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## INTRODUCTION

The footwear wearing habit is slowly catching up in the developing nations even as they are showing keen interest in developing the footwear industry. It is at this time we have to plan for achieving its growth in the right direction a that the foot heal th of the people is protected. The industry, production, distribution and the management of these units are being organised, managed and financed by foreign companies mostly Faropean. They follow their own standards in sizing and fittings, irrespective of the fact the ohoe may fit or pinch. People limping with new ill fitting pinching footwear for a few days until the shoe gets adjusted are quite a conmon sight and experience. In the case of leather used as footwear material, this sort of adjustment might be possible, but when the material happens to be a synthetic there is trouble and hence a shoe muett have a good fitting. There is a need for correct progreming and setting up of proper standards for these nations. Most of the children in the developing countries do not wear any footwear either in or outside their homes. Even school going children are barefooted, unless they are compelled to have footwear by the authorities of the school where there is a dress and footwear regulation. Even then, the children go barefooted outside school hours. Shoes, once purchased, are rarely changed even though the foot overgrowe the shoes. They wait until the shoe is almost damaged, and then only think about replacing it by a new footwear and in the school, children walk, run, jump and play with the footwear on. This may lead to foot troubles which may even worsen by wearing illfltting shoes, distorting toss, causing calluses and buvions. Bad shoes may even contribute to poar foot posture with accompanying strain
and excessive fatigue. The problem of sizing, fitting and designing of footwear for the normal growing feet of children atill remains unsolved. For this there is a necessity for a correct sizing and fitting based on ecientific facts and figures. The different sizing systems predominantly used are the British scale, Paris Point (Cositinental Scale), American acale and so on.

## DIFFRRRNT SIZING SYSTEMS AND PITTINGS

## (a) Length Scales

The British syetem of sizing is said to have been based on the length of barleycorns, three of which make up one inch on an average. The sizes were arrived at by consecutive increase of the length by that of one barley corn viz. $1 / 3$ of an inch with the acale, starting at $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ being called the ' 0 ' aize. The children's sizes are from 1 to 13 i.e. $41 / 3^{\prime \prime}$ to $81 / 3^{\prime \prime}$, whereas adult sizes again are from 1 to 12 starting from $82 / 3^{\prime \prime}$ to $121 / 3^{\prime \prime}$. Half sizes of $1 / 6^{\prime \prime}$ are also used in between two full sizes. The size of the stoe corresponding to the length of the foot is obtained from the formula
(length of the foot in inches - 4) 3 . In the case of adult's foot it is 13 -(length-4)3.

In the American System, the zero position starts at $311 / 12^{\prime \prime}$ instead of $4^{\prime \prime}$ as in the British System. Excepting for this, it is similar to the British system with a Eize interval of $1 / 3^{\prime \prime}$ and half aizes intervening. Amercian sizes are shorter by $1 / 12^{\prime \prime}$ as compared to the corresponding Buglish sizes. But their size markinge are lit aizes greater than the English size.

The Continental system Paris Point has a oize interval
of $2 / 3$ cni with no half sizes intervening and sizes are marked contimausly without any breaik. English sizes are convarte int, O Paris Pont fron the formula. Englisk eize couverted into inches $\times 2.54 \times 3 / 2$

## (b) Girth Scales

Lusts are made with different girth measuremente for the same length and also different girth measurements for different lengths to enable a greater number of feet to be efficiently fitted. Thess are called fittings. From one fitting to another the increase in girth measurement may be $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ on the English scale and 7.5 mm on Paifis Point. Each fitting for the same size is identified by the letters $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, X H$ depending on the nature of the foot fitting the shoe. On Paris Point scal o these are represented by the numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ and 9. Though the American sizes are marked $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times more than the corresponding finglish sizes, they are marked two fittings less than the Raglish scale. Thus an English shoe 7D would tecome $8 \frac{1}{2} B$ on the American scale.

The present system of sizes has been subjected to controversy and criticism and yet it is followed. It is considered by many that full size increases of $1 / 3$ '' are too large and half size increases of $1 / 6^{\prime \prime}$ are too small for a shoe with good fittinge. The size denotes the measurements on last and its relationsip with foot length of the given size is not know. Tedious calculations are involved in converting one system to another and also the foot measurements to shoe sizes. Also the fitting intervals vary from one manufacturer to another resulting in the shoes of the same size and fitting made by two manufacturers not fitting the sane foot. Proper shoes are fitted on the
foot only by trial and error. In short, a shoe isizedoes not toll us the aize of the shoe either in length or in width or the ratio between the length and the widtr used in the sizing. A particular sizing scal: adopted lya country may cater to the needs of that particusir colntry but when it is the question of one country depending on the other for fcotwear it is disastrous. This may also result in large surplus stocks with the retailers at the end of the season.

## EUROPOINT AND MONDOPOIRR

The various difficulties experienced by the different acales of sizing have given rise to a new thinkirg for universal standardisation. The first step in this direction was 'Europoint' and later on modified by Manning into 'Mond opoint'. Europiont ${ }^{2}$ is restricted to only the length scale, the lower and upper fixed points on this scale being 114 mm corresponding to a baby's size of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ and 306 mm corresponding to the adult size 13 . In between there are 193 possible sizes. Eliminating odd numbers the number of sizes can be reduced to 97. The size interval is thereby made 2 mm . Again this size interval depelda on the ty pe of construction. The size interval recommended was 6 mm for Derby and Oxford construction, 4 mm for ladies court shoes and 8 mm for Wellington and slippers.

On the Mondopoint scale ${ }^{3}$, in addition to the lengti of the foot obtained in the weight on position, one more factor, 'Width index', is also included. In sther words Mondopoint size marking will comprise two numbers, e.g. 240/95. The first number is the size; it is an indication of the length of the foot fitted by the shoe, measured in millimeters; the second number is the width index being an indication of the joint $e^{\text {irth }}$ of the foot itted, expressed
as a percentage of its length.
Though Manning suggested that tre lenfth of the fuct in millimeters and the joint girth of the foot exprosed as a percentage of its length be marked on the shoe, he later on favoured marking lenth of the foot in millimeters and the width of the foot ${ }^{4}$ but not the girth of the foot expressed as a percentage of ite lencth as previously suggested In this connection he referred to the author's work where relationships betweer the breadth of the foot and the other parameters of the foot weme established for the first time.

## RRSOLTS OBTAINED BY THE AUTHORS

A tudy was initiated by the authors to provide comfortable footwear for children. This tudy resulted in the establif ament of (1) a relationahip between the variono perametere of the foot ${ }^{5}$ (2) scientific spacing in betwe n sizes based on facts and floures (3) rate of growth of the feet of children ${ }^{6}$ and (4) a sizing scal $e^{7}$ based on the above.

## PARANETERS STUDIBD

The parameters studied were length, width, instep dirth, joint girth, short heel and ankle.

## 1. Length

The length ${ }^{1}$ of the foot is the distance from the neel to the tip of the great toe or the tip of the second toe whichever is longer.

## 2. Breadth or width

The Breadth ${ }^{1}$ is the distance between the firet metatareal head and the fifth metatarsal head. Thse are indicated by ' $A$ ' in fig. 1 and ' $B$ ' in fig. 2 respectively.
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Flli. 2.

A Fernier Calipers with en attachment for man tho jaws smooth to avold hurting of the feet of children while taking measurements was used to measure the leneth mod width of the foot. The length of the foot was anerured by keeping one jaw of the calipers in contact with the heel and the other jaw in contact with the longest toe. The breadth was muasured by placing one jaw of the cajipers in contact with the metatarsophalangeal joint of the big toe and the other jaw in contact with the metatarsophalangeal joint of the little toe.

## 3. Instep girth

This is the distance around the circumference of the foot touching the tuberosity of navicular and the tuberosity of the fifth metatarsal. This is indicated by $C$ in fig.l and $D$ in fig. 2 respectively.

## 4. Joint girth

The joint girth is the distance around the foot touching the first metatarsal head and the fifth metatarsal head. This is the circumference around the points measuring the breadth.

## 5. Short heol

This is the distance around the foot passing the point $G$ (in fig.2) which is the extreme end of the heel and $H$ (in fig.2) called throat, the measurement being made by passing the tape just below the internal Malleolus $E$ in Pig. 1 and External Malleolus $P$ in Fig. 2 respectively.

## 6. Ankle

The ankle is the distance around the amallest part of the leg above the internal Malleolus and external Malleolus.

A centimeter tape graduated in millimeters was made
TABLE I
$\frac{\text { Relation of breadth to various other parameters }}{\text { ot the foot }}$
 MAIE CHILDREN WITH FOOTWEAR HABITS
 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.07 & 2.64 & 0.06 & 3.39 & 0.12 & 3.40 & 0.10 & 2.09 & 2.08\end{array}$ 0.082 .580 .073390 .26330 .162002 .08 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0.018 & 2.60 & 0.10 & 3.35 & 0.14 & 3.41 & 0.15 & 2.13 & 2.14\end{array}$

 0.092 .12
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.08 & 2.59 & 0.12 & 2.61 & 0.11 & 3.36 & 0.14 & 3.40 & 0.01 & 2.10 & 2.13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}0.09 & 2.59 & 0.12 & 2.58 & 0.10 & 3.36 & 0.08 & 3.38 & 0.09 & 2.07 & 2.07\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.08 & 3.35 & 0.12 & 3.33 & 0.12 & 2.05 & 2.05\end{array}$
 $0.103 .240 .09 \quad 3.30 \quad 0.101 .961 .98$


L. P.: Left Foot;
TABLE II
Relation of breadth to varicus other parameters of the foot
 FBMALE CHILDREN WITH FOOTWEAR HABITS

| $1.5-6$ | 2.63 | 0.10 | 2.56 | 0.10 | 2.61 | 0.02 | 2.59 | 0.02 | 2.61 | 0.10 | 2.61 | 0.09 | 3.41 | 0.10 | 3.41 | 0.01 | 2.12 | 2.12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.6-7$ | 2.60 | 0.10 | 2.62 | 0.09 | 2.61 | 0.12 | 2.61 | 0.03 | 2.68 | 0.02 | 2.06 | 0.07 | 3.44 | 0.13 | 3.41 | 0.09 | 2.15 | 2.15 |
| $3.7-8$ | 2.60 | 0.13 | 2.57 | 0.10 | 2.62 | 0.10 | 2.57 | 0.08 | 2.50 | 0.07 | 2.56 | 0.09 | 3.40 | 0.11 | 3.37 | 0.11 | 2.12 | 2.20 |
| $4.8-9$ | 2.59 | 0.09 | 2.62 | 0.10 | 2.62 | 0.08 | 2.59 | 0.06 | 2.54 | 0.07 | 2.55 | 0.09 | 3.40 | 0.12 | 3.40 | 0.11 | 2.01 | 2.01 |
| $5.9-10$ | 2.62 | 0.12 | 2.61 | 0.12 | 2.61 | 0.09 | 2.58 | 0.08 | 2.55 | 0.12 | 2.55 | 0.07 | 3.41 | 0.15 | 3.39 | 0.13 | 2.09 | 2.09 |
| $6.10-11$ | 2.67 | 0.11 | 2.68 | 0.11 | 2.62 | 0.07 | 2.59 | 0.10 | 2.59 | 0.08 | 2.58 | 0.11 | 3.44 | 0.11 | 3.43 | 0.11 | 2.14 | 2.13 | FEMALE CHILDREN WITHOUT FOOTWEAR HABITS






 Std.Dern.: Standard deviation
R.F.: Pight foot;
use of to obtain the measurements on instep and joint girth, short heel and anicle. This study was carried on about five hundred school-going children of both sexes aged between five and eleven years and on both habituated to fcotwear and those who are not habituated to wearing footwear. The data thus obtained was analysed statisticaily, agewise and sexwise separately for children with footwear and children without footwear habits.

## RESULTS

Statistical analysis was carried out to arrive at the ratio of (1) length to breadth (2) instep girth to breadth (3) joint girth to breadth (4) heel to breadth and (5) ankle to breadth. The mean and standard deviation were calculated in each case agewise and sexwise separately for the children with footwear habits and for children with out footwear habits. These results are given in tables 1 and ? The results show that tie average ratios of length to breadth, instep to breadth and joint girth to breadth 1s 2.58. The mean ratios of heel to breadth and ankle to breadth are 3.37 and 2.08 respectively. That is for all age groups between five and eleven for both male and female children whether they are habituated to wearing footwear or not, there was no change in the ratios. It is seen that
(1) Length $=2.58$

Breadth
(2) Instep $_{\text {Breadt }}^{1}=2.58$
(3) $\frac{\text { Joint girth }}{\text { Breadth }}=2.58$

Heel $=3.37$
Breadth
$\frac{\text { Ankle }}{\text { Breadth }}=2.03$

## TABLE III

| Ratio |  | Increase in 4 months …...in min |  |  | Increase in 8 monthe _- in mm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bread | Mean |  |  | $\text { Mean } \frac{\mathrm{dI}}{\mathrm{~dB}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { (19) } \\ & \text { Pemal e } \\ & \text { (14) } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Iess than } \\ 2.5 \end{array}\right.$ | 46 |  | 5 |  |  | 3.25 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 3 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & (56) \\ & \text { Fsmale } \\ & (53) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Between } \\ 2.5 \text { and } 2.6 \end{array}\right.$ | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 2.3 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 1 |  | 7 | 3 |  |
| Male <br> (2.1) <br> Female <br> (31) | More than$2.7$ | 3 | 2 | 1.5 | 6 | 4 | 1.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2 |  | 5 | 5 |  |

Figures in parenthesis in Col.l repr sent the number of cases studied.

## STUDIES ON THE FATE OF GROWTH OF THE FEET OF CHILDREN

This study was conducted at two different schools where the children are not habituated to wearing any footwear so that the rate of growth may be assessed without any impediments to natural growth. Length and breadth measurenents were retaken on the same children at intervals of four months and eight months.

## EFFECT OF THE RATE OF GROWTH OP THE FOOT ON THE RATIO LENGNH OF THE FOOI/BREADTH OF THE FOOT

It is seen from the foot parameter study that the ratio of length to breadth of the foot is on an average, is $2.58 \pm 0.1$. In other words it can vary between 2.5 and 2.7 with an average of 2.58. However, there were cases of children where this ratio was less than 2.5 and more tnan 2.7. The rate of growth of the feet, lengthwise and breadthwise during four months and eight months was worked out separately for children having their length to breadth ratio less than 2.5 and more than 2.7 and between 2.5 and 2.6 . These results are given in Table 3. Taile 3 also contains the ratio of increase in length to the increase in breadth (represented by the symbol $\alpha L / d B$ ).

It is seen that for a foot having length/breachth ratio below 2.5 increase along its length is more. On the other hand, if this ratio is more than 2.7 the foot development, along its breadth is more. Also the $d L / d B$ ratio for children with normal length to breadth ratio of 2.5 to 2.6 is 3 , for children with this ratic less than 2.5 the $d L / d B$ ratio 195 and for children with length breadth ratio more than 2.7, the $d L / d B$ is 1.5 . The corresponding $\mathrm{dL} / \mathrm{dB}$ values in eight montha are 2.3, 3.25 and 1.25. It is thus obvious that the growth in leagth and breadth dimensions of the feet of children studied takes place in
such a manner that the length/breadth ratio adjusts itself to the normal value which liee between 2.5 and 2.6 . Perhaps this is referred to as 'spurt growth' in the feet of children.

## SIZES AND FITTINGS SUGGESTED

The study on the various parameters of the children together with the rate of growth atudies can better be utilised for arriving at a scientific size interval. Now it is seen that on an average the length to breadth ratio is 2.58. The length of the foot can be obtained by multiplying its breadth by $2.5 \varepsilon$.

It is seen from the rate of growth calculations in Table III that for a normal foot having a ratio of 2.5 to 2.6, breadthwise increase during 4 months and 8 months period is 1 mm and 3 mm respectively. In other words average increase during 4 to 6 months period may be $(1+3) / 2$ or 2 mm . The corresponding length increase would be $2.58 \mathrm{x} 2=$ 5.16 mm or 5 mm . This gives rise to a scientific spacing between any two sizes as 5.16 mm which may be adopted as our future sizing for the feet of growing children.

This results in 14 sizes starting from 149.6 mm and ending with 216.7 mm with a width of 58 mm and 84 mm respectively corresponding to the minimum and maximum length and breadth recorded for the children of 5-11 years. The same can also be worked out by taking the minimum longth recorded as 150 mm and maximum length recorded as 217 mm and dividing this range in steps of 5 mm , each length beine divided by the factor 2.58 to obtain the width of the foot for that corresponding length.

When once the length and breadth of the foot are fixed, the instep girth, joint eirth and heel can be worted out
by multiplying the breadth of the foot by 2.58 in the case of joint girth and instep girth, and by 3.37 to obtain the heel measurement. To obtain the various fittings for the same length, the breadth of the foot can be increased in steps of 2 mm (in the case of broad foot) or decreased in steps of 2 mm (in the case of narrow foot) and the corresponding joint girth or instep girth can be obtained by multiplying by 2.58. The same interval of 2 mm oan be multiplied by 3.37 to obtain the heel measurement for the same fitting.

Por example, the breadth of the foot $=60 \mathrm{~mm}$ Length of the foot $=2.58 \times 60=155 \mathrm{~mm}$
Por the same length, joint girth and instep girth for difforent fittings are

1. $2.58 \times 60=155 \mathrm{~mm}$ Medium fitting
2. $2.58 \times 62=160 \mathrm{~mm}$ ] Broad fittings
3. $2.58 \times 64=165 \mathrm{~mm}$ ]
4. $2.58 \times 58=149.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ] Narrow fittings
$5.2 .58 \mathrm{x}=144.5 \mathrm{~mm}]$
and the corresponding heel measurements are
5. $3.37 \times 60=202.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ Medium fitting
6. $3.37 \times 62=208.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ] Broad fitting
$3.3 .37 \times 64=215.7 \mathrm{~mm}]$
7. $3.37 \times 58=195.5 \mathrm{~mm}]$ Narrow fitting
$5.3 .37 \times 56=188.7 \mathrm{~mm}]$
The various sizes worked out with the corresponding length and other fittings are given in the table IV.

In general, if $b$ is the breadth of the foot in millimetere, the length is 2.58 b , joint or instep girth is $2.58 \times(b+2)$ or $2.58(b+4) \mathrm{mm}$ for broad fittings and 2.58
$\overline{\mathrm{AI} \text { ्र्याEVI }}$

| S. <br> Length <br> No. foot <br> in mm | Breadth of the foot in mm |  |  |  |  | Instep or joint girth of the foot in mm |  |  |  |  | Heel in mim |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b |  |  |  |  | $2.58 \times$ breadth |  |  |  |  | $3.37 \times$ breadth |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 149.6 | 58 | 68 | 62 | 56 | 54 | 149.6 | 154.8 | 160 | 144.5 | 139.3 | 195.5 | 202.2 | 208.9 | 183.7 | 182 |
| 154.8 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 58 | 56 | 154.8 | 160 | 165.1 | 149.6 | 144.5 | 202.2 | 208.9 | 21 | 195. | 188.7 |
| 160 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 60 | 58 | 160 | 165.1 | 170.1 | 154.8 | 149.6 | 208.9 | 215.7 | 224.4 | 202. | 195.5 |
| 165.1 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 62 | 60 | 165.1 | 170.1 | 175.4 | 160 | 154.8 | 215.7 | 224.4 | 229.2 | 208.9 | 202.2 |
| 170.1 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 64 | 62 | 170.1 | 175.4 | 160.6 | 165.1 | 160 | 222.4 | 229.2 | 235. | 215.7 | 208.9 |
| 175.4 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 66 | 64 | 175.4 | 180.6 | 185.8 | 170.1 | 165.1 | 229.2 | 235.9 | 242.6 | 222.7 | 21.5 .7 |
| 180.6 | 70 | 72 | 74 | 68 | 66 | 180.6 | 185.7 | 190.9 | 175.4 | 170. | 235.9 | 242.6 | 249.4 | 229.2 | 222.4 |
| 185.7 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 70 | 68 | 185.7 | 190.9 | 196.1 | 180.6 | 175.4 | 242.6 | 249.4 | 256.1 | 235.9 | 229.2 |
| 9. 190.9 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 72 | 70 | 190.9 | 196.1 | 201.2 | 185.7 | 180.6 | 249.4 | 256.1 | 262.9 | 242.6 | 235.9 |
| 10. 196.1 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 74 | 72 | 196.1 | 201.2 | 205.4 | 190.9 | 185.7 | 256.1 | 262.9 | 269.6 | 249.4 | 242.6 |
| 11. 201.2 | 78 | 80 | 82 | 76 | 74 | 201.2 | 206.4 | 211.6 | 196.1 | 190.9 | 262.9 | 269.6 | 276.3 | 256.1 | 249.6 |
| 12. 206.4 | 80 | 82 | 84 | 78 | 76 | 206.4 | 211.6 | 215.7 | 201.2 | 196.1 | 269.6 | 276.3 | 283.1 | 262.9 | 256.1 |
| 13. 211.6 | 82 | 84 | 86 | 8 C | 78 | 211.6 | 216.7 | 221.9 | 206.4 | 201.2 | 276.3 | 283.1 | 289.8 | 209.6 | 262.9 |
| . 216.7 | 84 | 86 | 38 | 82 | 80 | 216.7 | 7221.9 | 227 | 211.6 | 206.4 | 283. | 289.8 | 295.6 | 276.3 | 269 |

[^0](b-2) or 2.58 ( $b-4$ ) for narrow fittings 2.58 b can be termed as medium fitting. The heel measurements for the correaponding instep or joint girth workout to $3.37(b+2)$ $\mathrm{mm}, 3.37(\mathrm{~b}+4) \mathrm{mm}, 3.37(\mathrm{~b}-2) \mathrm{mm}, 3.37(\mathrm{~b}-4) \mathrm{mm}$ and 3.37 b .

## CONCLUSION

The size markings on the shoe suggested are (1) length of the foot in millimeters taken in weight on position and (2) the width of the foot. The other parameters viz., instep, joint girth and heel will have to be taken care of in the manufacture of the shoe, these parameters being obtained by multiplyin the breadth of the foot by 2.58 and 3.37.

These results are based on actual measurements and would therefore be nearer to proper sizing and fittings. The method followed here can be profitably made use of, to evolve suitable sizes and fittings for any regions or ethnic groups.
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[^0]:    The size markings refer to foot measurements.
    2. The grading suggestio s are also for foct neasurements.

