



**TOGETHER**  
*for a sustainable future*

## OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



**TOGETHER**  
*for a sustainable future*

## DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as “developed”, “industrialized” and “developing” are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

## FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

## CONTACT

Please contact [publications@unido.org](mailto:publications@unido.org) for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at [www.unido.org](http://www.unido.org)



**D02094**



Distr.  
GENERAL

ID/B/SR.2  
18 July 1967

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

**INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD**

**First Session**

**SUMMARY RECORD OF THE SECOND MEETING**

Held at Headquarters, New York,  
on Monday, 10 April 1967, at 4.15 p.m.

**CONTENTS**

Adoption of the agenda (ID/B/1) (continued)

Rules of procedure (ID/B/2)

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche.

PRESENT:

<u>President:</u>	Mr. TELL	(Jordan)
<u>Rapporteur:</u>	Mr. MBAYE	(Guinea)
<u>Members:</u>	Mr. BRADLEY	Argentina
	Mrs. SAILER	Austria
	Mr. FORTHOMBE	Belgium
	Mr. CARVALHO SILOS	Brazil
	Mr. VLADOV	Bulgaria
	Mr. ABELL	Canada
	Mr. PIÑERA CARVALLO	Chile
	Mr. TURBAY-AYALA	Colombia
	Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI	Cuba
	Mr. MUZIK	Czechoslovakia
	Mr. SARTORIUS	Federal Republic of Germany
	Mr. TORNQVIST	Finland
	Mr. VIAUD	France
	Mr. GUPTA	Ghana
	Mr. DIAPATE BOUBACAR	Guinea
	Mr. CH IDA	India
	Mr. KAMIL	Indonesia
	Mr. YEMNEH	Iran
	Mr. FRANKI	Italy
	Mr. FIANI MASSAMONILE	
	Mr. KOLFI	Ivory Coast
	Mr. AOT	Japan
	Mr. SAUD	Jordan
	Mr. KOUFACHET	Kuwait
	Mr. SAUD	
	Mr. MERS	Netherlands
	Mr. ASODU	Nigeria
	Mr. AHMED	Pakistan
	Mr. FERNANDINI	Peru
	Mr. RODRIGUEZ	Philippines

**PRESENT** (continued):**Members** (continued):

Mr. DUMITRESCU	Romania
Mr. RYABONYENDE	Rwanda
Mr. WARSAMA	Somalia
Mr. ARANA	Spain
Mr. SAHLOUL	Sudan
Mr. KALDEREN	Sweden
Mr. TURRETTINI	Switzerland
Mr. PANYARACHUN	Thailand
Mr. SOLOMON	Trinidad and Tobago
Mr. CUHRUK	Turkey
Mr. ANANICHEV	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
Mr. SALAMA	United Arab Republic
Sir Edward WARNER	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Mr. KOTSCHNIG	} United States of America
Mr. BLAU	
Miss CAMPBELL	Zambia

**Observers for Member States:**

Mr. DJOUDI	Algeria
Mr. SIDASH	Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic
Mr. FU	China
Mr. MOMBOLI	Congo (Brassaville)
Mr. AGATHOCLEOUS	Cyprus
Mr. SUBERO	Dominican Republic
Mr. JATIVA	Ecuador
Mr. MARTINO SIGUI	El Salvador
Mr. MAKAYA-GASTANOU	Gabon
Mr. COLIAS	Greece
Mr. NEDIVI	Israel
Mr. WHITING	Jamaica
Mr. SOMPHANH	Laos

PRESENT (continued):Observers for Member States (continued):

Mr. THOMAS	Liberia
Mr. CHULUUNBAATAR	Mongolia
Mr. DEVENDRA	Nepal
Mr. OUSSEINI	Niger
Mr. STANGHOLM	Norway
Mr. DELGADO	Senegal
Mr. KLU	Togo
Mr. SANON	Upper Volta

Representatives of specialized agencies:

Mr. AMMAR	International Labour Organisation
Mr. WOODWARD	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Mr. KASSUM	United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
Mr. RAJ	International Bank for Reconstruction and Development
Mrs. KALM	World Health Organisation

Representatives of the International Atomic Energy Agency:

Mr. PISKAREV
Mr. NAJAR

Representative of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade:

Mr. HORTLING
--------------

Representatives of other United Nations bodies:

Mr. HARLAND )	United Nations Development Programme
Mr. GEOGHEGAN)	
Mr. NERPIN	World Food Programme

Secretariat:

Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN	Executive Director, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation
Mr. GREGORIEV	Director, Industrial Technology Division
Mr. SYLIA	Secretary of the Board

/...

## ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (ID/E/1) (continued)

Mr. JURRANS (Netherlands) said that the provisional agenda (ID/E/1) would be considerably improved by the revisions proposed by the Group of Twenty-five Developing Countries. He noted that the item relating to the Programme of Special Industrial Services had been deleted, and he assured that the subject could be discussed under some other item, such as that relating to financial questions. He suggested that the agenda items should be renumbered, once the Board had decided the order in which they were to be discussed; the President might then prepare a work schedule indicating the amount of time allotted to each item.

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) explained that the original numbers had been retained because documents bearing them had already been prepared for certain items.

Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN (Executive Director) pointed out that all projects approved under the Programme of Special Industrial Services (SIS) had to be submitted to the Board for its approval. The Board might wish to discuss the SIS Programme under item 5, item 6 or item 10 of the proposed revised agenda, all of which related to aspects of the Programme.

Mr. AHMED (Pakistan) said that Special Industrial Services could best be considered under item 5, and he suggested that the words "including the Programme of Special Industrial Services" should be added to the title of that item. He agreed that the original numbering should be retained.

Mr. MUZIK (Czechoslovakia) said that his delegation generally supported the proposed revision. He wondered whether the word "future" in item 6 might not be deleted or placed before the word "activities", since a programme of work necessarily related to the future. With regard to the order in which the items should be discussed, he suggested that after disposing of item 8, the Board should take up item 5, which might serve as a kind of general debate, before proceeding to item 6. At the same time, a sessional committee of the whole could draft the rules of procedure for approval by the Board.

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) said that the Group of Twenty-five had placed item 6 before item 5 in the proposed order of discussion because it required no documentation and could therefore be considered without raising the problems to which the representative of France had referred at the previous meeting. The word "future" had been included in the title of item 6 because that item was intended to relate only to projects which had not yet been initiated; the current work programme came under item 5.

Mr. CHADHA (India) opposed the Czechoslovak representative's suggestion that item 5 should be considered before item 6. It had been the intention of the Group of Twenty-five that general statements should be made under item 6, which not only was more comprehensive but looked to the future, rather than the past.

Mr. VIAUD (France) agreed that item 6 should come first, particularly since it required no documentation. Items 6 and 9 were closely linked with item 10, and he suggested that all three might be considered together, thus allowing more time for the preparation of documentation relating to item 5, which could be the next in order.

The PRESIDENT suggested a brief suspension of the meeting to enable agreement to be reached on the various suggestions which had been made.

Mr. CHADHA (India) said that a suspension appeared unnecessary, as it seemed to be generally agreed that the Board should take up item 6 after item 8. The President, in consultation with the other officers and with delegations, could determine the order in which subsequent items were to be discussed and, as suggested by the Netherlands representative, could prepare a work schedule.

The PRESIDENT felt that it would be better to agree on the order of discussion before proceeding to another item. Once that had been done, he would draw up a work schedule for subsequent meetings.

The meeting was suspended at 4.40 p.m. and resumed at 5.5 p.m.

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) said that informal discussions seemed to indicate that a further revision of the provisional agenda would enable the Board to reach agreement. He therefore proposed that the title of item 5 should be amended to read: "Co-ordination of activities of the United Nations system of organizations



(Mr. Fernandini, Peru)

in the field of industrial development" and that there should be a new item 11, entitled "Review of activities of the United Nations system of organizations in the field of industrial development". Items 11 and 12 of the agenda proposed by the Group of Twenty-five would then become items 12 and 13.

The revised provisional agenda, as orally amended by the representative of Peru, was adopted.

#### RULES OF PROCEDURE (ID/B/2)

Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru) said that a working party of the Group of Twenty-five was preparing amendments to the draft rules of procedure submitted by the Secretariat (ID/B/2). He therefore agreed with the representative of Czechoslovakia that item 4 should not be discussed in plenary meetings in the first instance. A working party consisting of not more than sixteen representatives of the various regional groups should be set up to discuss the amendments, and the Board should later be able to adopt the working party's proposals without difficulty.

He proposed that the party should be composed of four African members, three Asian, three Latin American, four representing the Western European and other countries and two representing the socialist countries.

Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI (Cuba) asked how the representatives of each group would be chosen.


Mr. FORTHOMME (Belgium), supported by Mr. VIAUD (France) and Mr. BRADLEY (Argentina), said he thought that it would be preferable to make the working party an open one. Any representative could then participate when points of particular interest to his delegation were being discussed without having to attend every meeting.

Mr. ASIODU (Nigeria) said that, even if the working party was open to all, he would have preferred it to have a certain minimum membership.

After a further discussion, in which Mr. FERNANDINI (Peru), Mr. ABE (Japan), Mr. INCHAUSTEGUI (Cuba) and Mr. AHMED (Pakistan) took part, the PRESIDENT said he understood that the Board wished to set up a sessional committee of the whole to consider the question of the rules of procedure and report to the Board.

It was so decided.

The meeting rose at 5.45 p.m.



**5 . 10 . 71**