their realization of the policy.

We have all the remaining countries which are not taking benefit of their rich soil.

-10-

There are, of course, besides the above countries, some others located outside of the equator where sugar cane can be produced, however it requires a preliminary study to bring about a positive statement.

We stated in the previous chapter the possibility of United States producing Green Petrol to a great extent with corn and Sweden with special wood.

CHAPTER III

POLITICAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS TO ACHIEVE GREEN PETROL POLICY

The necessity for developing countries to use their national source of energy

It is a MUST for them for the following reasons:

1. Comparison between developing and developed countries of the quantity of energy consumption is significant. Per capita consumption

	Kg. of coal equivalent
	1975 (*)
Low income countries	52
Middle income countries	524
Industrialized countries	5,016

2. The above first two categories represent 127 countries and a population of 2,820 million. These figures give you an idea of the magnitude of the problem. How will it be possible for developing countries to have the financial resources to import enough petroleum to meet their energy requirement, which is absolutely necessary to improve their living condition, their industry and agriculture ? Therefore, it is a MUST for them to find, on their own territories, alternative resources such as

(*) Report on the Development in the World (World Bank, 9178)

×.

sugar cane or cassava which help them to meet their energy requirements.

- 3. For the sake of a discussion, I remind you of the following advantages of such action :
 - a) To enable developing countries to have a source of energy of their own is priceless.
 The situation of oil coming from the Arabian Gulf brings support to this assertion. Nobody can guarantee not only in quantity but also in availability of the quantity of oil which will be coming from the Arabian Gulf.
 - b) The developing countries will be able to reduce the expenses of petroleum which, due to the rising trend in price and consumption, may bring important savings in foreign currencies.
 - c) It will give an impetus to the national arricultural economy by cultivating more land and creating more job opportunities as well as in equipping their countries industrially.
 - d) At last, but not least, it enables the countries having some excess of vegetable production such as sugar cane or cassava to have the possibilities to see these surplus disappear through the conversion into alcohol. It will also help the sugar market in offering to cane sugar an alternate outlet.

Three years of experience in proposing the Green Petrol Policy to be adopted by various governments among developing countries have taught me that the best idea, the soundest and the most logical solution to a problem is not accepted easily when first introduced.

The example of Brazil was not sufficient enough to convince most of the countries to accept, on their government level,

-19-

the idea of considering alcohol as a permanent source of enorgy, especially where the conditions are best fit.

To produce a renewable source of energy on their own land without digging 3000 meters deep for searching oil, and of course with less cost for research has taken three years to be accepted by governments such as Thailand and Philippines.

The industrial technology is not the most difficult item to sell, it is the intellectual technology which is most difficult when it obliges people to take a different approach in solving the problem of energy shortage and to meet the necessities to carry on such a policy us Green Petrol.

The following are the conditions I would like to touch upon in order to make Green Petrol policy successful in developing countries.

1. Political conditions

Little can be achieved if the government of a country does not have a serious concern or insight to the energy shortage in the world and for his country. When the government of a country faces the problem of energy in full view and realizes the impossibility to meet all the energy requirements by the importation of oil, it becomes clear that it has to find on its land with its national resources, a source of energy of its own.

2. Social conditions

The production of Green Petrol may raise some problems which we do not intend to solve here at this time because it varies according to countries. However it must be indicated that Green Petrol policy necessitates two kinds of workers; - Those who cultivate the cane, collect it and transport it to the distillary plant.

In most countries, it is a traditional type of work utilizing manpower specialized for many years and is abundant in the Asian countries. It is not the case in some countries like Africa where it may be necessary to import manpower which can be found in some other countries, provided the living conditions are acceptable. One can reckon, on average, on one worker to cultivate and collect the cane from every 70th hectares harvested. Today, the cutting of cane which is considered as a manual labour can be helped by machine at the ratio of, on average, one cutting machine for 170 hectares for each campaign. Some machines harvest up to 400 hectares each campaign.

- Another category of workers is those who will work on the distillery plant.

They require non-specialized workers, about 40 per distillery of 200,000 hectolitres and 10/12 specialized employees like engineers, surveyors and laboratory workers. This technical assistance can be found among Europeans or from people coming from countries having an experience in running of distillery (Brazil, Egypt, India) with perfect knowledge of this type of work.

3. Economic conditions

The production of vegetable sources which are suitable for producing alcohol, such as sugar cane, cassava and others has to be organized and well planned. It must be sufficient to meet the alcohol requirements necessary, for blending gasoline with 15% alcohol and for starting some chemical venture if any.

An evaluation of the expenses involved must be studied:

- for development and cultivation of the land.
- for equipping the countries with a sufficient number of distilleries.

It is also important, after the evaluation of all the expenses, to see how they will be financed: private capital, loans from international organizations.from bankers. Those problems must be examined within the trame of what I would call an intellectual engineering.

1 :

Necessity of a Feasibility Study

All these actions must be carefully investigated through a feasibility study which will examine the problem from all angles based on facts and figures to bring about a practical and positive solution.

This study will determine the price of alcohol which has to be guaranteed by the Governments or paid by them in order to encourage the Anvestment and give an insurance to the investors that there will be a return.

Conclusion

.

To achieve Green Petrol policy successfully, the following principles must be accomplished.

- A) The Government of the country declares Green Petrol Policy as a permanent source of energy taking its place in addition to the other sources.
- B) After this policy is being adopted, the Government proceeds to its realization in organizing the production of necessary vegetables, the installation of distilleries and the distribution of alcohol among different uses.
- C) To encourage investments and obtain loans from International Organizations for equipping the country with distilleries and machinerles, Government must fix an

attractive price for alcohol. Failing to do this, there will be neither investments nor distilleries, unless the Government intends to build and run the distilleries by themselves.

·** ``

CHAPTER IV

GREEN PETROL AS WORLD RESERVE OF ENERGY

We have focused our study on the production of alcohol from various vegetable sources in developing countries to meet their requirements partially for both fuel and ethylene.

This study offers a larger vision of this scheme in giving some knowledge regarding the possibility of producing alcohol in much larger quantities throughout the world. Facing continuous demand, researches for all sources of energy becoming more costly, more difficult and full of surprises, it may well happen that alcohol becomes a reserve of energy for the world.

The quantity of alcohol necessary to meet a world requirement to have a blended gazaline with 15 % alcohol can be calculated as follows:

- for example, we estimate the consumption of gasoline in the world at : 600,000,000 N.T. (1)

- 15 % of this quantity represents : 90,000,000 The problem is to know whether such quantity can be produced. We can give a positive answer. We see that the quantity of alcohol required represents nine times the figures which are given in pages 13 to 15. Therefore, by extrapolation, we can calculate the area, the investments and the number of distilleries.

If we proceed by extrapolation from the figures quoted we see that such policy would require:

(1) United Nations Statistics.

a) Area to be cultivated

9 x 2,857,000 hectares = 25,713,000 hectares. There is enough space in Africa, Asia and America to find such land. The total arable land resources of the world are estimated at about 1.5 thousand million hectares (1).

b) Production

We have seen in the previous tables and comments in Chapter I, the different vegetables which can be produced. Table n°14 gives an idea of the total production of these distillable vegetable products.

The typical example, the market of sugar cane could be increased by placing Green Petrol among the permanent source of energy.

c) <u>Investments</u>

These will amount to about 3° billion US \$ (1/6 of the budget of U.S.A, 1/4 of the armament expenses in 1977).

d) Distillerios

240 x 9 = 2,160 (See page 13, Chapter one, at the bottom) The realization of all scheme now appear to be possible. Without under-estimating the practical difficulties for achieving such programme, it appears to be feasible and most certainly less costly than the petroleum researches and it is surer because there is no risk. The growth of vegetable/agricultural product can be organized to be developed. When they are distilled into alcohol, these sources of energy can be stored easily and without risk of evaporation.

Conclusion

In a world which is so hungry for enorgy and which makes so many researches, sugar cane and eventually cassava appear as the best producers of alcohol. They are not the only ones and they constitute complementary source of energy for the world.

^{(1) &}quot;America's Agricultural Future" by David Pimmentel and John Krummel.

CONCLUSION

We hope that this paper has been able to bring a new realistic vision of how can Green Petrol be a permanent source of energy for developing countries and for all the world.

In addition to the scientific and industrial technologies which have been widely studied in the different workshops, we have tried to demonstrate that previous to the sale of the material of any technologies, it is necessary to open the doors of the countries and also, and not the least, to open the minds of the governments'officials of developing countries.

We repeat, as a conclusion, that it is an obligation for the countries so short in energy and so short with means of payment for the import of oil, that these countries exploit their natural veg table resource: which can produce alcohol to be used as a source of energy and as a chemical raw material.

The possibility of having the source of energy renewed every year reminds us of a sentence which was spoken by a very qualified expert of the OPEC in 1977 when I was explaining the Green Petrol to him: "you are bringing us a renewable source of energy while we are working on an exhaustive source of energy".

The governments of developing countries are now confronted with this huge responsibility towards their present and future citizens with the following dilemma:

-26-

• Do nothing and wait for some miraculous help incurring the risk - and the present events prove that it can become a reality to have to pay very high prices and eventually to have the resource in oil curtailed by rationing, 言音

· · · · · · ·

. Or exploit their national resources as quickly as possible in order to be ready to face the fallacious, uncertain future of oil resources.

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT 24, rue Royale 75008 PARIS

EQUIVALENCES

tons/litres of alcohol

SUGAR CANE	CASSAVA	PUTATOES	CEREALS & GRAINS	BANANAS (*)	PINEAPPLE
70 1.	160 1.	130 T.	350 1.	250 1	. 25 1.
1000 t = 700 hI	1000 t = 1600 hl	1000 t = 1300 hl	1000 t = 3500 hl	1000 t = 2500 hI	1000 t = 350 h
			(wheat, corn, rye, barley, buckwheat, sorghum, rice)		

(*) green : 300 / 350 ripe : 100 / 110 (cellulose ?)

-28-

SWEET POTATOES	170 L. 1000 = 1700 hl
PAPAYA	130 1 1000 t = 1300 hl
CAROBS	180 l. 1000 t = 1800 hl
FIGS	260 1. 1000 t = 2600 hl
DATES (*)	200 l. 1000 t = 2000 hl
JITRUS FRUIT	35 l. 1000 t = 350 hl

Estimate by Mr. Pouligny, 27.09.78

٠

(*) fresh : 125 dry : 350/400

TABLE 1

SUCIETE DU PETRI	DLE VERT		UMMARY OF WURLI	CEREALS-	TOTA -		TABLE 2
24, rue koyate 75008 PARIS			PRODUCTION ANI	EXFORTS	<u>1977</u>	(FAO	Statistice, MAY 1
COUNTIRES	AREA 1 000 HA	XHELD XG/HA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	EXPORTS 1000 MT	LOCAL P NOLTANNERION	EXPORTS TOTAL RODUCTION	
VORLD	745.704	1957	1.459.012	168.753	1.209.259	11.6	
AFRICA	70.773	929	65.731	2.650	63.081	4,0	
N.C. AMERICA	102.853	3145	323.471	94.338	229.133	29,1	
SOUTH AMERICA	36.973	1723	63.722	18.739	44.983	29,4	
ASIA	324.985	1758	571.377	9.687	561.690	1.7	-
EUROPE	69.691	3317	231.166	26.735	204.431	11,5	-29
OCEANIA	15.281	1021	15.597	11.924	3.673	76,4	·
U.S.S.R.	125.149	1 502	187.948	4.680	183.268	2,5	
of which							
DEVELOPING COUN	TRIES						
	307.199	1390	426.914	27.160	<u> 399.754</u>	6.3	
AFRICA	56.476	761	42.976	145	42.831	0,3	
LAT. AMERICA	49.920	1676	83.668	18.876	64.792	22,5	
NEAR EAST	37.988	1410	53.556	1.222	52.334	2,3	
FAR EAST	162.797	1515	246.679	6.917	239.762	2,8	ŗ
OTHERS	0	1978	35	0	35	1	February 1979

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT 24, rue Royale 75008 - PARIS

SUMMARY OF WORLD WHEAT

TABLE 3

(FAO Statistics May 1978)

75008 - PARIS			PRODUCTION	I AND EXPORTS 19	77	(FA	O Statistics	May 1978)
COUNTRIES	AREA 1 000 HA	YIELD KG/HA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	EXPORTS WHEAT & FLOUR 1000 MT	LOCAL	EXPORTS TOTAL PRODUCTION	THE FIRST TWI PRODUCING COU	ENTY UNTRIES
WORLD	232,382	1,664	386, 596	73,618	312,978	198	GOUNTRIES	PRODUCTIC. 1000 MT
AFRICA	8, 255	960	8,217	247	026'2	3%	U.S.S.R. U.S.A.	92,042 55.134
N.C. AMERICA	37,687	2,051	77,281	40,211	37,070	52%	CHINA INDIA	40.003 29.082
SOUTH AMERICA	8,112	1,117	9,060	6,056	3,004	66,8%	CANADA FRANCE	19.651 17.450
ASIA	80,885	1,335	107,951	883	107,068	0,8%	TURKEY AUSTRALIA	16.775
EUROPE	24,819	3,317	82,235	15,057	67,268	18,3%	PAKISTAN ROUMANIE	9.155 6.540
OLEANIA	10,294	644	9,720	8,196	1,524	84,3%	ITALY IRAN	6.329 6.200
U.S.S.R.	62,030	1,484	92,042	2,966	89,076	3,2%	YOUGOSLAVIA ARGENTINA	5.622 5.300
							AFGHANISTAN MEXICO BRAZIL	2.640 2.451 2.066
of which DEVELOPING COUNTRIES	64,639	1,312	84,830	6,953	77,877	8,2%	EGYPT SOUTH AFRICA MOROCCO	1.872
AFRICA	6,154	670	4,124	ا س',	4,119	0,1%	1 1 1 1	220 100
LAT, AMERICA	8,884	1,301	11,557	6,1:0	5,447	52,9%	TVINT	
NEAR EAST	21,644	1,390	30,080	650	29,430	2,2%	= 85,6 &	of total
FAR EAST	27,957	1,397	39,070	187	38,883	0,5%	including :)6)
							DEV.PED ME = DEV.PING ME=	- 261,153 - 125,443

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT 24, rue Royale 5008 PARIS

SUMMARY OF WORLD MAIZE

PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS 1977

TABLE 4

č

								(FAO Statist	(61 XAN SOL
OUNTRIES	AREA 1000 HA	YIELD KG/HA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	EXPORTS 1000 MT	LOCAL COMSUMP - TION	* EXPORTS PRODUCTION		THE FIRST TWEN COUNTRIE	ITY PRODUCING S
ORLD	118.453	2952	349.676	57.122	292.554	16,3	o N	COUNTRIES	PRODUCTIC: 1000 MT
VFRICA	20.134	1300	26.172	1.959	24.213	7.5	6	NSA	161.485
C. AMERICA	38.374	4612	176.984	40.581	136.403	22,9	ო ო.	CHINA BRAZIL	33.615 19.122
SOUTH AMERICA	16.796	1849	31.051	6.897	24.154	22,2	4 50	USSR ROMANIA	10.993 10.103
NSIA	27.850	1957	54.497	2.162	52.335	3,9	9 2 0	YOUGOSLAVIA SOUTH AFRICA	9.856
ヨロロン	11.845	4185	49.572	5.267	44.305	10,6	م م	MEXICO France	8.991 8.614
CEANIA	91	4465	14 O G	64	327	19,4	0	ARGENTINA INDIA	8.300 6.800
.s.s.r.	3.362	3270	10.993	177	10.816	1,6	2 0	ITALY HUNGARY	6.456 6.150
f which				·			+ + 2 10	CANADA PHILIPPINES	4.303 3.037
EVELOPING COU	NTRIES						16	INDONESIA	3.030
	56.315	1375	77.412	8.763	68.649	<u>د، ۱۱</u>	- 8 (BULGARIA	2.555
FRICA	13.570	966	13.511	59	13.452	0,4	20	SPAIN KOREA	1.885 1.820
AT.AMERICA	26.111	1618	42.247	6.899	35.348	16,3		Total	319.729
EAŘ EAST	2.134	2465	5.260	37	. 5.223	0.7		or, 91,4% de	la productio
AR EAST	14.495	1131	16.388	1.767	14.621	10,8		Ine 1749 676 a1.	totalc 1 developed
THERS	†	1473	9	0	9	ł			untries = 230 1 developing
								noo	ntries= 11318
								Februa	ry 1979

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT 24, rue Royale 75008 PARIS

TABLE 5 SUMMARY OF WORL) : BARLEY-RYE-OATS-MILLET-SORGHUM-ETC ...

TOTAL PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS 1977

2

(FAO Statistics MAY 1 RODUCTION EXPORTS/ 46,0 ر. ت 69,0 ο, ε 18,0 5,8 1,8 E 29,0 7.6 0,2 3,6 0,7 0 R CONSUMPTION 1.520 329.040 21.854 50.930 5.671 74.599 91.843 32.624 68.398 18.573 11.338 25.792 -# 12.691 LOCAL EXPORTS 1000 MT 11.648 3.388 5.358 4.846 5.674 49 178 22.195 186 396 483 0 TOTAL 11.176 1.529 PRODUCTION 1000 MT 13.174 22.040 TOTAL 376.235 62.106 74.995 97.517 4.908 84.153 73.756 18.622 25.970 10.517 15.986 -7 COUNTRIES SOUTH AMERICA N.C. AMERICA LAT. ANERICA DEVELOPING COUNTRIES NEAR EAST of which U.S.S.R. FAR EAST OCEANIA AFRICA CUROPE AFRICA OTHERS WORLD ASIA

AREA Y 1000 HA K 142.842					(F.)	AU STATISLICS	NAY 1978)
1000 HA KG	TELD	PRODUCTION	HUSKED RICE PRODUCTION	H.'SKED RICE EXPORTS	LOCAL C(ED RTCE ONSUMPTION	% EXPORTS/
142.842	G/11A	1000MT	1000 MT	1000 MT	1000 MT	~	PRODUCTION
0 2 C 1	2566	366.505	238.228	10.819	227.409	2.2	4.5
	1804	7.847	4 ، 100	256	4.844	95.0	5,0
1.662	3775	6.272	4.077	2.369	1.708	41,9	58,1
6.590	1973	13.000	8.450	939	7.511	88,9.	11,1
129-191	2594	335.095	217.812	6.247	211.565	1.76	2,9
001	3845	1.536	998	738	260	26,0	74,0
104	5351	535	361	260	101	28,0	72,0
546	4029	2.200	1 430	6	1.421	99,4	-33- 9°0
NTRIES							
23.377	2052	191.604	124.543	<u>6.085</u>	118.458	25.1	4 • 9
3.905	1425	5.567	3.618	32	3.586	99,1	0'0
7.341	2012	14.771	9.601	1.021	8.580	89,4	10,6
1.234	3927	4.848	3.151	249	2.902	92,1	7,9
80.885	2057	166.394	108.156	4.783	103.373	95,6	4,4
12	2129	25	16	1	16	100,0	0
		- -					
							•••/•••

COUNTRIES
PRODUCING
TUENTY
FIRST
HE

(TAFILE 6-Page 2) RODUCTION	5.457 8.100 5.103 2.545 1.050 8.834 7.313 5.150 5.150 5.150 5.150 5.150 5.421 4.648 2.997 2.997 2.997 2.925 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.955 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.1109 2.11000 2.11000 2.1100 2.110	
N. COUNTRIES PI	1 CHENA 8 1 INDIA 1 1 INDIA 1 1 JAPAN 1 6 THAILAND 1 7 JAPAN 1 6 THAILAND 1 7 JAPAN 1 6 THAILAND 1 7 VIETNAM 1 8 BURMA 1 9 BURMA 1 11 VIETNAM 1 11 VIETNAM 1 11 PHILIPPINES 1 11 PHILIPPINES 1 11 PHILIPPINES 1 11 PAKISTAN 1 12 U.S.A. 1 13 PAKISTAN 1 14 NEPAL EGYPT 17 U.S.S.R MALAISTE 20 MALAISTE MALAISTE 21 Grup of the tots 22 22 MALAISTE 22 23 MALAISTE 23	

February 1978

-34-

			·						
SOCIETE DU PI 24, rue Roay1 75008 PAKIS	ETROLE VERT Le		SU PR(MMARY OF V	WORLD PO	TATOES RTS 1977		(FAO Statisti	TABLE 7 [cs MAY 1978]
COUNTRIES	AREA 1000 HA	YIELD KG/IIA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	EXPORTS 1000 MT	LOCAL CONSUMP TION	% EXPORTS/ PRODUCTION	THE]	FIRST TWENTY DEV	ELOPING COUNTRIES
WORLD	20.945	1.3.986	292.938	4.509	288.423	98,5	N o	COUNTRIES	PRODUCTION 10COM
AFRICA	560	7.810	4.377	296	4.081	6,7	- (U.S.S.R CHTNA	83.400
N.C. AMERICA	740	26.203	19.394	524	18.870	2,7	м сл	POLOGNE	41.300
SOUTH AMERICA	226	9.536	9.321	102	9.219	۲- ۲-	t η/	R.F.A.	11.251
ASIA	5.448	11.183	60.922	438	60.484	0,7	0 ~ 0	FRANCE TWDE	9.970 8.190
EUROPE	6.109	18.747	114.535	3.083	111.452	2,7	00 CM	U.K.	7.287 6.598
OCEANIA U.S.S.R.	7.067	23.013	990 83.400	38 38	83.362	2,8	01	NETHERLANDS SPAIN	5.752 5.553
of which DEVELOPING CO	UNTRIES						20-	CZECHOSLOVAK ROMANIA JAPAN	3.783 3.738 2.738
	2.776	9.998	27.758	272	26.985	2,8		ITALY TIRKEY	3.310
AFRICA	432	5.936	2.565	115	2.450	4,5	0 0	YUGOSLAVIA	2.854 2.854
ALT. AMERICA	1.060	9.666	10.245	123	10.122	1,2	C	CANALA BRAZIL ARGFNTINA	
NEAR EAST	396	13.578	5.381	439	4.942	8,2	С У		
FAR EAST	888	10.775	9.563	96	9.467	1,0		101a1	203.422 ======= +ho +++13+'
OTHERS	F	8.276	ŝ	0	5	0		er, 07,7% 01 = <u>292.938</u>	tine to tor broanct.
								including(all = 22 all (= 70	developed countri 2.084 developing countri .854

February 1979

TABLE 8	stics MAY 19 3)	WENTY OUNTRIES	PIRDDUCTICN 1000 MT	13.860	7.617 4.041	2.761	1.484	1.427	1.171	925 816	765 720	1410 1410 1	328	2 Q	45.045	the total produ	l developed cou les 27.57	developing utries., 22,7
(S)	(FAO Stati	THE FIRST T PRODUCING C	N° COUNTRIES	1 U.S.A.	2 BRAZIL 3 JAPAN	5 SPAIN	7 ARGENTINA	8 INDIA 9 ISRAEL	10 CHINA 11 EGYPT	12 TURKEY 13 GREECE	14 MOROCCO 15 SOUTH AMERICA	17 AUSTRALIA	19 PERU	KENEZUELA	Total	or, 89,5 of tion =(50.329)	(All	including(A11 (cou
RHTT(AC UME		& EXPORT contre Production Totale	14,2	26,7	6,4	1,3	19,0	39,6	2,5	0		10,4	30,2	2,2	33,0	4 , 1	1	
SUM ARY OF WORLD RANGES MANDARINES L RAPEFR JFF and CTTLUSF		JONSOMMATION LOCALE et FABRICATIONS DIVERSES 1000 MT	43.180	3.309	15.635	10.655	8.922	3.996	463	200		19.132	1.705	13.346	2.22	1.891	19	
		EXPORTS 1000 MT	7.149	1.204	1.076	141	2.093	2.623	12	0		2.224	737	304	1.101	81	0	
FROLE VERT		PRODUCTION 1000 MT	50.329	4.513	16.711	10.796	11.015	6.619	475	200	NTRIES	21.406	2.442	13.650	3.323	1.972	19	
CCLETE DU P 7 14, rue ReyaL 5008 PARTS		COUNTRIES	VORLD	AFRICA	N.C. AMERICA	SOUTH AMERICA	ASIA	EUROPE	OCEANIA	U.S.S.R.	of which DEVELOPING COU		AFRICA	LAT. AMERICA	VEAR EAST	FAR EAST	J.THERS	

February 1979

1

.

VERT		
DU PE'ROLE	loyalc	NRTS
SOCIETE I	24, rue I	75008 PA

,

SUMMARY OF WORLD GREEN BANANAS and PLANTAINS

;

75008 PARTS	-			PRODUCT FON	and EX	PORTS 1977	PARE 9
COUNTRIES	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	EXPORTS 1000MT	LOCAL	EXPORTS FRODUCTION	THE	FIRST TWENTY I	RODUCING COUNTRIES
WORLD	56.489	6.825	49.664	12,1	°N	COUNTRIES	PRODUCTION 1000 N
AFRICA	17.392	309	17.083	1,8	~	BRAZIL	6.188
N.C. AMERICA	8.163	3.503	4.660	43,0	a m-	INDIA UGANDA	3.450 3.450
SOUTH AMERICA	15.927	1.923	14.004	12,1	4 m	ECUADOR COLOMBIA	3.2 80 3. 277
ASIA	13.584	1.053	1:.531	7,8	0 r (NIGERIA	3.152 2.000
EUROPE	399	32	367	8,0	n o i	RWANDA Zaire	1.902 1.803
OCEANIA	1.024	'n	1.019	0,5	2 = 9	THALLAND	1.580 1.546
of which DEVELOPING COUN	VTRIES				N m t	PHILIPPINES VENEZUELA HONDURAS	1.480 1.440 1.425
	54.809	6.425	48.384	11.7	102	COSTA-RICA MEXICO	1.210
AFRICA	17.092	309	16.783	1,8	17	CANEROON	1.100
LAT.AMERICA	24.087	5.226	18.861	21,7	20	BURUNDI SRI LANKA	932 871
NEAR EAST	306	'n	301	1,6			
FAR EAST	12.392	879	11.513	7,1		Total	42.228 ****
OTHERS	932	9	926	0,6		or, 76% of including (d	the total production = 56.489 eveloped countries 650
				- -		(q	eveloping " " 55.83
							ebruary 1979
					-		-

SOCIETE DU PETROLE V :RT 24, rue Royale 75008 PARIS

SUMMARY OF WORLD DATES

TADLE 10 (FAO Statistics MAY 1978

COUNTRIES	PRODUCTION	EXPORTS	LOCAL	₩ EYDATS/	DRIXCEPAL PROD	UCINC COUNTRIE	Ś
	14 0001	THOOD	100C XT	PRODUCTION	COUNTRIES	1000 MT	
NORLD	2249	358	1.591	<u>15</u>	PGYPÉ	417	
AFRICA	905	16	889	. 80	IRAQ	375	
	Ĺ	A	. (Nº1	300	
A.C. AMERICA	CX	^	SO S	D	SAUDI ARABIA	265	
ASIA	1302	327	975	25,1	PAKISTAN	180	
EUROPE	17	6	00	5.3	ALGERIA	140	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				NVDAN	106	
OF Which Detted countrie					MADAGASCAR	70	-3
			- - - -	<u> </u>	YEMEN	70	8-
	2205	342	1.863	<u></u>	OHAN	50	
AFRICA	31,2	۲ ت	297	4,8	U.S.A.	23	
LAT. AMERICA	n	I .	m	o	SPAIN	16	
VEAR EAST	1711	327	1.394	19	Total	2012	
AR EAST	180	1	.80	0		00 8 1 1 8 8 8 8	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)	or, 89, 3% of the	e total product	tion

		•					
			yan ya				
				piterine		Febrûary 1979	
	••• • .						ļ

SOCIETE DU	PETROLE VER	L	SUMMARY 0	F WORLI) CASSAVA (MANIOC)	TABLE 11
24, rue Roy: 75008 PARIS	ale		Old	DUCTION	1977	(FAO Statistics MAY 1978)
COUNTRIES	AREA 1000 HA	XIELD XG/HA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	L R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	The First Twenty ducing Countrics 1000 MT	REMARKS
WORLD	12,575	8,761	110,167	°N		There is no statistics concer- ning the Cassava exportations of
FRICA	6,731	6,576	44,263	ę	FRAZIL 26,511	rualiana, which is on the 4th rank as far as production is
C. ANERICA	115	6,437	739	സ ന.	ZAIRE 12,300 INDONESIA 12,169	concerned, is one of the ver few countries in the world to
DUTH AMERICA	2,759	11,605	32,022	4 IN	THATLAND (1)10,644 NIGERIA 10,600	export cassava; practically everywhere else this product
SIA	2,951	11,160	32,932	92	INDIA 6,480 TANZANIA 4.000	is consumed by the locals.
CEANIA	19	11.066	212	000	GHANA 2,500 MOZAMBTOTE 2,500	Out of a production of 10,644 thousands tons in 1977,
				<u></u>	COLOMBIA 2,113	Thailand exported 3,700 thou-
DEVEL . FING CO	UNTRIES	nter k un antara			PARAGUAY 1,700 ANGOLA 1,650	was sold to Northern Europe, wore particularly to Woet
	12,374	8,755	108,335	<u>5</u> -4	VIETNAM 1,500 MADAGASCAR 1,300	Germany and Netherlands
FRICA	6,688	6,599	44.132	10.0	UGANDA 1,100 BURUNDI 902	(industrial pigs breeding). Estimations for 1978 are
				20	CENTRAL AFRICA 900	15,000 thousands tons for production and 6 500 for
TANE ANERTON	z, 0/4	665,11	32,760	0 0	CAMEROON 810 CONGO 769	tation, which would place
LEAR EAST	С†	3,047	131	50	SRI LANKA 750	Thailand on the 2nd rank of the cassava producing countries
AR EAST	2,749	11,311	31,099		Total 101,148	Brazil, with 26,511 thousand
THERS	19	11.066	212	-	or, 91.8 % of the	tons produced in 1977, expor-
			1		total production = 110,167	red unity 1,000 tons, the fotal production being consumed focally, 60% of which used for
				•v 	all developing countrie	s) cattle feeding.
						Let us recall that Colombia (10th producing country with
			•••			2,113 thousands tons) exported
	-					80% to the United States and 15% to Netherlands and Great
						Britain.

FEBRUARY 1979

1) Including 3,700 exported

Ì

CILTE DU PE	TROLE VERI	E.	SUM	LRY OF WORLD SUGAR	CANE		4 TH TO
., rue Royal 3008 PARIS	Ð			PRODUCTION 1971	()	RAO Stati	stics MAY 1978)
UNTRIES	AREA 1000 HA	YIELD KG/HA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	THE	FIRST TWENT	Y PRODUC	ING COUNTRIES
RLD	13.206	35.845	737.483	e N	COUNTRIES	AREA	PRODUCTION 1000 MT
RICA	932	64.393	60.027		INDE	2.872	154.023
C. AMERICA	2.825	56.025	158.277		CUBA	1.300	57.000
UTH AMERICA	3.254	57.501	187.091	τ <i>ι</i> υν	MEXIQUE	1480 788	31.500
SIA	5.840	52.315	305 - 504		U.S.A.	307	25.089 23.403
LROPE	Ŋ	62.571	331	× 0 0	PHILIPPINES	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	23.212 20.500
(EANIA	350	75.005	26.254		SOUTH AFRICA	250	- 19.770
whic.				2 C	ANGENT LNA INDONESIA PRP_DOMT=	180 160	15.076 P
VELOPING COU	JNTRIES				PEROU	57	8.900
	11.583	53.464 El 266	619.265 20.617	19	EGYPT MAURITIUS	100	8.000 6.900
WTCH -	t			18	GUATEMALA	S S S S	6.800
T. ANERICA	5.772	55.488	320.279	19	EQUATEUR BANGLADESH	145	6 • 504
AR EAST	134	80.265	10.751			200	
R EAST	5.058	50.390	254.857	9 1 .			
	L L		• • • • •		or, 86,25% of	f the tot	al production = 737.48
2	<u>.</u>	c)	20.2		including (de de	svelopiné sveloped	: ME = 70.483 ME = 667.000
							February 1979
				-			

*

ł

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT 24, rue Royale 75008 PARIS

SUMMARY OF WOLRD SUGAR BEETS

Production 1977

TABLE 12 BFAO Statistics MAY 1978

•

					[1
COUNTRIES	AREA 1 000 HA	YIELD KG/H a	PRODUCTION 1000 MT		(+ 	HE FIRST TWENTY F	PRODUCING COUNTRIES	1
WORLD	9023	32155	290.119	4	<u>.</u>	COUNTRLES	PRODUCTION 1000 MT	
AFRICA	56	30005	1.677		- N	U.S.S.R FRANCE	93.300 24.500	
N.C. AMERICA	523	45719	23.892		<u>e</u> +	U.S.A. R.F.A.	22.784 20.294	
SOUTH AMERICA	71	40088	2.839		50	POLAND	15.933 11.000	
ASIA	811	30570	24.785		<u>5-00</u>	SPAIN CZECHOSLOVAK	8.285 8.270	
EUROPE	3801	37782	143.626		0.0	CHIN A TURKEY	8.240 8.200	_
U.S.S.R.	3761	24807	93.300		- N	U.K. Romania	7.525 6.249	
áf which	NTD TEC				<u>614</u>	NETHERLANDS YUGOSLAVIA 2 D A	6.017 5.286 5.286	
	610	30333	18.518		নতান	IRAN BELGTUM/LUX	4 - 500 4 - 500	
AFRICA	56	30005	1.677		000	HUNGARY DENMARK	3.888 3.321	
LAT. AMERICA	75	39281	2.939	2	0	REECE	2.900	
NEAR EAST	470	28758	13.525			Total	270.756	
FAR EAST	б	39803	377			or, 93 % of the 1	<pre>====================================</pre>	
				- ,,	••••	including(develope (developi	ed countries 263.361 ing countries 26.758	
								1

February 1979

SOCIETE DU PETROLE VERT	NMMA	RY OF WORLD	(1977)		TAB	E 12 C
75008 PARIS	SUGAR CANE production 1000 MT	SUGAR BEET production 1000 MT	S TOTAL 1000 NT	sucar (<u>centrifugal raw</u>) Production 1000 MT	<u>centrifugal raw</u> EStödt 1000 MT	EXPONDENS PRODUCT EQ
WORLD	737.483	290.119	1.027.602	92.109	21.672	23.5
AFRICA	60.027	1.677	61.704	6.108	2.407	39,4
N.C.AMERICA	158.277	23.892	182.169	18.807	8.118	43,2
SOUTH AMERICA	187.091	2.839	189.930	14.198	3.424	24.1
ASIA	305.504	24.785	330.289	20.025	4.643	23,2
EUROPE	331	143.626	143.957	20.188	226	1,1
OCEANIA	26.254	0	26.254	3.718	2.853	76,7
U.S.S.R.	0	93.300	006.64	۶•065	o	-/
of which <u>DEVELOPING</u> COUNTRIES	619.265	18. 518	637.783	46.297	17.217	37.2
AFRICA	30.617	1.677	32.294	3.168	1.237	39,0
LAT.ANERICA	320.279	2.939	323.218	27.437	11.542	42,1
NEAR EAST	10.751	13.525	24.276	2.794	0	ı
FAR EAST	254.857	377	255.234	12.522	4.116	32,9
OTHERS	2.761	0	2.761	376	322	85,6
						···/···

X)TABLE 12CN)Page(2)LES	-43-
ENTRIFUGAL LOCAL CONSUMPTIO PRODUCING COUNTR	PRODUCTI 1.450 8.500 1.450 859 859 859 200 13.833 13.833 13.833
SUCAR <u>NONC</u> (USED FOR PRINCIPAL	COUNTRIES INDIA INDIA PAKISTAN CHINA THAILAND COLUMBIA BENGLADESH INDONESIA BRAZIL BURMA OTHERS OTHERS OTHERS
+	uc tio count
. RAV Y PRODUC INC IES	PRODUCTION 1000 NT 9.055 8.900 6.485 5.429 5.429 5.429 5.239 4.882 2.940 2.940 2.940 2.940 2.940 1.900 1.900 1.140
AR CENTRIFUGAL HE PIRST TWENT COUNTR	COUNTRIES U.S.S.R. BRAZIL CUBA U.S.A. INDIA CUBA U.S.A. INDIA CHINA CHINA TANCE AUSTRALIA R.F.A NEXICO PHILIPPINES THAILAND SOUTH AFRICA POLAND SOUTH AFRICA POLAND SO
SUG	× - N U-+ NO 1-00 00 - N U-+ NO 1-0000
IPTION &	76.5 60,6 56,8 75,9 98,9 23,3 23,3 61% 57,9 100% 67,1 14,4
LOCAL CONSUN	70.437 3.701 3.701 10.689 15.382 19.962 9.065 9.065 9.065 1.931 1.931 1.935 2.794 8.406 8.406

-44-

		_			
EVOLUTION	OF	TIE	WORLD	SUGAR	PRODUCTTON
Constraint in the last state of the loss of the loss of the last state of the last s	-				THOM OUT FOIL

(Thousands of tons of raw sugar 1

r per campaign) (1)

	(Inousanus		raw sugar per	campaign) (1)	• *
CAMPAIGN (1)	WORLD PRODUCTION	BEETS SUGAR	CANE SUGAR	% DEET S	% CANE
1900-01	9,653	6,090	3,563	63.1	36.9
1910-11	16,824	8,668	8,156	51.5	48.5
1920-21	16,831	4,906	11,925	29.2	70.8
1930-31	27,863	11,921	15,942	42.8	57.2
1940-41	29,902	11,684	18,218	39.1	60.9
1950-51	33,576	14,102	19.474	42.0	58 0
1955-56	39,882	16,097	23.785	40.4	59.6
1960-61	55,442	24,266	31,176	43.8	56.2
1967-68	65,624	29,124	36,500	44.4	55.6
1968-69	68,609	30,451	38,158	44.1	55.6
1969-70	73,787	30,157	43,630	40.9	59.1
1970-71	73,026	30,181	42,845	41.3	58.7
1971-72 (2)	72,737	31,200	41,537	42.9	57.1
1972-73	76,724	31,035	45,691	40.4	59.6
1973-74	80,491	32,342	48,149	40.2	59.8
1974-75	79, 051	29, 061	49,990	36.8	63.2
1975-76	81,631	32,320	49,311	39.6	6c.4
1976-77	87,537	33,356	54,181	38.1	61.9
1977-78	93,551	36,109	57,442	38.6	61.4

(1) This table gathers the figures of the national campaigns which dates differ according to the countries, by opposition to the Table of Balances issued at a fixed date.

(2) From this date, the conversion rate of raw sugar into white sugar, initially at 0.90, has increased to 0.92

The first six world producers supply half of the world sugar production : 49.8. million tons (Mt) in 1977-78

С.Е.Е.	:	12.5 Mt	CUBA	:	7.4 Mt
BRAZIL	:	8.8 Mt	INDIA	1	7.0 Nt
U.S.S.R	:	8.8 Mt	U.S.A (*)	:	5.6 Nt

(*)Hawa1 and Porto-Rico included.

-45-

TABLE 13

• .

EQUIVALENCE

- I ton of sugar cane = 153 kilos of cane invert at 75% sugar.
- Price sugar cane ton = US \$ 12.
- One ton cane invert will cost about US \$ 115.
- 6.5 tons of came required to produce one ton of came invert.

CANE INVERT

. 2.5 tons of cane invert are required to produce one K1 of absolute alcohol,

compared to 3.7 tons of molasses,

but it is costly to produce it.

SUGAR DISTILLATION

 1.7 ton of raw sugar is required to produce 1 X1 of absolute alcohol compared to 3.7 tons molasses and 2.5 tons invert molasses.

The conversion of sugar into alcohol is more costly than distillation of molasses or caue invort.

February 1979

-46-

TABLE 14

PRINCIPAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS

ABLE TO DISTILLATION

(FAO Statistics MAY 1978)

	WORLD		T 1	
PRODUCTS	PRODUCTION 1977 . (1000 MT)	! ! EXPORTS 1977 ! (1000 MT)	I 1 1	
in the state of		T		
WHEAT	386,596	· 73,618 (*)		
МАТИЕ	349,676	1 57,122	1	
BARLEY-RYE-OATS- MILLET-SORGHUII	356,235	1 1 27,195		
(RICE, PADDY HUSKED RICE	36 6,505 -	1 10,819		
SUGAR CANE SUGAR BEETS	737,483 290,119) 21,672	l Sugar, Centriiu-	
POTATOES	292,938	4,509		
APPLES	21,348	1 3,000		
AGRUMES	50,329	1 7,149	 Market and the second se	
GREEN BANANAS I AND PLANTAINS I	56,489	6,825	1 1 1	
DATES	2,249	1 1 358	1 1	
CASSAVA (Manioc) 	1 10,167	1 1 1 1 1	! ! See special Note ! annexed to Table ! "CASSAVA"	
FIRE WOOD	1,178	1 1 1	1 1 1	
ا 1 سیسی میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں می	cubic meters	1	1	

(*) Weat + Flour (Wheat equiv.)

Na San San Walana at San

••••••••



80.02.07