



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche.







D 3980

Distr.

ID/B/SR.1 18 July 1967 ENGLISH

ORIGINAL: PRESCH

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD

ı

First Session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE FIRST MEETING

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Monday, 10 April 1967, at 11.25 a.m.

CONTENTS

Opening of the session

Election of officers

Consideration of the provisional agenda (ID/B/1)

PRECENT:

REJENT:		
Temporary President:	Mr. APDEL-RAHMAN	(Executive Director, United Nations Industrial Development Organization)
President:	Mr. TELL	Jordan
Rapporteur:	Mr. MBAYE	(Guinea)
Members:	Mr. BRADLEY	Argentina
	Mr. BOBLETER	Austria
	Mr. FORTHOMME) Mr. DELVAUX	Belgium
	Mr. CARVALHO SILOS	Brazil
	Mr. VLADOV	Bulgaria
	Mr. BELEOKEN	Cameroon
	Mr. ABELL	Canada
	Mr. PIÑERA CARVALLO	Chile
	Mr. TURBAY-AYALA	Colombia
	Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI	Cuba
	Mr. MUZIK) Mr. SCHEJBAL)	Czechoslovakia
	Mr. SARTORIUS	Federal Republic of German.
	Mr. TORNQUIST	Finland
	Mr. VIAUD	France
	Mr. COFIE	Ghana
	Mr. ACHKAR	Guinea
	Mr. GUPTA	India
	Mr. KAMIL	Indonesia
	Mr. YEGANEH	Iran
	Mr. PISANI MASSAMORMILE	Italy
	Mr. AKE	Ivory Coast
	Mr. ABE	Japan
	Mr. KHANACHET	Kuwait
	Mr. LUBBERS	Netherlands
	Mr. ASIODU	Nigeria
	Mr. DASTCIR	Pakistan
•	Mr. FERNANDINI	Peru
	Mr. JIMENEZ	Philippines





Tiy By SR. 1 English Fage 3

PRESENT (continued)

Members (continued):

Mr. DUMITERESCU Romania
Mr. RYABONYENDE Rwanda
Mr. WARSAMA Somalia
Mr. TEMBOURY Spain
Mr. SAHLOUL Sudan
Mr. KALDEREN Sweden

Mr. KALDEREN Sweden
Mr. TURRETTINI Switzerland

Mr. PANYARACHUN Thailand

Mr. ARCHIBALD Trinidad and Tobago

Mr. CUBRUK Turkey

Mr. ANANICHEV Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

Mr. SAIAMA United Arab Republic

Sir Edward WARMER United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Mr. KOTSCHNIG United States of America

Mr. MENDIOLA Uruguny
Mr. SIKALUMBI Zambia

Observers for Member States:

Mr. DJOUDI Algoria
Mr. BENSON Australia

U MAUNG MAUNG CALE Burma

Mr. TCHERNOUCHTCHERKO Byelorussian Soviet Socialist
Republic

Mrs. SAM SIDARETH Cambodia

Mr. FU China

Mr. MCMBCULI Congo (Brazzaville)
Mr. LOUYA Conto (Democratic Republic of)

Mr. LOUYA Conto (Democratic Republic of)
Mr. AGATHOCLEOUS Cyprus

Mr. OLSEN Denmark

Mr. SUBERO Dominican Republic

Mr. JATIVA Ecuador

Mr. MAKAYA-CASTANCUL Gabon
Mr. COLLAS Greece

Mr. ENDREFFY Hungary

PRESERT (continued):

Observers for Member States (continued):

Mr. NEDIVI Israel

Mr. WHITING Jamai ca

Mr. THOMAS Liberia

Mr. LAI Malaysia

Mr. CHULUUNBAATAR Mongolia

Mr. DEVENDRA Ne pal

Mr. OUSSEINI Niger

Mr. STANGHOLM Norway Mr. NENEMAN

Poland Mr. VAZ PINTO Portugal

Mr. DELGADO Senegal

Mr. van NIEKERK South Africa

Mr. KLII Togo

Mr. NOCHUBEI Ukrainian Soviet Socialist

Republic

Mr. SANON Upper Volta

Mr. GRGA LAVCEVIC Yugoslavia

Representatives of specialized agencies:

Mr. AMMAR International Labour Organisation

Mr. WOODWARD Food and Agriculture Organization

of the United Nations

Mr. KASSUN United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organisation

Mr. RAJ International Bank for

Reconstruction and Development

Mrs. KAIM World Health Organization

Representative of the International Atomic Energy Assency:

Mr. MAJAR

Representative of the General Agreement on Parists and Trade:

Mr. HORTLING

Representatives of paper United Nations bodies:

Mr. HARLAND United Entions Development

Programo

Mr. NERFIN World Food Programme





ID/B/8R.1 Inglish Page 5

FREEER (continued):

Boorstoriet:

No. ABOUT-RAPPARE

Emseative Director, United Nations Industrial

Development Organisation

M. CRICORIEV

Director, Industrial Technology Division

Mr. SYLLA

Secretary of the Board

ID/B/SR.1 English Page 6

OPENING OF THE SESSION

The TEMFORARY PRESIDENT declared open the first session of the Industrial Development Board. The Secretary-General, who was away from Headquarters on a tour of duty, had asked him to convey a message in which he noted that the first session of the Board marked an important milestone in the development of the United Nations and expressed the conviction that the establishment of UNIDO would give a new dimension to development efforts.

The Secretary-General did not regard industrialization as an aim in itself. It was primarily an instrument for spearheading economic growth, and it should provide a tasis for the development of the human potential which had remained largely dominate in the less developed areas. Extending to all peoples the predigious possibilities opened up by the industrial revolution would make a major contribution to improved conditions of political and economic equilibrium throughout the world.

The Secretary-General was particularly gratified that, at a time when major donors were showing signs of weariness, direct action in the field of industrial development was gaining wide support, as was shown by the size of the pledges those countries had made to the Programme of Special Industrial Services. Indeed, it took a measure of enlightened foresight on the part of the industrially advanced countries to be willing to share their resources of technology and capital with a view to realizing the enormous benefits that the world as a whole would gain from apprading the productivity of two thirds of mankind.

The Secretary-General was sure that an atmosphere of optimism and anticipation would pervade the first session of the Board, which should serve as an inspiration to further efforts. While the industrially advanced countries had special responsibilities because of the magnitude of their resources and technology, the support to accelerate industrialization must come from the developing nations because of the existing and new opportunities for international ro-operation would contribute to the common points depended on the tegree of initiative, purposefulness and interest shown by all member countries.

The Decretary-General concluded his message by stating that the most important role of the Board might be to lead the co-operative effort of industrialized and insustrializing countries for a concentrated attack on the problems of industrial development.

ASC FORM



ID/B/SR.1 English Page 7

He (the Temporary President) suggested that the Board should apply the rules of procedure of the General Assembly until such time as it had adopted its own rules of procedure.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) nominated Mr. Tell (Jordan) for the office of President.

Mr. SAHLOUL (Sudan) and Mr. GUPTA (India) supported the nomination.
Mr. Tell (Jordan) was elected President by acclamation.

Mr. BOBLETER (Austria) nominated Mr. Dumiterescu (Romania) for the office of First Vice-President.

Mr. ANANICHEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) and Mr. PIÑERA CARVALLO (Chile) supported the nomination.

Mr. Dumiterescu (Romania) was elected First Vice-President by acclamation.

Mr. ABE (Japan) nominated Mr. Lubbers (Netherlands) for the office of Second Vice-President.

Mr. DASTGIR (Pakistan) and Mr. SCHEJBAL (Czechoslovakia) supported the nomination.

Mr. Lubbers (Netherlands) was elected Second Vice-President by acclamation.

Mr. FORTHOMME (Belgium) nominated Mr. Bradley (Argentina) for the office of Third Vice-President.

Mr. ACHKAR (Guinea), Mr. PIÑERA CARVALLO (Chile) and Mr. KHANACHET (Kuwait) supported the nomination.

Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI (Cuba) requested that note should be taken of his delegation's abstention on the election of Mr. Bradley.

Mr. Bradley (Argentina) was elected Third Vice-President.

Mr. BRADLEY (Argentina) thanked the Board for the confidence it had displayed in him by choosing him as a Vice-President, and stated that he felt honoured not to have been elected unanimously.

ID/B/SR.1 English Page 8

Mr. Mraye (Guinea) for the office of Rapporteur.

Mr. CUPTA (India), Mr. ANANICHEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) and Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) supported the nomination.

Mr. Mbaye (Quinea) was elected Rapporteur by acclamation.

Mr. MBAYE (Guinea) thanked the Board for the honour done to him and stated that, as the Board was holding its first session, he intended to submit a very detailed report to the General Assembly. He would negotiate with all the groups represented in the Board, with a view to preparing a report that could be adopted unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF THE PROVISIONAL AGENDA (ID/B/1)

Mr. FERMANDINI (Peru) announced that the twenty-five developing countries which were members of the Board had decided to form themselves into a group, to be known as the Group of Twenty-five, of which he himself was Chairman. The Group, which had already met, hoped that a unified policy in the field of industrial development would be formulated. With that end in view, he proposed, on behalf of the twenty-five countries, some changes in the provisional agenda which would facilitate the work of the Board and of the secretariat. Item 4 would be entitled "Rules of procedure", in order to allow greater flexibility in the consideration of that particular item. Item 5 should be entitled "Co-ordination and review of activities of the United Nations system of organizations in the field of industrial development", so that both past and current activities could be studied under that item. Item 6 might be entitled "Future programme of work and activities of UNIDO". The subdivisions of the item should be deleted, and consideration should be given only to work beginning with the opening of the current session. The Group of Twenty-five did not feel that it was necessary to have a separate item on Special Industrial Services, since those activities would in any event be included in the programme of work of UNIDO. Items 8 and 9 would therefore become items 7 and 8, without emy change in their wording. It would be desirable to include in the agenda a new item 9, which would be worded "Organizational matters, including the structure and functions of the Secretariat". The words "Organizational matters"

IN FAM.

ITA/B/NR. 1 Regiliab Page 9

(R. Promise Pro)

should be understood to mem all problems relating to UNIDO itself, such as its staff and its functions. In that commenten, it would be useful to decentralize the activities of the staff, in order to have a clear idea of how the secretariat worked. Next, there should be a new item 10 on financial questions; there was no such item in the provisional agenda as it stood. That would be followed by the existing item 11 (Other business), and the new item 12 would relate to the adoption of the report of the Board. That change in the order of discussion of the agenda items was logical, since the report could not be adopted until the agenda had been exhausted.

The Group of Twenty-five also felt that the Board's discussion should be organized differently. While the order of agenta items 1 to 4 would remain unchanged, the other items should be considered in the following order: "Report on the establishment of UNIDO hesiquarters" (item 8) (the priority position for that item had been informally requested by the Austrian representative, who vished the matter to be considered as early as possible); "Priory programs of work and activities of UNIDO" (item 6), "Co-ordination and review of activities of the United Nations system of organizations in the field of industrial development" (item 5); "Organizational matters, including the structure and facutions of the secretariat" (item 9); "Pinencial questions" (item 10); "International Symposium on Industrial Development" (item 7); "Other 'uninees" (item 11); "Mosption of the Report of the Board to the twenty-second secsion of the General Assembly" (item 12).

We hoped that the Board would except those proposals and visited to state case again that the developing countrier of Africa, Asia and Latin America thought it most important that the current session should be devoted to useful vert which was completely in keeping with the airs of the new organization. He stressed their leafre to lay the foundations for fruitful so-operation in the field of industrial development.

Ex. IIAID (France) objected to the delay in the translation and airculation of some documents in Franch. For example, the documents relating to United Sations activity in the field of industrial development (ID/N/3 and 6) and beam circulated only a few minutes before the beginning of the meeting. Such working methods, which were unworthy of a secretarial that anught to maintain high

ID/B/8R.1 Frightish Page 10

(Mr. Vlaud, France)

standards of efficiency, augured ill for the future, and it would be unfortunate if there's work suffered as a result. His delegation, which had thus been unable to obtain any instructions from its Government regarding agenda items 5 and 6, would like consideration of those items to be postponed to the end of the session. That would enable it to familiarize itself with the documents which had just been circulated and to obtain the necessary instructions.

Mr. PINNA (Chile) said that there had also been delays in translating the decuments into Spanish - a situation which did not facilitate the task of delegations working in Spanish. He supported the changes in the agenda proposed by the Peruvian delegation. In that connexion, the new title of item 6 acquired special importance, since the Board's primary task was to determine, in the light of the relevant General Assembly resolutions, the main outlines of UNIDO's work. The Board should also define the principles which would govern UNIDO's co-ordination of United Nations activities in the field of industrial development. Finally, the consideration of financial questions would compel the Board to establish an order of priority and to define the most urgent tasks which UNIDO would have to tackle in the near inture.

Mr. INCHAUCTERII (Cuba) said that if the current session was to be devoted to positive, practical work, artificial divisions between East and West should not be created. The Feruvian representative, who had said that he was speaking on behalf of the revel dimension, had not seen fit to consult the Cuban delegation. His delegation was bound to protest against that wholly unjustifiable omission.

Mr. DELVAUX (Belgium) associated himself with the French representative's protest against the delay in issuing certain documents in French.

Mr. FROMANDINI (Feru) and that the Spanish-speaking delegations had encountered the same difficulties as the French delegation with regard to the incuments relating to upends items 5 and 6. However, it would be difficult to possiprone consideration of the latter item to the end of the session, particularly cince the only document concerned with that question was the General Assembly rescription establishing UNIDO. The French representative's request regarding the

ACTOM.



ID/B/SR.1 English Page 11

(Mr. Fernandini, Peru)

postponement of item 5, on the other hand, could be met without difficulty. In reply to the Cuban representative, he pointed out that the existence of different economic systems was a fact and there was nothing offensive in alluding to it. It was also a fact that Cuba had been elected to the Board as a member of the socialist group. Nevertheless, Cuba had not been thrown out of Latin America.

Mr. AKE (Ivory Coast) associated himself with the French representative's protest. It was essential that documents should be circulated simultaneously in all working languages. He hoped that the Executive Director would do everything in his power to see that French-speaking delegations were not given inferior treatment.

Mr. KOTSCHNIG (United States of America) said he thought that the Peruvian delegation had in fact proposed a new agenda which was much more logical and coherent than the previous one. It would be difficult to postpone items 5 and 6, to which the main part of the Board's discussion would refer, to the end of the session. It was clear that agreement on item 6 could not be reached during the first week. The Board should explore the future and not merely appraise past activities.

Mr. YECANEH (Iran) supported the Peruvian delegation's proposals. The co-ordination of United Nations activities in the field of industrial development as especially urgent in that the number of organizations was constantly increasing. UNIDO must be given the means it needed to carry out that co-ordination. It was also important that UNIDO should make sparing use of the rinancial resources which would be made available to it.

Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI (Cuba), exercising his right of reply, recalled that under General Assembly resolution 2152 (XXI) Cuba belonged to Group C (Latin American countries) and not Group D (socialist countries). The Peruvian representative acknowledged that Cuba was a developing country of Latin America but refused to draw the practical conclusions from that fact. Such discriminatory behaviour could only harm the smooth operation of the Board.

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) pointed out that resolution 2152 (XXI) made provision for seven seats for the Latin American countries. Cuba's candidacy

ID/B/SR.1 English Page 12

(Mr. Fernandini, Peru)

had not been approved by those countries, and the socialist group, which had insisted that Cuba should be a member of the Board, had given it one of its seats. Accordingly, Cuba represented the socialist group and not the Latin American group, all of whose seats had been filled.

Mr. LAVCEVIC (Observer for Yugoslavia) recalled that at the time of the elections to the Board two notes from the socialist group had stated that the Group D countries were giving one of their seats to a Latin American country to enable it to be seated on the Board and for that purpose alone. There had been no objection to the notes, and no one had challenged that interpretation during the voting. That procedure had in no way altered the provisions of resolution 2152 (XXI), under which Cuba was a member of the Latin American group of countries.

The meeting rose at 1.40 p.m.



8.3.74