



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org





United Nations Industrial Development Organization

PROVISIONAL

ID/B/SR.39 11 May 1967 ENGLISH

ORIGINAL: FRENCH

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD

First Session

PROVISIONAL SUMMARY RECORD OF THE THIRTY-NINTH MEETING

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Friday, 5 May 1967, at 3.25 p.m.

CONTENTS

Adoption of the report of the Board to the twenty-second session of the General Assembly (ID/B/L.12 and Add.1-10) (continued)

Corrections to this record should be submitted in one of the three working languages (English, French or Spanish), preferably in the same language as the text to which they refer. Corrections should be sent in triplicate within three working days to the Chief, Conference and Meetings Control, Office of Conference Services, Room 1104, and also incorporated in mimeographed copies of the record.

AS THIS RECORD WAS DISTRIBUTED ON 11 MAY 1967, THE TIME-LIMIT FOR CORRECTIONS WILL BE 16 MAY 1967.

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche.

PRESENT:

President:

Rapporteur:

Members:

Mr. TELL (Jordan) Mr. MBAYE (Guines) Mr. BRADLEY Argentina Mr. SPITZNAGEL Austria Mr. WOULEFOUN Belgium Brazil Mr. REGO MONTEIRO Mr. VLADOV Bulgaria Mr. CHAMFOR Cameroon Mr. BRADY Canada Mr. FIGUERCA Chile Mr. HERRAN-MEDINA Colombia Mrs. LOPEZ de GARCIA) Mr. SANCHEZ Cuba Mr. MUZIK) Czechoslovakia Mr. ADAMEK) Mr. SARTORIUS Federal Republic of Germany Mr. UGGELDAHL Finland Mr. ERNST France Mr. CESAIRE) Mrs. AGGREY-ORLEANS Ghana Mr. DIABATE Guinea Mr. GUPTA) India Mr. GULATI) Mr. MARTONECORO Indonesia Mr. ORDOOBADI Iran Mr. PISANI MASSAMORMILE Italy Mr. SCOLAMIERO Mr. KOFFI Ivery Coast Mr. MURAOKA) Japan Mr. IKEDA Mr. KHURMA Jordan Mr. AL-RIFAE Knwait Miss FERRINGA Netherlands

Nigeria

Mr. OLIMIDE

PRESENT (continued):

Members (continued): Mr. AHMED Pakistan

Mr. FERNANDINI)
Mr. BOZA

Peru

Mr. RODRIGUEZ Philippines

Mr. DUMITRESCU Romania
Mr. RYABONYENDE Rwanda

Mr. WARSAMA Somalia

Mr. WETTERCREN)
Mn. BERGQUIST)
Sweden

Mr. DAHINDEN Switzerland

Mr. VISESSURAKARN Thailand

Mrs. SOLCMON Trinidad and Totago

Mr. KIRIMLIOGLU Turkey

Mr. LOBANOV) Union of Soviet Socialist
Mr. MOSIN) Fepublics

Miss DEAS United Kingdom of Great
Britain and Northern

Ireland

Mr. STIBRAVY United States of America

Mr. MONTERO Uruguay

Mr. MANDA) Zambia Miss CAMPBELL)

Observers for Member States:

Mr. RA'MANI Algeria
Mr. BENSON Australia

U BA YIN Burma

Mr. SIDASH Byelorussian Soviet
Socialist Republic

Mr. TILAKARATNA Ceylon

Mr. FU China

Mr. MULONGO Congo (Democratic Republic of)

Mr. OLSEN Dermark

Mr. SUBERO Dominican Republic

Mr. MAKAYA-CASTANOUL Gabon
Mr. COLLAS Greece

PRESENT (continued):

Observers for Member States (continued):

Mr. THCMAS Liberia

Mr. CHULUUNBAATAR Mongolia

Mr. SVENNEVIG Norway

Mr. NENE:AN Poland

Mr. DEIGADO Senegal

Mr. SKATARETIKO Yugoslavia

Representatives of specialized agencies:

Mrs. de LOPEZ International Labour

Organisation

Mr. WOODWARD Food and Agriculture

Organization of the

United Nations

Mr. KASSUM)
Miss BARRETT)

United Nations Educational,

Schemaific and Cultural

Organization

Mrs. KAIM

World Health Organization

Representative of the International Atomic Energy Agency:

Mr. NAJAR

Representatives of other United Nation bodies:

Mr. GEOGHEGAN

United Nations Development

Programme

Mr. NERFIN

World Food Programme

Representatives of inter-governmental organizations:

Mr. WOODLEY

United International

Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property

Secretariat:

Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN

Executive Director, United

Nations Industrial

Development Organization

Mr. GRIGORIEV

Director, Industrial Technology Division

Mr. LERICHE

Office of Legal Affairs

Mr. KRCMERY

Department of Economic and

Social Affairs

Mr. STORDEL

United Nations Conference

on Trade and Development

Mr. SYLLA

Secretary of the Board

ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE BOARD TO THE TWENTY-SECOND SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY (ID/B/L.12 and Add.1-10) (continued)

The PRESIDENT stated that amendments and suggestions submitted by members of the Board would henceforth be accepted by the Rapporteur if they corresponded to the actual situation as shown in the relevant summary records. Consequently, the text of the report would be adopted subject to such changes as the Rapporteur might deem acceptable after the necessary checking.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.1 (continued)

Page 6, fourth paragraph

The paragraph was approved.

Page 7, first paragraph

The paragraph was approved.

Page 7, second paragraph

Mr. SANCHEZ (Cuba) recalled that his delegation had emphasized the dangers of private investment and had stressed that assistance must be provided only to the public sector. It wished its position to be duly recorded in the report. The same applied to the following paragraph.

Mr. LOBANOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) pointed out that the delegations mentioned in the first sentence of the paragraph were actually the same ones as were referred to in the penultimate sentence. Moreover, those delegations had advocated directive planning, rather than "indicative" or "recommendatory" planning.

Mr. CESAIRE (France) said he did not believe that there could be State planning by United Nations bodies. The passage in question should be reworded.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 7, third paragraph, and page 8. first and second paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 8, third paragraph

Mrs. SOLOMON (Trinidad and Tobago) proposed that the words "Some delegations thought while the programme to be drawn up for the rest of 1967 and for 1968 should be action-oriented, it should also..." should be replaced by "Most delegations thought that in the future UNIDO's work programme must be action-oriented and based essentially on the specific needs of Governments. The programme for 1967 and 1968, however, should also..."

The paragraph was approved.

Page 8, fourth and fifth paragraphs, and page 9 first paragraph The paragraphs were approved.

Page O, second paragraph

Mr. VLADOV (Bulgaria) proposed that the words "others the social effects of industrialization" should be replaced by "others suggested that the social aspects of industrialization should be studied and taken into account when planning and implementing programmes and projects of industrialization".

The paragraph was approved.

Page 9, third paragraph

Mr. SANCHEZ (Cuba) recalled that his delegation had opposed the use of private industrial finance institutions.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 10, first paragraph

Mr. ADAMEK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the words "training of technical and other personnel" should be replaced by "training of managerial, technical and other qualified personnel" and that the words "as well as the transfer of technical knowledge and experience" should be added at the end of the paragraph.

The paragraph was approved.

Paragraph 10, second paragraph

The paragraph was approved.

Page 10, third paragraph

<u>Mrs. SOLOMON</u> (Trinidad and Tobago) proposed that the following sentence should be added at the end of the paragraph: "In setting the priorities for his programme of research, the Executive Director should avoid undertaking projects in those areas where UNIDO can draw on the studies in hand in other parts of the United Nations and in other international organizations."

The paragraph was approved.

Page 10, fourth paragraph

Mr. STEBRAVY (United States of America) proposed that the word "supporting" should be inserted before the words "research activities" at the end of the paragraph.

Mr. CLUMIDE (Nigeria) felt that the reference to "a proper balance between operational and research activities" placed the two types of activity on the same footing.

Mr. AUMED (Pakistan) suggested that the words "of the developing countries" should be inserted after the word "industrialization".

Mr. LORANOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said that he was quite satisfied with the present wording of the paragraph, which was a faithful account of the debate.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 10, fifth paragraph

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) stated that the term "some delegations" in the second sentence should not be taken to include the United Kingdom.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 11, first and second paragraphs

Mr. BRADLEY (Argentina) recalled that the paragraphs on research were in principle to have been combined in a single text.

Mr. REGO MONTEIRO (Brazil) pointed out that the first paragraph essentially reflected the position of his delegation, which would therefore prefer it to be maintained in its present form.

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 11, third paragraph

Mr. ADAMEK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the word "economy" in the English text should be replaced by the word "population" or "society".

The paragraph was approved.

Page 12, first paragraph

The paragraph was approved.

Page 12, second paragraph

Mr. BRADLEY (Argentina) proposed that the words "in general" in the second sentence of the paragraph should be replaced by "in many cases" and that the last sentence in the English text should be expanded to bring it into line with the French and Spanish versions.

Mr. DAHINDEN (Switzerland) proposed that the following text should be added at the end of the paragraph: "One delegation expressed the wish that UNIDO, in concert with certain other United Nations organizations, should contribute to the creation of regional markets which would constitute the primary natural cutlets for many products of industrialization."

The paragraph was approved.

Page 12, third paragraph

The paragraph was approved.

Page 12, fourth paragraph

Mr. ADAMEK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the words "It was generally agreed" should be replaced by "Many delegations pointed out". The two sentences in the paragraph were somewhat contradictory in their present form.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 12, fifth and sixth paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 13, first paragraph

Mr. AHMED (Pakistan) proposed that the word "several" in the third sentence should be replaced by the words "some other".

Mr. DUMITRESCU (Romania) proposed that, in the last sentence, the phrase "having regard to the opinions stated above" should be inserted after the word "Accordingly" and that the word "all" should be inserted before the words "the demands".

The paragraph was approved.

Page 13, second and third paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 14, first paragraph

Mr. ERNST (France) said he thought that the first sentence was obscure. It might be improved if the words "chemical industries" were replaced by "Chemical Industries Unit".

The paragraph was approved.

Page 14, second to fifth paragraphs, and page 15, first paragraph

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 15, second paragraph

Mr. BRADY (Canada) thought that the second paragraph on page 15 should logically follow the fifth paragraph on page 14.

The paragraph was approved.

Page 15, third to fifth paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 16, first paragraph

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) proposed that the words "by sending expert UNIDO-based missions" in the first sentence should be replaced by the words "and of sending to the field expert missions when requested".

The paragraph was approved.

Page 16, second to fifth paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Page 17, first paragraph

Mr. REGO MONTEIRO (Brazil) proposed that, in the second sentence, the adjective "functional" should be replaced by "administrative" and the word "overall" by "policy".

The paragraph was approved.

ID/B/L.12/Add.1 (International Symposium on Industrial Development) Paragraphs 1-3

Paragraphs 1-3 were approved.

Paragraph 4

In reply to a comment by Mr. BPADY (Canada), Mr. MBAYE (Guinea), Rapporteur, said that he would try to give in paragraph 4 a more balanced account of the statements made by the representatives of specialized agencies.

Paragraph 4 was approved.

Paragraph 5

Mr. ADAMEK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the words "for example, at Geneva" should be inserted after the words "at another site" in the last sentence, that the words "in view of the present situation in the host country" should be added at the end of that sentence, that the last sentence should be placed immediately after the first sentence, and that a sentence reading: "One delegation stated that the assurances given by the observer from the host country had not dispelled its misgivings" should be added at the end of the paragraph.

Paragraph 5 was approved.

Paragraphs 6-8

Paragraphs 6-8 were approved.

Paragraph 9

Mr. REGO MONTEIRO (Brazil) proposed that the following sentence should be inserted before the last sentence: "In addition, it was requested that the memorandum distributed on this subject (ID/B/L.13) should be brought to the attention of the Symposium as an official document of the Board."

Paragraph 9 was approved.

Paragraph 10

Mr. VIADOV (Bulgaria) proposed that the following sentence should be added at the end of the paragraph: "Two delegations suggested that the social aspects of industrial development should be considered."

Paragraph 10 was approved.

Paragraph 11

Paragraph 11 was approved.

Paragraph 12

Mr. ADAMEK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the words "one representative" in the first sentence should be replaced by the words "some representatives"; he also proposed that the word "He" at the beginning of the second sentence should be replaced by "One representative".

Paragraph 12 was approved.

Paragraphs 13-17

Paragraphs 13-17 were approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.1, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.3

First paragraph

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) proposed that there should be a full stop after the words "especially for 1967" in the fourth sentence and that the

ID/B/SR.39 English Page 12

(Mr. Stibravy, United States)

word "since" should be deleted. The words following the word "since" would remain unchanged and form a new sentence.

The paragraph was approved.

Second to fourth paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Fifth paragraph

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) proposed that in the first sentence the word "supporting" should be inserted before "industrial research".

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) proposed that in the second sentence the words "a certain amount of" should be inserted before "research work".

Mr. REGO MONTEIRO (Brazil) considered that the expression "feasibility studies" in the third sentence was too restrictive and that a text should be drafted which took more account of the negotiations and discussions that had taken place on the subject during the consideration of draft resolution ID/B/L.17.

The paragraph was approved .

Sixth paragraph

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) proposed that in the first sentence the words "Two delegations" should be replaced by "Some delegations".

The paragraph was approved .

Seventh and eighth paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Ninth to twelfth paragraphs

Mr. MUZIK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the paragraphs should be replaced by the following text:

(Mr. Muzik, Czechoslovakia)

"At its meeting of 25 April 1967, the Sessional Committee decided to discuss item 6 first. Since the papers proposed by the various groups of countries were not official documents, the Committee further decided to consider them in informal discussions without summary records, with the aim to achieve a compromise text. The Sessional Committee resumed its meetings on 1 May 1967 and stated that owing to lack of time it had been unable to finalize an agreement on a draft resolution on agenda items 5 and 6 and to transmit to the Board for further consideration the various papers on those items, formal and informal, which it had before it."

To that text should be added the statement he had made as Chairman of the Sessional Committee as reported in the summary record (ID/B/SR.27, p. 6), altering the first line so that it began with the words "The Chairman of the Sessional Committee said", replacing the word "first" by "second" and deleting the words "as one delegation had said" in the penultimate line.

The ninth to twelfth paragraphs were approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.3, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.4

Mr. RODRIGUEZ (Philippines) said that the docume... symbol "ID/B/L.7" should read "ID/B/L.8" and proposed that in the seventh line, after the words "the structure of UNIDO", the words "the establishment in due course of regional and sub-regional centres" should be inserted.

The paragraph was approved.

Second to seventh paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Eighth paragraph

Mr. LOBANOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) proposed that the beginning of the first sentence should be amended to read: "While some delegations made statements in favour of decentralization, some other delegations believed that UNIDO should have a strong central structure at this stage of its activities...".

The paragraph was approved.

Ninth to eleventh paragraphs

The paragraphs were approved.

Twelfth to fifteenth paragraphs

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) proposed that the beginning of the third sentence of paragraph 12 should be amended to read: "In his view, UNIDO was not an action-oriented organization, but a promotional organization", and that a paragraph should be added at the end of the document reading as follows: "Some delegations, in commenting on the Executive Director's statement, expressed concern at certain aspects of his approach, in particular his statement that he did not see UNIDO as an action-oriented organization".

Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN (Executive Director) felt that to quote a sentence out of context was likely to distort the meaning of his statement on the matter and that it would be preferable to include the text of his statement in the report in extenso.

Mr. REGO MONTEIRO (Brozil), supported by Mr. GUPTA (India), proposed that the following sentence should be added at the end of the United Kingdom emendment: "Other delegations, however, supported the interpretation put forth by the Executive Director".

Mr. OF THE (Nigeria), supported by Mr. AHMED (Pakistan), said that he was not opposed to the amendments put forward by the delegations of the United Kingdom and Brazil, but that they would be of more obvious use if the full text of the Executive Director's statement were included in the report.

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) reminded the Board of the comments made by his delegation after the Executive Director had made the statement in question (ID/B/SR.23).

The twelfth to fifteenth paragraphs were approved. Document ID/B/L.12/Add.4, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.5

Mr. WOODWARD (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) said that he had submitted some amendments to the Rapporteur.

Document ID/3/L.12/Add.5, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.6

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) said that in the first line of the last paragraph on page 2 the words "the delegates" should be replaced by "many delegations". In the penultimate paragraph, on page 6, the words "In an effort to accommodate divergent views" should be deleted from the first line.

Mr. ERMST (France) said that since many delegations, particularly those of France and the USSR, had advocated that operational activities should be financed as far as possible by voluntary contributions, it might be a good idea to include a paragraph to that effect in the report.

Mr. OPDCOPADI (Iran), noting that some delegations had expressed a desire for the Executive Director to consider the possibility of UNIDO obtaining finance from private sources, was surprised that there was no reference to the fact in the part of the report under discussion.

Docum to Tar L.12/Add. 6, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.7

Agenda item 5

Mr. RTGO MONTEIRO (Brazil) considered that the Papporteur should make it clear in the first line of the first paragraph who had requested the Executive Director to prepare a report. The passage might read "The Board considered that the Executive Director should draw up...", if it had been a decision of the Board, or "Some delegations considered that the Executive Director should be requested to draw up...", if that was not the case.

Agenda item 11

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) said that the representative of the Netherlands had made a very important statement during the debate on agenda item 11. Perhaps it should be noted in the report.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.7, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.8

Mr. ERNST (France) pointed out that there was no reference to the final debate on the admission of non-governmental organizations as observers (ID/B/SR.37).

Document ID/3/L.12/Add.8, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.9

Mr. MUZIK (Czechoslovakia) proposed that the first paragraph should be retained and the rest of the document deleted and replaced by the following text:

"The Board had before it three draft resolutions (ID/B/L.11 sponsored by the delegations of the Ivory Coast and the Philippines, ID/B/L.17, originally sponsored by the delegations of Jordan, Nigeria and Sudan, and ID/B/L.19, sponsored by the delegations of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) and later the amendments to ID/B/L.17 by the delegations of Czechoslovakia (ID/B/L.23) and Somalia (ID/B/L.24), as well as a number of oral amendments and sub-amendments by some other delegations. The sponsors of document ID/B/L.11 withdrew their proposal. Although some amendments and sub-amendments were accepted by the sponsors of draft proposals, further discussion showed that it would not be easy in the plenary meeting of the Board to reconcile all positions. Therefore, an informal group comprising the sponsors of the remaining resolutions and those delegations which proposed amendments met again. After lengthy negotiations, the sponsors of ID/B/L.17 submitteds new text (ID/B/L.17/Rev.1) agreed by the informal group. However, in further discussions, the following oral amendments were submitted:

- (a) Proposal of Trinidad and Tobago supported by the United Kingdom to substitute the word 'primarily' by 'essentially' in the first sentence of operative paragraph 1.
- (b) Proposal of Brazil supported by Somalia to substitute operative paragraph II (H) by the following:

'UNIDO shall co-operate with the United Nations regional economic commissions and the United Nations Economic and Social Office in Beirut, assist the regional and sub-regional economic groupings and

ID/B/SR.39 English Page 17

(Mr. Muzik, Czechoslovakia)

co-operate with them at the request of the Governments concerned in the elaboration and/or implementation of national or multinational programmes and projects in the developing countries.'

After an exchange of views between the sponsors of the above amendments, the sponsors of draft resolution ID/B/L.17/Rev.l and an agreement of the delegations which were parties to the above agreement, the Board adopted unanimously the resolution as amended.

With reference to paragraph II (E) of this resolution, it was the understanding of the Board that the promotional role of UNIDO would consist, in particular, of encouraging the building up of the productive industrial forces of the developing countries and the mobilization of national and international resources for the promotion of their industrialization. UNIDO should become a kind of central co-operative pool through which it is possible to draw on the reserves of experience, technical knowledge and tangible resources of both the developed and developing countries.

The Board agreed that, in the exercise of its functions, and in particular with respect to paragraph 2 (a) (xi) of General Assembly resolution 2152 (XXI), UNIDO shall pay due regard to the provisions of General Assembly resolution 2158 (XXI) on the permanent sovereignty over natural resources.

In explaining its vote the delegation of the Ivory Coast stated that in its understanding operative paragraph II (H) relating to 'regional and sub-regional economic groupings' meant those groupings existing in Africa, Asia and Latin America.

In explaining his vote, the representative of Switzerland stated that if a vote paragraph by paragraph had been taken, his delegation would have abstained on operative paragraphs II (E) and II (F) (vii).

The representative of Bulgaria, on behalf of the other co-sponsors of document ID/B/L.19, informed the Board that the co-sponsors did not insist on voting their draft resolution and requested to include it in the Report of the Board. It was so decided."

Mrs. SOLOMON (Trinidad and Tobago) pointed out that the proposal referred to in sub-paragraph (a) of the Czechoslovak amendment had been made by the Somali delegation.

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) confirmed that fact.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ (Philippines) asked that the words "Due to the lack of time" should be inserted at the beginning of the second sentence of the text proposed by the Czechoslovak delegation.

Mr. AL-RIFAE (Kuwait) requested that the following should be added to the second paragraph of the Czechoslovak text: "... on the understanding that the Board would be given an opportunity to review the guidelines for UNIDO's activities each year and that due account would be taken of the recommendations of the International Symposium on Industrial Development".

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America), supported by Mr. IKEDA (Japan) and Miss DEAS (United Kingdom), expressed reservations with regard to the third and fourth paragraphs of the text proposed by the Czechoslovak representative. He pointed out that his delegation had not subscribed to the agreement which had been reached in the informal group and that the Nigerian representative, when introducing the draft resolution, had stated that there had been no discussion on that point.

Mr. WOULBROUN (Belgium) said he did not think that interpretative details should be introduced into the report so late in the session.

Mr. MUZIK (Czechoslovakia) pointed out that the paragraphs in question were a part of what had been agreed upon by the informal group.

Mr. LORANOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Pepublics) reminded members that when draft resolution ID/B/L.17/Rev.1 had been adopted, it had been agreed that the points of disagreement should be included in the report for purposes of interpretation. That was a decision of the Board and there was no call to reconsider it.

Mr. KOFFI (Ivory Coast) recalled that he had recommended that the wording "the majority of the Board..." should be used in the two paragraphs in question. He could not agree to the version proposed by the Czechoslovak representative.

Mr. OLUMIDE (Nigeria) thought that when introducing the draft resolution he had said that the Board should take a decision on the two paragraphs in question but that they should not be included in the text of the resolution.

The PRESIDENT said that the agreement reached in the informal group was valid only for those delegations which had subscribed to it. The reservations of the other delegations would be noted in the report.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.9, as a whole, was approved.

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.10

Mr. LOBANOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) requested that the draft resolution circulated as document ID/B/L.19 should be added to the list in paragraph 3 of the draft resolutions which had not been adopted.

After an exchange of views in which Mr. AHMED (Pakistan), Mr. MUZIK (Czechoslovakia), Mr. RODRIGUEZ (Philippines), Mr. MBAYE (Guinea), Rapporteur, and Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) took part, the PRESIDENT said that all the draft resolutions on which a decision had not been taken must, under the rules of procedure, be mentioned in the report.

Miss DEAS (United Kingdom) asked that the following paragraph should be included in the report:

"One delegation proposed the convening of a resumed session of the Board in New York in late September or early October. The Board heard a statement by the Under-Secretary for Conference Services to the effect that such a resumed session could be held in the last week of September or the first week of October. However, the proposal for a resumed session received little support and was eventually withdrawn by its sponsor."

Document ID/B/L.12/Add.10, as a whole, was approved.

The report of the Industrial Development Board to the twenty-third session of the General Assembly (ID/B/L.12 and Add.1-10), as a whole, was adopted.

The PRESIDENT thanked the members of the Board, the Executive Director and members of the Secretariat for the co-operation they had given him and declared the first session of the Industrial Development Board closed.

The meeting rose at 6.45 p.m.



5. O. 7