



TOGETHER
for a sustainable future

OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.



TOGETHER
for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as “developed”, “industrialized” and “developing” are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.


FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact publications@unido.org for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org



DO 1981

Distr.
GENERAL
ID/B.SR.104
15 September 1970
Original: ENGLISH

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

Industrial Development Board

Fourth Session

Vienna, 20 - 30 April 1970

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE ONE HUNDRED AND FOURTH MEETING

Held at the Neue Hofburg, Vienna,
on Wednesday, 29 April 1970, at 3.35 p.m.

President: Mr. SEDIVÝ (Czechoslovakia)
Rapporteur: Mr. ARCHIBALD (Trinidad and Tobago)

CONTENTS

**Agenda
Item**

Paragraphs

13

Adoption of the report of the
fourth session (continued)

1 - 60

Consideration of draft resolutions

61 - 93

We regret that some of the pages in the microfiche copy of this report may not be up to the proper legibility standards, even though the best possible copy was used for preparing the master fiche.

ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE FOURTH SESSION (ID/B/L.76 and Add.1-8) (continued)

Chapter V (ID/B/L.76/Add.5)

Paragraphs 1-2

1. Paragraphs 1-2 were adopted.

Paragraph 3

2. Mr. STIERAVY (United States of America) said that, for the sake of clarity, the last sentence should start with the phrase "In the view of these [or some] delegations,".

3. It was so agreed.

4. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) proposed the insertion at the end of the paragraph of the following words: "Some delegations stressed that expansion of UNIDO's activities and improvement of the effectiveness of its work must be achieved primarily through further improvement of the utilisation of personnel, especially the reduction of the number of administrative personnel and concomitant improvement of the substantive branch, improvement of the qualifications of staff members, elimination of every type of unproductive expenditure, and elimination of duplication in the work of the various sections of the secretariat".

5. It was so agreed.

6. Paragraph 3, as amended, was adopted.

Paragraph 4

7. Paragraph 4 was adopted.

Paragraph 5

8. Mr. SVENNEVIG (Norway) said that in the last sentence the phrase "One delegation" was somewhat misleading as his delegation had been speaking on behalf of the Nordic delegations. He therefore suggested that the sentence should start "Some delegations suggested..."

9. It was so agreed.

10. Paragraph 5, as amended, was adopted.

Paragraph 6

11. Paragraph 6 was adopted.

Paragraph 7

12. Paragraph 7 was adopted.

Paragraph 8

13. Mr. SIAZON (Philippines), supported by Mr. SARWAN (India), said that the reference in the first sentence to "one delegation" was inaccurate as a number of delegations had urged an increase in the allocations to the regular programme. The text should be amended accordingly.

14. It was so agreed.

15. Mr. CZARKOWSKI (Poland), supported by Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), proposed that the following sentence should be added to the paragraph: "Some delegations expressed their reservations concerning the inclusion of the provision for the regular programme of technical assistance in the United Nations budget".

16. It was so agreed.

17. Paragraph 8, as amended, was adopted.

Paragraph 9

18. Paragraph 9 was adopted.

Paragraph 10

19. Mr. CZARKOWSKI (Poland) proposed that in the first sentence the word "unanimously" should be deleted and the words "without objection" added after "approved".

20. It was so agreed.

21. Paragraph 10, as amended, was adopted.

Paragraph 11

22. Paragraph 11 was adopted.

23. Chapter V of the draft report (ID/B/L.76/444.5), as amended, was adopted.

Chapter VI: Question of the establishment of subsidiary organs of the Board
(ID/B/L.76/Add.2)

24. Chapter VI was adopted.

Chapter VII: Matters concerning inter-governmental and non-governmental organisations
(ID/B/L.76/Add.3)

25. Chapter VII was adopted.

Chapter VIII (b): Consideration of General Assembly resolution 2577 (XXIV), including the provisions of that resolution relating to the recommendations and resolutions of the 1967 International Symposium on Industrial Development and of the Industrial Development Board (ID/B/L.76/Add.1)

26. Chapter VIII (b) was adopted.

Chapter VIII (c): Consideration of the reports of the Joint Inspection Unit
(ID/B/L.76/Add.4)

27. Chapter VIII (c) was adopted.

Chapter II: General Debate (ID/B/L.76/Add.6)

Paragraphs 1-4

28. Paragraphs 1-4 were adopted.

Paragraph 5

29. Mr. LOPEZ MUÑOZ (Cuba) proposed that a sentence should be added to the effect that one delegation considered that the principle of universality should be extended to other countries not yet in UNIDO, namely the People's Republic of China, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam.

30. It was so agreed.

31. Paragraph 5, as amended, was adopted.

Paragraphs 6-7

32. Paragraphs 6-7 were adopted.

Paragraph 8

33. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said that the paragraph clearly contained some errors. In the third sentence, a full stop should be inserted after "UNIDO". The following sentence should then be inserted at that point: "A number of delegations proposed that such a long-range programme of work should cover a period of five years or more", to be followed without a break by the remainder of the paragraph, "and should be elaborated by individual countries and by groups of countries ...".

34. Paragraph 8 was adopted with these amendments.

Paragraphs 9-10

35. Paragraphs 9-10 were adopted.

Paragraphs 11-21

36. Paragraphs 11-21 were adopted.

Paragraph 22

37. Mr. LECOURTIER (France) suggested that the penultimate sentence should be deleted, since it merely reflected a suggestion made by his delegation which was repeated more elegantly in the last sentence.

38. It was so agreed.

39. Paragraph 22, as amended, was adopted.

Proposed new paragraph

40. Mr. CZARKOWSKI (Poland) proposed that a further paragraph, numbered 23, should be included under the section headed "Training", to read as follows: "It was agreed to request the Executive Director that in elaborating UNIDO's specific recommendations on the training of national qualified personnel for the accelerated industrialization of the developing countries, particularly in compliance with General Assembly resolution 2528 (XXIV), emphasis should be among others laid on the experience which developing and developed countries had gained in the training of such personnel".

41. The new paragraph 23 proposed by the representative of Poland was adopted.

42. Paragraphs 23-29 (of document ID/B/L.76/Add.6) were adopted.

Paragraph 30

43. Mr. ILBOUDO (Upper Volta) proposed that in order to reflect fully the ideas expressed during discussion of geographical distribution in respect of expert recruitment, the following sentence should be added at the end of the paragraph: "Several delegations particularly expressed the wish that among candidates with equal qualifications, preference in recruitment should be given to experts from the developing countries".

44. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) asked whether the sentiment expressed in the proposed sentence would not in fact run counter to the principle of geographical distribution.

45. Mr. ILBOUDO (Upper Volta) explained that his amendment had been suggested with a view to correcting the recognized under-representation of the developing countries, as well as of the Eastern European countries, in the UNIDO secretariat and was in no way designed to infringe the principle of equitable geographical distribution.

46. The additional sentence proposed by the representative of Upper Volta was adopted.

47. Paragraph 30, as amended, was adopted.

Proposed new paragraph

48. Mr. ARCHIBALD (Trinidad and Tobago), Rapporteur, said that he had been asked to insert after former paragraph 30 a new paragraph summarizing the statement made by the representative of the International Labour Organisation, as follows: "The representative of the ILO welcomed the results achieved by the joint ILO-UNIDO Working Party established under the Agreement between the two organisations, particularly in the fields of management, development and vocational training. The Governing Body of the ILO would shortly discuss an integrated programme of industrial activities for the years 1972-1977. This programme would include the opportunities for fruitful co-operation between the two organisations. On the occasion of the International Labour Conference in 1969, the World Employment Programme had been launched as a contribution to the Second Development Decade, to which UNIDO and the other organisations of the United Nations system had pledged their full co-operation".

49. The paragraph read out by the Rapporteur was adopted and numbered paragraph 32.

Paragraphs 31-32 (of document ID/B/L.76/Add.6)

50. Paragraphs 31-32 were adopted.

Paragraph 33

51. Mr. ARCHIBALD (Trinidad and Tobago), Rapporteur, pointed out that the reference to "one delegation" in the last sentence related to one of the "two other delegations" mentioned in the penultimate sentence. He therefore proposed that the two last sentences should be combined as follows: "Two other delegations announced the intention of their Governments to make contributions to UNIDO in 1971 and one of them was authorized to state that its Government would increase its voluntary contribution in 1971".
52. Mr. PROBST (Switzerland) said he took it that the "one" delegation referred to in the latter half of the combined sentence proposed by the Rapporteur was the Swiss delegation; in that case, it would be more correct to report that the Swiss Government would be happy to consider an increase in its voluntary contribution for similar activities as soon as the million or so Swiss francs which it had already contributed had been utilized.
53. The PRESIDENT, speaking in his capacity as representative for Czechoslovakia, said that the last sentence of former paragraph 33 in fact referred to the Czechoslovak delegation, and that it was accurate.
54. Mr. PROBST (Switzerland) suggested that, in order not to give a false impression of the position of the Swiss delegation, the Rapporteur might amend his suggestion for the combination of the last two sentences so as to mention the Swiss Government's attitude.
55. Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN (Executive Director) suggested that the matter might be solved if the phrase "in 1971" in the first part of the combined sentence were deleted.
56. The proposal of the Rapporteur, as amended by the Executive Director, was adopted.
57. Mr. HUSOR (United Kingdom) proposed that for grammatical reasons the phrase "urged the examination of" should be inserted between "at the same time" and "the possibility of" in the first sentence of paragraph 33.
58. It was so agreed.
59. Paragraph 33, as amended, was adopted.
60. Chapter II (ID/B/L.76/Add.6) of the draft report was adopted.

CONSIDERATION OF DRAFT RESOLUTIONS

Draft resolution on the role of UNIDO in co-ordination of activities in industrial development (ID/B/L.79/Rev.1, ID/B/L.88)

61. The PRESIDENT invited the Board to consider the draft resolution submitted on behalf of the Group of Twenty-Five (ID/B/L.79/Rev.1), to which a number of delegations had proposed amendments. (ID/B/L.88).
62. Mr. MALIKI (Nigeria) said that the amendment proposed by his delegation, which according to document ID/B/L.88 referred to operative paragraph 1, should in fact be related to operative paragraph 2.
63. Mr. STIRRAVY (United States of America) said that the correction made by the previous speaker was also applicable to his own delegation's amendment concerning the addition of the phrase "in co-operation with the other organizations concerned". That phrase referred to operative paragraph 2.
64. He further stated that the name of his country should not appear in the list of those sponsoring the proposed amendment to the sixth line of the last sentence of operative paragraph 5.
65. The PRESIDENT invited the Board to consider the proposed amendments consecutively.

First preambular paragraph

66. Mr. SAR'AN (India), on behalf of the sponsors of the draft resolution, said that its intended purpose was to re-emphasize the importance of UNIDO's central co-ordinating role in industrialization. There was no question of undermining the co-ordinating role of the Economic and Social Council.
67. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), supporting the proposed amendment (ID/B/L.88, first amendment), said that he believed the position of UNIDO would be improved and the difficulties which it encountered in implementing its task of co-ordination would be reduced through the guidance of the Economic and Social Council. He considered that a reference to that body in the preamble to the draft resolution was quite justified.

68. Mr. MIRZA (Pakistan) said that he did not consider that the terms of the preamble as drafted constituted any threat to the position of the Economic and Social Council in the United Nations system. He opposed the amendment.

69. Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN (Executive Director) observed that paragraph 28 of General Assembly resolution 2152 (XXI) referred specifically to the responsibilities of the Economic and Social Council. Since that paragraph was one of those referred to in the preamble as drafted, the amendment constituted a repetition.

70. Mr. BRILLANTES (Philippines) suggested that, if it was decided to include a specific reference to the Economic and Social Council, that might be made in a separate paragraph. He reiterated that the sponsors of the draft resolution had had no intention of upsetting the existing arrangements of the United Nations system.

71. Mr. SARWAN (India) repeated that the purpose of the draft resolution was to help UNIDO in the execution of its co-ordinating role in its own special sphere of action. If some amendment on the lines suggested was considered desirable, he suggested it might be phrased as follows:

"Noting the respective roles of ECOSOC and UNIDO on co-ordination, as stated in General Assembly resolution 2152 (XXI) establishing UNIDO".

72. Mr. FARINPOUR (Iran) supported that suggestion.

73. Mr. SÖMJEN (Hungary) said that the purpose of the amendment he had proposed was to reinforce UNIDO's authority as a co-ordinating body. He could accept the suggestion put forward by the representative of India.

74. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) accepted the suggestion made by the representative of India.

75. The suggestion put forward by the representative of India was adopted.

76. The first preambular paragraph, as amended, was adopted.

Second preambular paragraph

77. The amendment submitted by Nigeria, as set out in ID/B/L.88, was adopted.

Operative paragraph 1

78. The amendment submitted by the United States of America, as set out in ID/B/L.88, was adopted.

Operative paragraph 2

79. The amendments submitted by Nigeria and the United States of America, as set out in ID/B/L.88, were adopted.

Operative paragraph 4

80. Mr. KAMATH (India) said that the Asian Group had finally decided on the wording of that paragraph after very careful consideration and felt that the two principles contained therein, namely that bilateral aid programmes should be co-ordinated with multilateral ones and that co-ordination should be pursued at the request of the Governments concerned, should be clearly stated. The Group therefore found the deletions proposed by the delegations of Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Poland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, as set out in ID/B/L.88, unjustifiable.

81. Mr. PROBST (Switzerland) said that his delegation would not wish to see the reference to bilateral aid deleted, but that in any case Switzerland would consult UNIDO in connexion with any relevant bilateral programmes it might undertake.

82. Mr. HALASZ (Hungary) said that, in the case of bilateral aid, it was for all the parties concerned to make their own arrangements for co-ordination, if they so wished, but that in the draft resolution on the role of UNIDO in co-ordination emphasis should be placed on co-ordination of the United Nations technical assistance programmes.

83. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), speaking in favour of the proposed amendment, said that matters relating to bilateral programmes of aid should be handled by the parties concerned, and warned against the danger of infringement of the sovereign rights of States in that respect. He said that delegations might have less difficulty in accepting a rewording of the paragraph as follows:

"Suggests that the Executive Director should continue his consultations on request with the Governments concerned for better co-ordination of multi-lateral programmes of aid in the field of industry with national plans and with bilateral programmes of technical and economic co-operation".

84. Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) associated his delegation with the remarks of the representative of Switzerland.

85. Mr. ABUBAKR (Sudan) said he shared the point of view expressed by the representative of India. In agreeing, after considerable discussion, to the inclusion of a reference to bilateral aid programmes, the Group of Twenty-Five had merely wished to provide for assistance to developing countries in the better utilization of help which might be available to them under bilateral arrangements. There was no question of interference with sovereign rights. Indeed, as the text pointed out, UNIDO would intervene only at the request of the Governments concerned.

86. Mr. SARWAN (India) reiterated his earlier arguments, and said that the sponsors of the draft resolution hoped that, if the text was not acceptable to the Board as drafted, any modification would retain the original idea of helping the developing countries in the formulation of rational and productive programmes of industrial development.

87. Mr. ABDEL-RAHMAN (Executive Director) suggested that the paragraph might be worded as follows:

"Suggests that the Executive Director should continue his consultations on requests with the Governments concerned for better co-ordination of its multilateral programme of aid in the field of industry with those national programmes of development and bilateral assistance".

88. Mr. KRYLOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said that he could accept that suggestion.

89. Operative paragraph 4, as amended by the Executive Director, was adopted.

Operative paragraph 5


90. Operative paragraph 5, as amended by the three relevant proposals contained in document ID/B/L.88, was adopted.

91. The PRESIDENT invited the Board to adopt the draft resolution as a whole, as amended.

92. Mr. LOPEZ MUIÑO (Cuba) recalled the reservations which he had earlier expressed on behalf of his delegation concerning the reference in paragraph 5 to "regional inter-governmental organisations" and "regional development banks". These reservations would oblige his delegation to abstain during the vote on the draft resolution as a whole.

93. The draft resolution as a whole, as amended, was adopted.

The meeting rose at 7 P.M.



30. 9. 71